



**DUBLIN SAN RAMON SERVICES DISTRICT
Board of Directors**

NOTICE OF REGULAR MEETING

TIME: 6:00 p.m.
PLACE: Regular Meeting Place
7051 Dublin Boulevard, Dublin, CA

DATE: Tuesday, September 15, 2015

AGENDA

(NEXT RESOLUTION NO. 80-15)

(NEXT ORDINANCE NO. 338)

Our mission is to provide reliable and sustainable water and wastewater services to the communities we serve in a safe, efficient and environmentally responsible manner.

BUSINESS:

REFERENCE

<u>Recommended Action</u>	<u>Anticipated Time</u>
-------------------------------	-----------------------------

1. CALL TO ORDER
2. PLEDGE TO THE FLAG
3. ROLL CALL – Members: Duarte, Halket, Howard, Vonheeder-Leopold
4. SPECIAL ANNOUNCEMENTS/ACTIVITIES
5. PUBLIC COMMENT (MEETING OPEN TO THE PUBLIC)

At this time those in the audience are encouraged to address the Board on any item of interest that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Board and not already included on tonight’s agenda. Comments should not exceed five minutes. Speakers’ cards are available from the District Secretary and should be completed and returned to the Secretary prior to addressing the Board. The President of the Board will recognize each speaker, at which time the speaker should proceed to the lectern, introduce him/herself, and then proceed with his/her comment.

6. REPORTS
 - A. Reports by General Manager and Staff
 - Event Calendar
 - Correspondence to and from the Board
 - B. Agenda Management (consider order of items)
 - C. Committee Reports
None

- | | | | | |
|----|------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 7. | <u>APPROVAL OF MINUTES</u> - | Special Meeting of
<i>September 1, 2015</i> | Executive
Services
Supervisor | Approve
by Motion |
| | | Regular Meeting of
<i>September 1, 2015</i> | Executive
Services
Supervisor | Approve
by Motion |

BUSINESS:

REFERENCE

<u>Recommended Action</u>	<u>Anticipated Time</u>
----------------------------------	--------------------------------

8. **CONSENT CALENDAR**

Matters listed under this item are considered routine and will be enacted by one Motion, in the form listed below. There will be no separate discussion of these items unless requested by a Member of the Board of Directors or the public prior to the time the Board votes on the Motion to adopt.

- | | | | |
|----|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
| A. | Resolution Establishing a No Net Demand Rate for Alameda County under Terms of the Areawide Facility Agreement between Dublin San Ramon Services District, County of Alameda and Alameda County Surplus Property Authority | Administrative Services Manager | Approve by Resolution |
| B. | Accept the Following Regular and Recurring Reports: Warrant List, Upcoming Board Business, Low Income Assistance Program and Unexpected Asset Replacement Requests | General Manager | Accept by Motion |

9. **BOARD BUSINESS**

- | | | | | |
|----|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| A. | Review and Discuss Drought Management Program | General Manager | Discuss & Provide Direction | 5 min |
| B. | Accept Water Supply and Demand and Drought Response Action Plan Status Reports and Find that the Need for the Community Drought Emergency Still Exists | General Manager | Accept by Motion | 5 min |
| C. | Consider Appeal by Sinfronio & Abigail Balderama of Staff Denial of an Exemption to Water Use Limitations | Operations Manager | Approve by Motion | 15 min |
| D. | Discuss Report on Zone 7 Rate Development | Administrative Services Manager | Discuss & Provide Direction | 10 min |
| E. | Decide to Fill Board Vacancy Either by Making an Appointment or Calling an Election and Rescind Resolution No. 76-15 | General Manger | Approve by Resolution | 10 min |
| F. | If Needed, Approve a Process, Schedule and Application Form to be Used for the Appointment to Fill the Board Position Formerly Held by Dawn L. Benson | General Manger | Accept by Motion | 5 min |

BUSINESS:

REFERENCE

	<u>Recommended Action</u>	<u>Anticipated Time</u>
10. <u>BOARDMEMBER ITEMS</u>		
• Submittal of Written Reports from Travel and Training Attended by Directors		
11. <u>CLOSED SESSION</u>		
A. Public Employee Performance Evaluation – Pursuant to Government Code Section 54957 Title: General Manager		15 min
B. Public Employee Performance Evaluation – Pursuant to Government Code Section 54957 Title: District General Counsel		15 min
12. <u>REPORT FROM CLOSED SESSION</u>		
13. <u>ADJOURNMENT</u>		

BOARD CALENDAR*

<u>Committee & Board Meetings</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Location</u>
Regular Board Meeting	October 6, 2015	6:00 p.m.	District Office

*Note: Agendas for regular meetings of District Committees are posted not less than 72 hours prior to each Committee meeting at the District Administrative Offices, 7051 Dublin Boulevard, Dublin, California

All materials made available or distributed in open session at Board or Board Committee meetings are public information and are available for inspection at the front desk of the District Office at 7051 Dublin Blvd., Dublin, during business hours, or by calling the District Secretary at (925) 828-0515. A fee may be charged for copies. District facilities and meetings comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act. If special accommodations are needed, please contact the District Secretary as soon as possible, but at least two days prior to the meeting.

**DUBLIN SAN RAMON SERVICES DISTRICT
MINUTES OF A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

September 1, 2015

1. CALL TO ORDER

A special meeting of the Board of Directors was called to order at 5:04 p.m. by President Edward R. Duarte

2. PLEDGE TO THE FLAG

3. ROLL CALL –

Boardmembers present at start of meeting:

President Edward R. Duarte, Vice President D.L. (Pat) Howard, Director Richard M. Halket, and Director Georgean M. Vonheeder-Leopold.

District staff present: Bert Michalczyk, General Manager; Dan McIntyre, Engineering Services Manager; John Archer, Administrative Services Manager/Treasurer; Dan Gallagher, Operations Manager; Carl P.A. Nelson, General Counsel; and Nicole Genzale, Executive Services Supervisor/District Secretary.

4. PUBLIC COMMENT (MEETING OPEN TO THE PUBLIC) – 5:05 p.m.

Speaker: Mr. Dan Simons, DSRSD sewer customer and East Bay Municipal Utility District (EBMUD) water customer - Mr. Simons addressed the Board and read the following email sent August 6, 2015 at 6:06 p.m., subject line “cross connection to fresh water,” to staff member Stefanie Olson at olson@dsrsd.com (correct address is olsons@dsrsd.com) in regards to information he received from the District for safe use of recycled water:

“Hi, I got a post card in today's mail and I am a little miffed about the content of the card. I have a 100% isolated drip system from city water and use about 50 gallons a day to water my fruit trees and garden. If I were to need to bring that quantity of recycled water home in my car, (I do not have a truck nor a trailer hitch) I would need to take two trips and siphon the water from 6-5 gallon containers to keep my garden properly hydrated. I have a rain collection tank and being the lack of precipitation, rain has not filled this tank, I have been using recycled water brought over by friends. As I mentioned above, my drip system is not connected in any way to fresh water. If someone not aware of this set up calls and reports me without having this information, I will be subject to fines, loss of privilege to collect recycled water, and have my city water shut off. Being with health issues, this could possibly cause medical expenses unnecessary and result in hardships. I would be happy to host an inspector to test and verify my system to assure its isolation from fresh water.”

General Manager Michalczyk commented that Mr. Simons is an example of a resident doing the right things to safely utilize and store recycled water but is bound by regulations that have not kept up with the times and this type of a cutting edge recycled water distribution system. He stated that the District would be willing to work with him on this matter but cautioned that the District is bound to adhere to the regulations imposed by the Regional Water Quality Control Board and the California Department of Drinking Water.

5. CLOSED SESSION

At 5:12 p.m. the Board went into Closed Session.

A. Conference with Real Property Negotiator-Pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.8

Property: Potential Water Supply Contract
Agency Negotiators: Bert Michalczyk, General Manager
Dan Gallagher, Operations Manager
Dan McIntyre, Engineering Services Manager
John Archer, Administrative Services Manager
Carl P.A. Nelson, General Counsel
Robert B. Maddow, Assistant General Counsel
Negotiating Parties: East Bay Municipal Utility District, Yuba County Water Agency, and/or others
Under Negotiation: Price and Terms

B. Public Employee Performance Evaluation – Pursuant to Government Code Section 54957

Title: General Manager

6. REPORT FROM CLOSED SESSION

At 5:52 p.m. the Board came out of Closed Session. President Duarte announced that there was no reportable action.

7. ADJOURNMENT

President Duarte adjourned the meeting at 5:55 p.m.

Submitted by,

Nicole Genzale
Executive Services Supervisor

**DUBLIN SAN RAMON SERVICES DISTRICT
MINUTES OF A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

September 1, 2015

1. CALL TO ORDER

A regular meeting of the Board of Directors was called to order at 6:00 p.m. by President Edward R. Duarte.

2. PLEDGE TO THE FLAG – Not Held

3. ROLL CALL

Boardmembers present at start of meeting:

President Edward R. Duarte, Vice President D.L. (Pat) Howard, Director Richard M. Halket, and Director Georgean M. Vonheeder-Leopold.

Recusals:

Director Vonheeder-Leopold - Item 9M Director Vonheeder-Leopold stated that she would recuse herself from any participation in any discussions, decisions, or voting on the Health Insurance Contribution for Calendar Year 2016 for Board of Director, Item 9.M, to ensure that she neither influence nor attempt to influence another member of the DSRSD Board regarding any matters pertinent to the item, consistent with applicable provisions of the Government Code, because she receives health care benefits as a retired Boardmember of Dublin San Ramon Services District.

Director Vonheeder-Leopold departed from the Boardroom at approximately 7:50 p.m. before Item 9.M. She returned to the Board meeting at 7:51 p.m. after the conclusion of discussion of Item 9.M.

District staff present: Bert Michalczyk, General Manager; Dan McIntyre, Engineering Services Manager; John Archer, Administrative Services Manager/Treasurer; Dan Gallagher, Operations Manager; Carl P.A. Nelson, General Counsel; and Nicole Genzale, Executive Services Supervisor/District Secretary.

4. SPECIAL ANNOUNCEMENTS/ACTIVITIES

President Duarte reported that a Special Meeting of the Dublin San Ramon Services District Board of Directors was held at 5:00 p.m. today September 1, 2015, the Board of Directors of Dublin San Ramon Services District; Directors Halket, Vonheeder-Leopold, V.P Howard and President Duarte attended this Special Dublin San Ramon Services District Board of Directors Meeting. He stated that Pursuant to Government Code section 54952.3, no Director will receive any compensation or stipend for participating in

more than one meeting on this date, and as further specified in DSRSD Policy P100-14-2, Day of Service.

5. PUBLIC COMMENT (MEETING OPEN TO THE PUBLIC) – 6:01 p.m.

Speaker: Mr. Rishi Kumar, Smart Utility Systems (SUS) – Mr. Kumar addressed the Board and introduced SUS and its platform Water iQ, which is a water saving software solution to assist water utilities and consumers.

Speaker: Ms. Dawn Benson, Dublin resident – Ms. Benson addressed the Board and apologized for her abrupt resignation from the Board of Directors. She commented that she had an extraordinary experience serving on the Board and complimented the ability and talent of the Board and District staff.

6. REPORTS

A. Reports by General Manager and Staff

- Event Calendar – General Manager Michalczyk reported on the following:
 - o Congratulations are in order for Director Vonheeder-Leopold who was elected to the California Association of Sanitation Agencies’ Board of Directors at last week’s conference held in San Diego.
 - o An Alameda County Special District Association meeting will be held on September 9, 2015 at 8:00 a.m. at the Hayward Area Recreation District.
 - o The East Bay Leadership Council will be holding a Special Water Task Force Meeting on September 15, 2015 at 8:15 a.m.in Pleasanton at the Firehouse Arts Center featuring a panel discussion of the District’s recent long term water supply report. Please inform the General Manager or District Secretary if interested in attending to ensure proper Brown Act noticing.
 - o Nominations for 2016-17 Association of California Water Agencies Committees are due by September 30, 2015. Please inform the General Manager or District Secretary by September 15 if interested in being nominated.
 - o The annual WWTP Neighborhood Update meeting will be held on October 22, 2015 at 5:30 p.m. Please inform the General Manager or District Secretary if interested in attending to ensure proper Brown Act noticing.
 - o The ACWA Region 5 Fall Summit will be held in Buellton October 25-26, 2015.

- Correspondence to and from the Board on an Item not on the Agenda

Date	Format	From	To	Subject	Response
8/19/15	Email	Dawn Benson	BOD	Resignation from the DSRSD BOD	

8/28/15	Voicemail	Gary Purnell	BOD (Item 9I)	Suggestion to name a Pleasanton resident to fill the Board vacancy	
8/31/15	Email	Rishi Kumar/Smart Utility Systems	BOD	How citizens of DSRSD can save water and \$ resources	Administrative Services Manager Archer will meet with Mr. Kumar
9/1/15	Email	Kurt Arends	Dan McIntyre (Item 9D & E)	DSRSD Long term alternative water supply study Zone 7 comments	
9/1/15	Email	Roz Rogoff	Sue Stephenson (Item 9I)	Vacant BOD position	

B. Agenda Management (consider order of items) – No changes were made

C. Committee Reports

Tri-Valley Water Policy Roundtable
Financial Affairs Committee

July 22, 2015
August 4, 2015

Directors felt the available staff reports adequately covered the matters considered at committee meetings.

7. APPROVAL OF MINUTES – Regular Meeting of *August 4, 2015*

Director Vonheeder-Leopold MOVED for the approval of the August 4, 2015 minutes. V.P. Howard SECONDED the MOTION, which CARRIED with FOUR AYES

8. CONSENT CALENDAR

V.P. Howard MOVED for approval of the items on the Consent Calendar. Director Halket SECONDED the MOTION, which CARRIED with FOUR AYES.

A. Approve Agreement with City of Dublin for Conversion to Irrigation with Recycled Water – Approved – Resolution No. 79-15

B. Approve Technical Services Agreements with JMB Construction, Inc., and C. Overaa and Co. for On-Call Construction and Repair - Mechanical Services for Fiscal Years Ending 2016 through 2018 – Approved – Resolution Nos. 68-15 and 69-15

- C. Approve Technical Services Agreements with JMB Construction, Inc., Mountain Cascade, Inc., and McGuire and Hester for On-Call Water and Sewer Repairs for Fiscal Years Ending 2016 through 2018 – Approved – Resolution Nos. 70-15, 71-15 and 72-15
- D. Approve Travel to the Lucity CMMS User's Conference in Kansas City, Missouri, for the Field Operations Supervisor and Water/Wastewater Systems Lead Operator – Approved
- E. Accept the Following Regular and Recurring Reports: Warrant List and Upcoming Board Business – Approved
- F. Adopt Revised Proposition 218 Receipt, Tabulation and Validation of Written Protests Policy and Rescind Resolution No. 28-12 – Approved – Resolution No. 73-15

9. BOARD BUSINESS

- A. Discuss Drought Management Program

General Manager Michalczyk reported that this is a standing agenda item intended for discussion by the Board, staff and the public on the District's Drought Management Program. The District continues to do very well to meet the Governor's conservation targets. The declaration of the State of Emergency extends to February 28, 2016, and it is staff's assessment that no changes are needed at this time.

No members of the public addressed the Board on this topic.

The Board did not direct staff to develop any changes to the program.

- B. Accept Water Supply and Demand and Drought Response Action Plan Status Reports and Find that the Need for the Community Drought Emergency Still Exists

General Manager Michalczyk reported that this is a standing agenda item. The current report is essentially status quo as there was little precipitation in August and what did fall did not have a significant bearing on the drought.

No members of the public addressed the Board on this topic.

V. P. Howard MOVED to accept the Water Supply and Demand Report and the Drought Response Action Plan Status Report and find that the need for the Community Drought Emergency Still Exists. Director Vonheeder-Leopold SECONDED the MOTION, which CARRIED with FOUR AYES.

C. Discuss Potential District Programs: Instant Hot Water Systems and Recycled Water Trucking and Delivery

Engineering Services Manager McIntyre introduced the item and explained that the two potential program items were previously referred by the Board to staff for further review. The items will be presented in two parts by Engineering Department staff members.

Principal Engineer Rhodora Biagtan presented staff findings regarding hot water systems. She reported two types of these systems are available: tankless water heaters and hot water recirculating systems. Three agencies conducted pilot programs using these technologies and determined that results were not significant enough to continue the programs. Based on the small volume of water savings achieved using this technology and the relative high costs compared to other rebate programs, staff does not recommend this program be implemented at this time.

Clean Water Programs Specialist Stefanie Olson presented staff findings regarding proposed recycled water trucking and delivery as presented by a vendor at the July 21 Board meeting. She reported the District currently has a trucking program which allows haulers to apply recycled water directly to residential landscapes, but the District's permit from the Regional Water Quality Control Board does not allow for storage of recycled water, nor does it allow the District to pass on its associated responsibilities to a third party vendor. She also explained the potential threats to the potable water supply from storage of recycled water on residential property which necessitate the requirement for backflow devices on the potable water connections. Due to the concerns and restrictions discussed, staff recommends the District maintain its current trucking program as is.

Mr. Farzad Borozgad, President of Recycled Water Services, addressed the Board and explained in further detail his company's services, and possible mitigation of District or regulatory concerns.

The Board further discussed the potential program information as presented. The Board concluded that instant hot water heaters were not cost efficient and directed staff not to pursue this item any further.

The Board also determined that while not opposed to the concept of recycled water hauling and delivery, the District cannot engage such services and remain in compliance with the District's recycled water permit, and so advised staff that no further engagement of this concept is necessary.

D. Discuss Long Term Alternative Water Supply Study

Engineering Services Manager McIntyre introduced the item and Mr. Randy Raines, Consultant from RMC, the firm that conducted the study. He also

reported that per previous Board direction, the draft study has been circulated for comments by Tri-Valley agencies and posted on the District's website for public comment. He reported that, so far, one comment has been received from Zone 7 Water Agency. All comments received will be presented to the Board at the October 6 Board meeting, when the Board will consider approval of the study.

Mr. Raines made a presentation to summarize the study which was included in the agenda packet.

A copy of an email on the subject from Kurt Arend, Zone 7, was made available to the Board.

No members of the public addressed the Board on this topic.

The Board further discussed the comments and approval process of the study, as well as possible desalination options as part of the District's future water supply portfolio, and partnerships with Tri-Valley agencies as a result of opportunities presented in the study.

E. Review Draft Water Supply, Storage, Conveyance, Quality and Conservation Policy

Engineering Services Manager McIntyre reviewed the proposed draft policy and explained that, if adopted, the proposed policy would supersede the District's existing Water Supply policy. The proposed policy is based in part on information from the Long Term Alternative Water Supply Study, and is consistent with the District's Strategic Plan. The draft policy has been made available for comment by Tri-Valley agencies and the public in order to engage key stakeholders on the fundamental rethinking of how water is supplied. The Board will consider approval of the final policy at the October 6 Board meeting. If approved, the policy would serve as the guiding document for the upcoming Urban Water Management Plan. Mr. McIntyre also confirmed that the draft policy is more aggressive than what the water study presented by RMC suggests in some areas.

General Manager Michalczyk also noted that as the policy title implies, this focuses on the ultimate water supply, not which agency or institutional arrangement is delivering it.

A copy of an email on the subject from Kurt Arend, Zone 7 was made available to the Board.

No members of the public addressed the Board on this topic.

The Board agreed the draft policy was an excellent product and captured the Board's intentions and that they were anxiously waiting to hear what public input there may be.

F. Confirm District Participation in Public Opinion Survey on Water Supply

Engineering Services Manager McIntyre reported that this item is a product of discussions regarding outreach at the last Tri-Valley Water Policy Roundtable meeting held on July 22. He explained that a Bay Area wide survey had been conducted earlier this summer but it did not specifically identify feedback from the Tri-Valley community. This survey would be conducted under the Intergovernmental Reciprocal Services Agreement established with Tri-Valley agencies. The anticipated cost of the proposed survey is less than \$10,000.

No members of the public addressed the Board on this topic.

V.P. Howard MOVED to confirm District participation in Public Opinion Survey on Water Supply. Director Halket SECONDED the MOTION, which CARRIED with FOUR AYES.

G. Approve Kaiser Permanente Dublin Medical Center Water Supply Assessment

Engineering Services Manager McIntyre introduced the item and Ms. Elizabeth Drayer, Project Engineer from West Yost Associates, the firm that conducted the assessment.

Ms. Drayer addressed the Board and presented a brief overview of the water supply assessment which was included in the agenda packet. West Yost concluded that there is sufficient water supplies for the project's potable water demand due to land use changes, including reduction of approved residential unit development, and conversion to drought tolerant recycled water in the area. Use of recycled water inside the facility was also considered as a future water supply opportunity if able to meet necessary standards within a medical facility.

No members of the public addressed the Board on this topic.

Director Vonheeder-Leopold MOVED to adopt Resolution No. 74-15, approving the Water Supply Assessment for the Kaiser Dublin Development Plan. V.P. Howard SECONDED the MOTION, which CARRIED with FOUR AYES.

H. Update on Biosolids to Energy, Approve Reimbursement Agreement with Synagro-WWT, Inc., and Authorize Out of State Travel for District Staff

Engineering Services Manager McIntyre introduced Senior Civil Engineer-Supervisory Steve Delight to present the item to the Board.

Mr. Delight addressed the Board and gave an update on activities of the Bay Area Biosolids to Energy Coalition, explaining that efforts have progressed and a prototype project facility has been established in Valencia, Spain. He explained Synagro's interest in establishing a second pilot project site at the District's Dedicated Land Disposal facility. The District is an ideal candidate since the District does not dewater its sludge, the location provides easy freeway access for haulers and is in close proximity to several other agencies. The proposed reimbursement agreement will cover preliminary design and CEQA costs during vetting of possible facility development. Staff has an opportunity to travel with Synagro to the facility in Spain to observe the operation firsthand for a better understanding of possible implementation at the District.

The Board agreed this was an innovative opportunity for the District regarding biosolids management and supported the recommendation.

No members of the public addressed the Board on this topic

Director Vonheeder-Leopold MOVED to adopt Resolution No. 75-15, approving a reimbursement agreement with Synagro-WWT, Inc., for the Biosolids to Energy Conversion Facility. Director Halket SECONDED the MOTION, which CARRIED with FOUR AYES.

I. Decide whether to Fill Board Vacancy by Making an Appointment or by Calling an Election

General Manager Michalczyk introduced the item and explained the Board needs to determine which action to take, noting that if the Board decides to fill the vacancy by election, as determined by District General Counsel, that election would be held in June 2016. If the Board decides to fill the vacancy by appointment, the next agenda item would be held to consider a proposed process. He stated that if the Board decides to take no action on tonight's item, then the Alameda County Board of Supervisors may make an appointment. If the county does not so dictate, than an election must take place.

The Board discussed and weighed the two available options to fill the Board vacancy, noting voter opportunity, budgetary impacts, and procedures when operating with one less Boardmember for a period of time.

No members of the public addressed the Board on this topic.

V.P. Howard MOVED to adopt Resolution No. 76-15, calling an election to fill the vacancy created by the resignation of Director Dawn L. Benson. Director Halket SECONDED the MOTION, which CARRIED with FOUR AYES.

J. NOT HELD - If Needed, Approve a Process and Schedule for the Appointment to Fill the Board Position Formerly Held by Dawn L. Benson

K. Approve a Proclamation Honoring Dawn L. Benson

General Manager Michalczyk stated he was honored to recommend the Board adopt the proclamation recognizing Dawn Benson for her years of service on the District's Board of Directors.

No members of the public addressed the Board on this topic

Director Vonheeder-Leopold MOVED to approve a Proclamation honoring Dawn L. Benson. Director Halket SECONDED the MOTION, which CARRIED with FOUR AYES.

L. Approve Health Insurance Contribution for Calendar Year 2016 for Stationary Engineers Local 39, Professional, Mid-Management, Confidential and Senior Management Employees and Rescind Resolution No. 65-15

Administrative Services Manager Archer explained that this item and the next agenda item, Item 9.M, have come back before the Board in order to include required CalPERS language in the District's resolutions that staff was not aware of when the items were presented at the September 1 Board meeting.

No members of the public addressed the Board on this topic

Director Halket MOVED to adopt Resolution No. 77-15, fixing the employer contribution at an equal amount for employees and annuitants under the Public Employees' Medical and Hospital Care Act for Stationary Engineers Local 39, Professional, Mid-Management, Confidential, and Senior Management Employees, and Rescinding Resolution No. 65-15. Director Vonheeder-Leopold SECONDED the MOTION, which CARRIED with FOUR AYES.

M. Approve Health Insurance Contribution for Calendar Year 2016 for Board of Directors and Rescind Resolution No. 66-15

No members of the public addressed the Board on this topic.

V.P. Howard MOVED to adopt Resolution No. 78-15, fixing the employer contribution at an equal amount for employees and annuitants under the Public Employees' Medical and Hospital Care Act for Board of Directors and Rescinding Resolution No. 66-15. Director Halket SECONDED the MOTION, which CARRIED with THREE AYES.

10. BOARDMEMBER ITEMS

Director Vonheeder-Leopold submitted written reports to Executive Services Supervisor Genzale for her attendance at the Executive Committee meeting for the Alameda County

Chapter of the Special District Association on August 11, the Bay Area Biosolids to Energy Coalition meeting held on August 20, and the CASA conference held last week in San Diego. Director Vonheeder-Leopold summarized the activities and discussions at the meetings.

11. ADJOURNMENT

President Duarte adjourned the meeting at 7:55 p.m.

Submitted by,

Nicole Genzale
Executive Services Supervisor



Reference Administrative Services Manager	Type of Action Adopt Resolution	Board Meeting of September 15, 2015
Subject Resolution Establishing a No Net Demand Rate for Alameda County under Terms of the Areawide Facility Agreement between Dublin San Ramon Services District, County of Alameda and Alameda County Surplus Property Authority		
<input type="checkbox"/> Motion	<input type="checkbox"/> Minute Order	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Resolution
<input type="checkbox"/> Ordinance	<input type="checkbox"/> Informational	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
REPORT:	<input type="checkbox"/> Verbal	<input type="checkbox"/> Presentation
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff	J. Archer
		<input type="checkbox"/> Board Member

Recommendation:

The Administrative Services Manager recommends the Board approve, by Resolution, the proposed No Net Demand Wastewater Disposal Rates and Charges for Alameda County.

Summary:

On December 20, 1994 the District entered into the Area Wide Facility Agreement between Dublin San Ramon Services District, County of Alameda and Alameda County Surplus Property Authority (Agreement) to provide services for the development of county property within the District’s service area. This Agreement is the basis for other county service rates such as the “limited access” (potable water) and regional treatment rate. The proposed rate is a new recycled water rate which has been specifically developed as outlined in the Agreement. The County agreed to mitigate the impact of their development on the District’s collection, treatment and disposal systems through a system of No Net Demand Disposal services. Development of the recycled water system for use at the county facilities represents the offset of the demand for wastewater disposal services. Once recycled water facilities were developed for use on the County facility, a recycled water rate (No Net Demand) was to be developed in accordance with the terms of the Agreement.

In 2015 District staff reached out to County staff for the purpose of converting select potable water facilities from potable water supply to recycled water. County staff agreed that it was beneficial to complete the facility conversion. An “Agreement and Permit for the Conversion of Alameda County Facilities to use Recycled Water for Irrigation and Other Non Potable Use” was executed on February 10, 2015. District staff and contractors have now completed the work required to convert the County facilities to recycled water. The recycled water rate has been developed for the recycled water sold to the County as part of the system conversion.

Staff has met and reviewed this rate calculation with County staff. Future updates to this rate will be conducted as part of the annual rate increase process.

Committee Review			Legal Review	Staff Review		
COMMITTEE ---	DATE ---	RECOMMENDATION ---	Yes	ORIGINATOR J Archer	DEPARTMENT Admin Services	REVIEWED BY
ATTACHMENTS <input type="checkbox"/> None						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Resolution	<input type="checkbox"/> Minute Order	<input type="checkbox"/> Task Order	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff Report	<input type="checkbox"/> Ordinance		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cost \$0	<input type="checkbox"/> Funding Source A. B.	Attachments to S&R 1. 2. 3.				

RESOLUTION NO. _____

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF DUBLIN SAN RAMON SERVICES DISTRICT ESTABLISHING A NO NET DEMAND RATE FOR RECYCLED WATER CONSUMED BY ALAMEDA COUNTY UNDER TERMS OF THE AREAWIDE FACILITY AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE DUBLIN SAN RAMON SERVICES DISTRICT, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA AND ALAMEDA COUNTY SURPLUS PROPERTY AUTHORITY

WHEREAS, on December 20, 1994 Dublin San Ramon Services District (District) and Alameda County (County) entered into an Area Wide Facilities Agreement (AWFA) to provide services to County Governmental and Developable Properties (County Properties); and

WHEREAS, the AWFA outlines the provision of recycled water to the County Properties through the “no net demand” policy; and

WHEREAS, the AWFA outlines the methodology for the calculation of a rate for recycled water service identified as the “no net demand” rate; and

WHEREAS, on February 18, 2014 and May 5, 2014 the Board of Directors declared a Community Drought Emergency; and

WHEREAS, District and County have entered into the “Agreement and Permit for Conversion of Alameda County Facilities to Use Recycled Water for Irrigation and Other Non-Potable Use” dated February 10, 2015; and

WHEREAS, the infrastructure required to provide recycled water to the county facilities described in the “Agreement and Permit for Conversion of Alameda County Facilities to Use Recycled Water for Irrigation and Other Non-Potable Use” dated February 10, 2015 (hereinafter, the “Alameda County Facilities”) has been constructed and is now in service; and

WHEREAS, the final costs required to complete the calculation of the No Net Demand Rate are now available and staff has completed the calculation of the rate based upon the terms of the Areawide Facility Agreement; and

Res. No. _____

WHEREAS, the rate as thus calculated will recover the proportional cost of providing recycled water service attributable to the Alameda County Facilities, with an appropriate adjustment for the avoided cost of wastewater disposal; and

WHEREAS, the proposed No Net Demand Rate has been discussed with appropriate County staff who have reviewed and indicated their support of the new rate as described below; and

WHEREAS, the deliveries of recycled water to the Alameda County Facilities commenced on or about near May, 2015.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF DUBLIN SAN RAMON SERVICES DISTRICT, a public agency located in the counties of Alameda and Contra Costa, California, as follows:

The water consumption rate identified as the No Net Demand Rate is effective as follows;

Service Classification	Rate
Alameda County Recycled Water – No Net Demand Rate	For all recycled water: \$1.91 per 100 cubic feet of recycled water delivered within the Alameda County Facilities.

Beginning January 2016, the No Net Demand Rate as identified above shall be automatically adjusted, effective each January 1, in the same manner and by the same percentage as the “District Component” portion of the potable water rates established pursuant to Section 4.40.020, which manner and percentage are described below.

Pursuant to Government Code § 53756, the General Manager is authorized and directed to adjust the “District Component” portion of the potable water rates and the No Net Demand Rate by the percentage increase by which the most recent Consumer Price Index – All Urban Consumers for the San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose, CA area available as of August 31 as increased in relation to the most recent corresponding Consumer Price Index available the preceding August 31. The General Manager shall notify the Board of Directors of the new rates and post them on the District’s website by October 31 of each

Res. No. _____

year. Customers will be notified on their October or November billing statements of the new rates that will be effective on January 1st of the following year.

ADOPTED by the Board of Directors of Dublin San Ramon Services District, a public agency in the State of California, counties of Alameda and Contra Costa, at its regular meeting held on the 15th day of September 2015, and passed by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

Edward R. Duarte, President

ATTEST: _____
Nicole Genzale, District Secretary

STAFF REPORT

District Board of Directors
September 15, 2015



Recycled Water Rate for Service to the Alameda County Jail

Background

The District entered into an agreement with Alameda County to provide services for the development of property within the District's service area. The Areawide Facility Agreement between Dublin San Ramon Services District, County of Alameda and Alameda County Surplus Property Authority (Agreement) is dated December 20, 1994.

"The purpose of this Agreement is to (a) establish the jurisdiction for providing services to various portions of the County properties, (b) provide for potable water, recycled water, and wastewater collection, treatment and disposal service to said County properties, (c) provide for the allocation of wastewater treatment and disposal capacity rights for the County properties, and (d) resolve issues outstanding between the parties relating to Governmental property and to a portion of the Developable Property."¹

The Agreement also identified the following key points;

- "Recycled Water services shall be an integral part of the development and use of the County properties" and... "The parties further acknowledge that recycled water services reduces in part the county's demand for wastewater disposal capacity. To the extent that the recycled water service provides this function for the Santa Rita Correctional Facility, such service shall be considered No Net Demand Wastewater Disposal services in accordance with Paragraph 13 hereof."²
- Paragraph 13(d) **Rates and Charges** indicated that the District shall establish a system of No Net Demand Wastewater Disposal Rates and Charges.
- The District's rate making process for said rates and charges shall take into consideration, but not be limited to, the components identified in Exhibit H (Attachment 1).

In 2015, District staff reached out to County staff for the purpose of converting select potable water facilities from potable water supply to recycled water. County staff agreed that it was beneficial to complete the facility conversion. An "Agreement and Permit for the Conversion of Alameda County Facilities to use Recycled Water for Irrigation and Other Non Potable Use" was executed on February 10, 2015 (Attachment 2). District staff and contractors have now completed the work required to convert the County facilities to recycled water. A water rate must be developed for the recycled water sold to the County as part of the system conversion. Exhibit H of the original Agreement is the basis for the calculation of the cost of the agreed upon rate.

¹ Agreement dated 12/20/1994 (par 4 p11)

² Agreement dated 12 /20/1994 (par 12(a) p36)

STAFF REPORT

District Board of Directors
September 15, 2015



Discussion

Staff has reviewed the Agreement including Exhibit H and recommends the following calculation principles to develop the water rate for the recycled water sold to the County according to the No Net Demand Wastewater Disposal Rates and Charges.

Key components of the rate are:

1. **Operating and Maintenance Costs of the System.** The DSRSD portion of the DERWA operating costs which represents the costs of treating the wastewater to the appropriate level is used for calculating this component.
 - a. In addition, the cost of select District staff is also included as Operating costs. These staff support the operation of the treatment facility and includes Clean Water, Laboratory and select Maintenance department staff.
2. **Replacement Costs.** This component covers the capital costs related to the replacement of the No Net Demand system. For purposes of this calculation, the estimate is the replacement funding used for the DERWA system. No DSRSD recycled storage or distribution are included in the calculation as those systems are deemed to be independent of this service.
3. **Filter Modifications.** These costs are included in the normal DERWA operating costs included above.
4. **Avoided Cost of Export.** The amount of the variable cost of exporting water through LAVWMA is used for this calculation. The unit rate is determined from data in the LAVWMA O&M cost reports divided by LAVWMA flows.
5. **Amortization of Additional Capital Costs.** The Agreement calls for the County to pay for the facilities required to connect to the recycled water system. The capital cost is amortized (an estimated annual amount) based on the estimated life of the pipes (75 years). The costs of the project is based on the work orders issued by District engineering staff to complete the project.

The detail calculation of the rate is attached as Attachment 3.

Staff has met and reviewed this rate calculation with County staff. Future updates to this rate will be conducted as part of the annual rate increase process.

Recommendation

Staff recommends that the Board approve by Resolution the proposed No Net Demand Wastewater Disposal Rates and Charges for Alameda County.

EXHIBIT H
COMPONENTS OF
NO NET DEMAND WASTEWATER DISPOSAL
RATES AND CHARGES

The parties acknowledge that the setting of rates and charges is a legislative act of the District and that the setting of rates and charges involves the consideration of many issues including but not limited to establishing equitability for users of a service provided by the District ensuring revenue sufficiency, maintaining adequate working capital balances and creating appropriate and necessary reserves and that the impact of and relative importance of each or any of these issues can and will change with time. The parties further acknowledge that the manner in which the costs for various components of the No Net Demand Wastewater Disposal Rates and Charges are calculated are materially important to each party. Accordingly, the District shall consider the components described below in the general manner described below in its rate making process. The Rates and Charges may have a variable and/or fixed component as may be determined by the District.

I. Operation and Maintenance The Rates and Charges shall have a component related to current costs incurred by the District to operate and maintain the No Net Demand Wastewater Disposal Facilities. Said component shall include all fixed and variable operating and maintenance costs and shall be based on the estimated volume, for the period the Rates and Charges are in effect, of recycled water to be supplied by the Recycled Water Facilities of which the No Net Demand Wastewater Disposal Facilities are a portion thereof.

II. Replacement The Rates and Charges shall have a component sufficient to fund a capital facilities replacement program for the No Net Demand Wastewater Disposal Facilities. Said component shall be determined based on projected capacity of the Recycled Water Facilities.

III. Filter Modifications The Rates and Charges shall have a component sufficient to fully amortize the District's cost to modify the District's existing Wastewater Treatment Plant filters pursuant Paragraph 13(g). Said component shall be determined based on projected capacity of the Recycled Water Facilities.

IV. Avoided Cost of Export The Rates and Charges shall have a component related to that portion of the actual variable and fixed costs that would be avoided by the District by not using the export facility owned and operated by the Livermore Amador Valley Water Management Agency for that volume of wastewater which is the subject of the No Net Demand Wastewater Disposal Capacity. This component is to be considered in light of the timing within the year of the No Net Demand Wastewater Disposal Services that are actually provided as compared to the timing within the year that wastewater is actually produced by the Santa Rita Correctional Facility.

V. Amortization of Additional Capital Costs The Rates and Charges shall have a

component related to the amortization of additional capital facilities as described in Paragraph 13(d)(iii) if said facilities are constructed.

VII. Additional Facilities The Rates and Charges shall have a component related to the costs incurred by the District to operate, maintain, and replace any No Net Demand Wastewater Disposal Facilities constructed in accordance with Paragraph 13(e).

VIII. Other Components The Rates and Charges shall have component(s) related to the costs incurred by and/or savings avoided by the District due to the No Net Demand Wastewater Disposal Services that are not otherwise specified herein that are necessary to provide said service.

**AGREEMENT AND PERMIT
FOR CONVERSION OF ALAMEDA COUNTY FACILITIES
TO USE RECYCLED WATER
FOR IRRIGATION AND OTHER NON-POTABLE USE**

This AGREEMENT (“Agreement”) is entered into as of _____ by and among COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, a political subdivision in the State of California (“County”), and the DUBLIN SAN RAMON SERVICES DISTRICT, a public agency in the counties of Alameda and Contra Costa, California (“DSRSD”) for use of recycled water at its governmental facilities in Dublin.

W I T N E S S E T H:

WHEREAS, the people of the State of California have a primary interest in the development of facilities to recycle wastewater to supplement existing surface and underground water supplies and to assist in meeting the future water requirements of the State (California Water Code Sections 13510 and 13550); and

WHEREAS, DSRSD entered into that certain Areawide Facility Agreement for Water, Wastewater and Recycled Water Services with Alameda County and Alameda County Surplus Property Authority dated December 20, 1994, as amended by the First, Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth supplemental agreements to the AWFA dated as of March 3, 1998; April 14, 1998; August 25, 1998; December 15, 1998; May 4, 1999; and September 14, 1999, respectively (AWFA) to provide services to the County’s Governmental Property, as defined in the AWFA; and

WHEREAS, the AWFA provides first priority recycled water service to the portion of the Governmental Property occupied by the Santa Rita Correctional Facility, and second priority recycled water service to the remainder of the Governmental Property, which currently includes the Sheriff’s Office, Office of Emergency Services, ACSO Regional Training Center, Public Works Agency, California Highway Patrol, Alameda County Fire Department, East County Animal Shelter (together with the Santa Rita Correctional Facility, the “County Facilities”); and

WHEREAS, on May 5, 2014, DSRSD Board of Directors adopted Resolution No. 26-14 declaring a Continuing Community Drought Emergency, and endorsed a updated Drought Response Action Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Drought Response Action Plan included a plan to expand and maximize the use of recycled water where it is cost effective; and

WHEREAS, the DSRSD Board has approved a project entitled Recycled Water Expansion Phase 1 - Distribution to Western Dublin and Alameda County Facilities (CIP 15-R009), Component 1 of which includes the construction of a recycled water pipeline adjacent to and through the Governmental Property and the conversion of the respective irrigation and related systems thereon to irrigate the Governmental Property with recycled water and to provide recycled water for other beneficial non-potable use; and

WHEREAS, DSRSD has applied for and anticipates award of California State Proposition 84 Drought Grant to reimburse some of the costs and expenses of the Recycled Water Expansion Phase 1 - Distribution to Western Dublin and Alameda County Facilities (CIP 15-R009), which project would permanently reduce potable water demand; and

WHEREAS, the Governmental Property is a major user of irrigation water, and will be adjacent to DSRSD’s recycled water system, and is cost effective for conversion to recycled water; and

WHEREAS, the County intends to use recycled water for landscape irrigation and other non-potable beneficial use on the Governmental Property.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE PARTIES HERETO AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

Permission is hereby granted to DSRSD to enter, temporarily occupy for the purpose of installing a Recycled Water System on a portion of the Governmental Property, alongside the street in the Governmental Property and identified as “C-1” and “C-2”, as shown in the attached DSRSD 100% Design Submittal dated September 2014 (Exhibit A) (“Premises”). Permission is also granted for the additional purpose of constructing, retrofitting, and using the Premises in order to implement the DSRSD project known as Recycled Water Expansion Phase 1: Distribution to Western Dublin and Alameda County Facilities (CIP 15-R009, “Project”). The project includes the installation of DSRSD recycled water distribution pipelines and the conversion of the respective irrigation and related systems to irrigate the Premises with recycled water and to provide recycled water for other beneficial non-potable use.

This Permit is granted subject to the following terms and conditions:

1. **Occupancy; Permit Revocable.** DSRSD may enter and temporarily occupy for the above stated purposes the Premises, concurrent with public use thereof, subject to the terms and conditions herein stated. This Permit is revocable. Said revocation is effective upon giving at least thirty (30) days prior written notice of such revocation as set forth in Paragraph 14 herein.
2. **Scope of Project Construction.** DSRSD and County staff have met and discussed the installation, by a licensed contractor retained by DSRSD, of a DSRSD recycled water distribution pipeline and appurtenances along Madigan Road and Broder Boulevard, as shown on Exhibit A (“Retrofit Improvements”) so that County may connect to the installed recycled water distribution pipeline to allow use of recycled water for the County Facilities and landscaped area. Retrofit Improvements shall include the installation of recycled water services and water meters and connection to existing County irrigation facilities, as detailed in Exhibit A.
3. **Duties and Responsibilities of the County.**
 - (a) **Project Representative.** The County will provide a single point of contact (“County Representative”) to DSRSD for the duration of the project. The currently designated County Representative is Matt Muniz, Facilities Manager, (510) 667-3047, matt.muniz@acgov.org.
 - (b) **County’s Operation of Retrofit Improvements and Use of Recycled Water.** The County agrees to use recycled water in accordance with applicable regulations and other provisions of law, and with DSRSD Standard Specifications and Drawings and DSRSD’s Recycled Water Use Guidelines and Requirements, as they may respectively be amended from time to time. However, County is under no obligation to use a minimum amount of recycled water.
 - (c) **Maintenance of Retrofit Improvements.** The County will be wholly responsible for maintenance of County recycled water facilities downstream of the water meters after the Project is accepted in accordance with DSRSD policies.
 - (d) **Expansion of On-site Recycled Water Facilities.** The County will submit plans to DSRSD for any changes to on-site recycled water facilities installed by DSRSD (“Installed Water Facilities”) that are planned by County after the Project is accepted by DSRSD. If the County expands the Installed Water Facilities, the expansion shall conform to permitting requirements as written in DSRSD Standard Specifications and Drawings and DSRSD’s Recycled Water Use Guidelines and Requirements, as they may respectively be amended from time to time.
 - (e) **Cross Connection Testing.** The County will provide at no cost to DSRSD a representative to be present during the on-site and cross-connection testing for the Retrofit Improvements and will be responsible for any maintenance or repairs required to successfully perform cross-connection testing, including, but not limited to, replacement of any existing non-functional valves.

4. Duties and Responsibilities of DSRSD.

(a) Project Representatives. DSRSD will provide a single point of contact (“DSRSD Representative”) to the County for the duration of the project. The DSRSD Representative will be responsible for coordinating all construction activities with the “County Representative”. The County Representative will be provided an agenda for all pre-construction meetings and regular meetings during construction and may attend said meetings.

(b) Design of Retrofit Improvements. DSRSD will design Retrofit Improvements that include modifications of existing on-site irrigation and related systems, signage, and public information in accordance with DSRSD Standard Specifications and Drawings for Design and Installation of Water and Wastewater Utilities (“DSRSD Standard Specifications and Drawings”) and all applicable requirements of the California Department of Public Health and Regional Water Quality Control Board.

(c) Coordination. DSRSD shall provide the County with a project schedule at least thirty (30) days prior to commencement of improvements. DSRSD will provide the County a minimum of two weeks’ notice prior to testing of the irrigation system and/or Retrofit Improvements on the Premises. DSRSD shall provide County with written notification at least five (5) business days prior to temporarily shutting off potable water service to any facility.

(d) Cross-Connection Testing. DSRSD will perform the on-site and cross-connection testing with the assistance of a County representative.

5. Cost of Project. DSRSD will pay the cost of the design and installation of the recycled water pipeline and appurtenances and retrofit improvements, and that cost will be included in, and recovered from County by DSRSD as part of the No Net Demand Wastewater Disposal Rates and Charges established pursuant to and set forth in Paragraph 13(d) of the AWFA. However, such costs to be included in or recovered from County shall be offset by the amount of California State Proposition 84 Drought Grant funds received by DSRSD for reimbursement of expenses incurred by DSRSD for the design and installation of the recycled water pipeline that is the subject of this agreement. DSRSD represents and agrees that the Retrofit Improvements will be installed by a contractor retained by DSRSD in accordance with any applicable provisions governing public works construction by DSRSD, including, but not limited to, applicable provisions of the Public Contracts Code and Labor Code.

6. Grant of Easement. The County will grant DSRSD an easement upon completion of the DSRSD project for the operation, maintenance and repair of the Installed Water Facilities in, under, over, along and across the real property substantially described in Exhibit A hereof and is attached and incorporated by reference herein. The Grant of Easement shall be dedicated using a form substantially similar to Exhibit B attached and incorporated herein by reference.

7. Cost of Meters. DSRSD will purchase such new recycled or potable meters that it determines to be required for the conversion of existing facilities.

8. Usage Rates and Charges. The County’s recycled water usage rates and charges at the Premises will be set as set forth in Paragraph 13(d) of the AWFA, including the cost of the design and installation of the recycled water pipeline and appurtenances and retrofit improvements as set forth in Paragraph 5 above. The Rates and Charges may change pursuant to the AWFA.

9. Restoration of the Premises. DSRSD will restore the areas of work to a condition that is comparable to the conditions of the Premises at the commencement of work. Said restoration shall be to the reasonable satisfaction of the County. DSRSD will adjust irrigation heads as needed to minimize overspray outside landscape areas.

10. Term; Earlier Termination. The term of this Agreement shall be two (2) years from the date first hereinabove written; provided, that said term is not earlier terminated pursuant to the remaining provisions of this Paragraph 10 or, pursuant to the provisions of Paragraph 19, provided further that this Agreement is not modified in writing so as to expire earlier, or be extended. DSRSD may terminate this agreement without cause by giving written notice to the County not less than ten (10) days prior to the effective date of termination. The specific date of termination shall be included in said notice. Nothing herein contained shall be deemed a limitation upon the right of DSRSD to terminate this agreement for cause, or otherwise to exercise such rights or pursue such remedies as may accrue to DSRSD hereunder, or pursuant to applicable law or regulations, or the DSRSD Code.

Should DSRSD terminate this Agreement pursuant to this Paragraph 10, DSRSD shall, at DSRSD's sole expense, restore irrigation and related systems of the Premises that DSRSD has already modified to their operational status prior to such modifications. Such restoration shall be complete within sixty (60) days of such termination, and shall be subject to all the terms of this Agreement, including Provision 11, Indemnification/Hold Harmless.

11. Indemnification/Hold Harmless. DSRSD shall hold harmless, indemnify and defend the County, from and against all claims for liability, losses, damages, expenses, costs which may be brought against or suffered or sustained by the County, caused by, or alleged to have been caused by, the negligence, intentional tortious act or willful misconduct of DSRSD, its governing body, committees, officers, employees, consultants, contractors or agents in the performance of any services or work pursuant to this Agreement.

Additionally, the County shall hold harmless, indemnify and defend DSRSD, from and against all claims for liability, losses, damages, expenses, costs which may be brought against or suffered or sustained by DSRSD, caused by, or alleged to have been caused by, the negligence, intentional tortious act or willful misconduct of the County, its governing body, committees, officers, employees, consultants, contractors or agents in the performance of this Agreement.

Nothing herein contained shall be construed to require DSRSD to indemnify the County against any responsibility or liability in contravention of California Civil Code Section 2782. Nothing herein contained shall be construed deemed to contravene or supersede any of the provisions in the AWFA. Nothing herein contained shall be construed deemed to contravene or supersede any of the provisions of the DSRSD Code, including without limitation those governing provision of water service.

12. Insurance. The parties to this Agreement acknowledge that they are covered by insurance, which may be through self insurance, related to their respective activities on the Premises and will be covered by insurance related to the Project in an amount that is deemed to be reasonable by their responsible governing bodies for foreseeable exposure to risks related to this project. DSRSD and its contractor warrant that its respective insurance meets the requirements as described in Exhibit C attached and made a part of this agreement. The parties further agree to disclose information about their insurance on five (5) days written request as set forth herein.

13. Notices. All notices to be given hereunder shall be written, and shall be sent by certified or registered mail, postage prepaid, addressed as follows:

To DSRSD: General Manager
Dublin San Ramon Services District
7051 Dublin Boulevard
Dublin, CA 94568

To the County: Director, General Services Agency
County of Alameda
1401 Lakeside Drive, 10th Floor
Oakland, CA 94612

With copy to: Real Property Manager, GSA
County of Alameda
1401 Lakeside Drive, Suite 600
Oakland, CA 94612

All writings, notices or communications shall be deemed to be served and shall be deemed to be made within five (5) business days of the date of mailing of the writing, notice or communication. All writings, notices, and communications shall be addressed and directed as set forth in this Paragraph 13. Any change of address by any party must be made by giving written notice of the change to the parties within five (5) business days of said change and in the manner as stated herein.

14. **Assignments.** This permit and the privileges granted to DSRSD hereunder shall not be assigned by DSRSD without prior written consent of the County, and any purported assignment lacking such consent shall be void.
15. **Successors.** The terms and conditions set forth herein shall be binding upon, and the benefits shall accrue to the successors in interest of each party, its assigns, transferees, heirs or grantees.
16. **Waiver.** The waiver of any breach of this Agreement shall not constitute a continuing waiver or a waiver of any subsequent breach either of the same or another provision of this Agreement.
17. **No Representations.** Each party to this Agreement acknowledges that no representations, inducements, promises or agreements, orally or otherwise, have been made by any party, or anyone acting on behalf of any party, which are not embodied herein, and that no agreement, statement or promise not contained in this Agreement shall be valid or binding.
18. **Enforceability.** If any provision in this Agreement is held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, void, or unenforceable, the remaining provisions will nevertheless continue in full force without being impaired or invalidated in any way.
19. **Amendments: Supplemental Agreements.** Any modification or amendment of this Agreement shall be effective only if it is in writing, signed by the party to be charged, and a copy thereof properly delivered to the party seeking to enforce such modification. This Agreement may be amended or supplemented by agreements of the parties approved and executed in the same manner as the original agreement.
20. **Attorney's Fees.** If any action, proceeding, or litigation is commenced arising out of or relating to this Agreement, or to enforce or collect any judgment or award, then the prevailing party shall be entitled to receive, in addition to any other relief that may be granted, the reasonable actual amount of attorney's fees, costs, and expenses incurred in the action or proceeding.
21. **Jurisdiction.** The Agreement and the legal relations between the parties shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.
22. **Dispute Resolution.** In the event of a dispute between the parties in the interpretation of any provision

of this Agreement or of the performance of either party expressly or impliedly required hereunder, prior to instituting any legal proceeding or action, the party claiming the dispute shall notify the other party in writing of the nature of said dispute and request a meeting to confer regarding resolution thereof.

Said parties shall meet and confer within ten (10) days of the date of said notice of dispute and shall endeavor in good faith to resolve said dispute by agreement. In the event that the parties, after a good faith effort, are unable to resolve the dispute, then the parties shall attempt to resolve the dispute through mediation. If resolution through mediation cannot be achieved, then the parties may enforce their respective rights in such manner as they shall mutually determine, provided that any litigation to resolve any dispute shall be commenced in a court of competent jurisdiction located within Alameda County.

23. Effective Date of Agreement. This Agreement becomes effective on the date of the last signature affixed hereto.

24. Paragraph Heading. Paragraph headings, as used herein, are for convenience only and shall not be deemed to alter or modify the meaning of the Paragraph headed thereby.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Agreement the date and year first written.

DUBLIN SAN RAMON SERVICES DISTRICT,
a public agency

By: _____
Bert Michalczyk, General Manager

Attest:

Nancy G. Hatfield, District Secretary

COUNTY OF ALAMEDA,
a political subdivision of the State of California

By: _____
President, Board of Supervisors
County of Alameda, State of California

Approved as-to Form:

Senior Deputy County Counsel

EXHIBIT A
Dublin San Ramon Services District
Gleason Drive Recycled Water Main Extension Project
Dublin, California
September 2014
100% Design Submittal

Recording Requested By:

Dublin San Ramon Services District
7051 Dublin Boulevard
Dublin, CA 94568

And When Recorded Mail To:

Dublin San Ramon Services District
7051 Dublin Boulevard
Dublin, CA 94568

Space Above This Line For Recorder's Use

No Tax Due

Record Without Fee, Government Section 27383

Mail Tax Statements To:

[Empty box for mail tax statements]

Nancy G. Hatfield, District Secretary
Dublin San Ramon Services District

R&T Code 11911 – Consideration - 0

EASEMENT NO. _____ AFFECTS A.P.N. _____ ESCROW NO. _____

GRANT OF EASEMENT

By this instrument dated _____, for a valuable consideration, _____

hereinafter "GRANTOR", being owners of the real property described in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and incorporated by this reference, hereby GRANTS to DUBLIN SAN RAMON SERVICES DISTRICT, a political subdivision organized and existing under the community services district laws of the State of California, Counties of Alameda and Contra Costa, the following described interest in the real property located in the State of California, County of Alameda, City of Dublin: a non-exclusive perpetual Public Utility and Right of Way Easement for the purpose of installing, constructing, reconstructing, repairing, maintaining and operating improvements consisting of potable water and recycled water lines, and all appurtenances thereto, including the right of ingress and egress for said purposes therefore, in, under, over, along and across that certain land:

As shown on plat map in Exhibit "B" attached hereto and incorporated by this reference.

GRANTOR hereby agrees to refrain from building, erecting or allowing any permanent structure over the easement herein granted, and further agrees to remove any temporary structure or other improvement when it interferes with access to the potable and recycled water lines necessary for the above purposes. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, GRANTOR has executed this Grant of Easement as of the day and year first above written.

GRANTOR

EXHIBIT C

COUNTY OF ALAMEDA MINIMUM INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS

Without limiting any other obligation or liability under this Agreement, DSRSD and the Contractor retained to perform the Gleason Drive Recycled Water Main Extension Project ("Contractor"), at its sole cost and expense, shall secure and keep in force during the entire term of the Agreement or longer, as may be specified below, the following minimum insurance coverage, limits and endorsements:

TYPE OF INSURANCE COVERAGES	MINIMUM LIMITS
A Commercial General Liability Premises Liability; Products and Completed Operations; Contractual Liability; Personal Injury and Advertising Liability	\$1,000,000 per occurrence (CSL) Bodily Injury and Property Damage
B Workers' Compensation (WC) and Employers Liability (EL) Required for all contractors with employees	WC: Statutory Limits EL: \$1,000,000 per accident for bodily injury or disease
C <u>Endorsements and Conditions:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. ADDITIONAL INSURED: All insurance required above with the exception of Workers' Compensation and Employers Liability, shall be endorsed to name as additional insured: County of Alameda, its Board of Supervisors, the individual members thereof, and all County officers, agents, employees, volunteers, and representatives. The Additional Insured endorsement shall be at least as broad as ISO Form Number CG 20 38 04 13. 2. DURATION OF COVERAGE: All required insurance shall be maintained during the entire term of the Agreement. In addition, Insurance policies and coverage(s) written on a claims-made basis shall be maintained during the entire term of the Agreement and until 3 years following the later of termination of the Agreement and acceptance of all work provided under the Agreement, with the retroactive date of said insurance (as may be applicable) concurrent with the commencement of activities pursuant to this Agreement. 3. REDUCTION OR LIMIT OF OBLIGATION: All insurance policies, including excess and umbrella insurance policies, shall include an endorsement and be primary and non-contributory and will not seek contribution from any other insurance (or self-insurance) available to the County. The primary and non-contributory endorsement shall be at least as broad as ISO Form 20 01 04 13. Pursuant to the provisions of this Agreement insurance effected or procured by the Contractor shall not reduce or limit Contractor's contractual obligation to indemnify and defend the Indemnified Parties. 4. INSURER FINANCIAL RATING: Insurance shall be maintained through an insurer with a A.M. Best Rating of no less than A:VII or equivalent, shall be admitted to the State of California unless otherwise waived by Risk Management, and with deductible amounts acceptable to the County. Acceptance of Contractor's insurance by County shall not relieve or decrease the liability of Contractor hereunder. Any deductible or self-insured retention amount or other similar obligation under the policies shall be the sole responsibility of the Contractor. 5. SUBCONTRACTORS: Contractor shall include all subcontractors as an insured (covered party) under its policies or shall verify that the subcontractor, under its own policies and endorsements, has complied with the insurance requirements in this Agreement, including this Exhibit. The additional Insured endorsement shall be at least as broad as ISO Form Number CG 20 38 04 13. 6. JOINT VENTURES: If Contractor is an association, partnership or other joint business venture, required insurance shall be provided by one of the following methods: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Separate insurance policies issued for each individual entity, with each entity included as a "Named Insured" (covered party), or at minimum named as an "Additional Insured" on the other's policies. Coverage shall be at least as broad as in the ISO Forms named above. – Joint insurance program with the association, partnership or other joint business venture included as a "Named Insured". 7. CANCELLATION OF INSURANCE: All insurance shall be required to provide thirty (30) days advance written notice to the County of cancellation. 8. CERTIFICATE OF INSURANCE: Before commencing operations under this Agreement, Contractor shall provide Certificate(s) of Insurance and applicable insurance endorsements, in form and satisfactory to County, evidencing that all required insurance coverage is in effect. The County reserves the rights to require the Contractor to provide complete, certified copies of all required insurance policies. The required certificate(s) and endorsements must be sent as set forth in the Notices provision. 	

CALCULATION OF NO NET DEMAND WATER RATE ALAMEDA COUNTY

Calculation Methodology		
<i>Per Exhibit H of Area Wide Facility Agreement(12/12/94)</i>		
I Operations& Maintenance		
JPA costs	1,340,306	1.22
Other staff costs DSRSD for recyc system	232,905	
II Replacment funding	723,005	
III Replacement Filters	0	
IV Avoided cost of Export (See below)		
V Amortization of additonal capital(13(d)(iii)) costs	\$ 13,322	<i>Unit cost Included below</i>
*		
VII Amortization of additonal capital(13(e)) costs		
Wet weather storage	0	
VIII Other costs to implement	0	
TOTAL annual costs	<u>2,296,216</u>	
Estimated total consumption	<u>1,102,599</u>	
Cost per ccf	\$ 2.083	
Credit per above (IV)	\$ (0.329)	
Cost of V above	\$ 0.153	
Net price per ccf of NO Net demand	<u>\$ 1.91</u>	
Current Limited Access rate (Potable)	\$ 2.90	
Current recycled rate	\$ 3.49	

- * There is no paragraph VI included in Exhibit H



Reference General Manager	Type of Action Accept Report(s)	Board Meeting of September 15, 2015
Subject Accept the Following Regular and Recurring Reports: Warrant List, Upcoming Board Business, Low Income Assistance Program and Unexpected Asset Replacement Requests		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Motion	<input type="checkbox"/> Minute Order	<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution
<input type="checkbox"/> Ordinance	<input type="checkbox"/> Informational	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
REPORT:	<input type="checkbox"/> Verbal	<input type="checkbox"/> Presentation
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff	B. Michalczyk
		<input type="checkbox"/> Board Member

Recommendation:

The General Manager recommends the Board of Directors accept, by Motion, the attached regular and recurring reports.

Summary:

To maximize openness and transparency and to allow the Board to be informed about key aspects of District business and to provide direction when appropriate, the Board directed that various regular and recurring reports be presented for Board acceptance at regular intervals. This item is routinely presented to the Board at the second meeting of each calendar month.

Attachment 1 summarizes the current regular and recurring reports; the actual reports are themselves attachments to Attachment 1. Reports presented this month for acceptance are:

- Warrant List;
- Upcoming Board Business;
- Low Income Assistance Program; and
- Unexpected Asset Replacement Requests:
 - WWTP Building G A/C for MCC Room (7.5 Ton)
 - Completely Refurbish Aeration Propeller Mixer

This item is regularly presented at the second Board meeting of the month.

Committee Review			Legal Review	Staff Review		
COMMITTEE ---	DATE ---	RECOMMENDATION ---	Not Required	ORIGINATOR B. Michalczyk	DEPARTMENT Executive	REVIEWED BY
ATTACHMENTS <input type="checkbox"/> None						
<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution	<input type="checkbox"/> Minute Order	<input type="checkbox"/> Task Order	<input type="checkbox"/> Staff Report	<input type="checkbox"/> Ordinance		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cost \$0	<input type="checkbox"/> Funding Source A. B.	Attachments to S&R 1. Summary of Regular and Recurring Reports 2. 3.				

ATTACHMENT 1 to S&R
SUMMARY OF REGULAR AND RECURRING REPORTS

Ref.	Description	Frequency	Authority	Last Acceptance	Acceptance at this Meeting?	Next Acceptance
A	Water Supply and Conservation Report ^{1 2}	Monthly	Board Direction	September 2015	YES	October 2015
B	District Financial Statements ³					
C	Warrant List					
D	Upcoming Board Business					
E	Low Income Assistance Program Report	Annually. Fiscal Year Cycle	District Code	N/A	YES	July 2016
F	Strategic Work Plan Accomplishments Report			July 2015		
G	Employee Retention Statistics ⁴			July 2015		
H	Outstanding Receivables Report			July 2015		
I	Employee and Director Reimbursements greater than \$100 ⁵			CA Government Code		
J	Board Committee Goal Status Report	Annually, Calendar Year Cycle	Board Direction	Jan 2015		Jan 2016
K	"No Net Change" Operating Budget Adjustments	As they occur but not more frequently than monthly	Budget Accountability Policy (See Note A)	April 2014		Before end of month after occurrence
L	Capital Outlay Budget Adjustments			April 2015		
M	Capital Project Budget Adjustments			Oct 2014		
N	Unexpected Asset Replacements			Dec 2014	YES	

Note A: For the fiscal year ending 2016, the totals for these reports are as follows:

Category	YTD	This Meeting	Total
"No Net Change" Operating Budget Adjustments	\$0	\$0	\$0
Capital Outlay Budget Adjustments	\$0	\$0	\$0
Capital Project Budget Adjustments	\$0	\$0	\$0
Unexpected Asset Replacements	\$0	\$22,958	\$22,958

¹ Monthly during Community Drought Emergency; monthly during the winter season in non-drought years.

² Separate agenda item presented to Board as a Board Business item during Community Drought Emergency.

³ No Report for the months of June-September, quarterly report (July-September) to be provided in October

⁴ In Jan 2015 administratively moved to FY rather than CY cycle to accommodate data access issues which are reported on CY cycle

⁵ Reimbursements also reported monthly in the Warrant List (Item C).

apCkHistDesc

Check History Description Listing

Page: 1

Printed on: 09/04/2015 10:52AM

Dublin San Ramon Services District

From: 8/17/2015

To: 9/6/2015

Bank code: apbank

Date	Check #	Vendor	Description	Amount Paid	Check Total
08/17/2015	8172015	03718 HR SIMPLIFIED	2015 IRS 125 - POS	769.65	769.65
08/20/2015	86255	04483 AA OFFICE EQUIPMENT CO, INC.	OFFICE FURNITURE FOR FLEET SHOP	3,334.28	3,334.28
08/20/2015	86256	03460 ACCO ENGINEERED SYSTEMS II	HVAC REPAIR: ELE RM AC UNIT - SC #162905	482.00	482.00
08/20/2015	86257	00031 ALLIED FLUID PRODUCTS CORP	LAVWMA: MECHANICAL SEAL REBUILDS (QTY 3)	4,548.84	4,548.84
08/20/2015	86258	01013 BARRETT BUSINESS SERVICES	M. ATKINSON: W/E 07/26/15	1,482.40	
			J. KAUFFMAN: W/E 7/26/15	1,239.04	
			D. SWIFT: W/E 07/26/15	1,024.00	
			J. CRIST: W/E 7/26/15	883.20	
			T. AMARO: W/E 07/26/15	819.20	
			C. HIVES: W/E 7/26/15	806.40	
			M. PEREZ: W/E 7/26/15	768.00	
			C. SIMMONS: W/E 7/26/15	768.00	
			D. CALDEIRA: W/E 7/26/15	691.20	
			K. GARCIA: W/E 7/26/15	629.76	
			N. POON: W/E 7/19/15	620.16	
			K. HAWKINS: W/E 7/26/15	563.20	
			N. POON: W/E 07/26/15	544.00	
			S. SWANSON: W/E 07/26/15	506.88	
			D. RODRIGUEZ: W/E 7/26/15	506.88	
			L. KUEFNER: W/E 7/26/15	468.48	
			S. JENNE: W/E 7/26/15	460.80	
			S. LONDON: W/E 7/26/15	460.80	
			A. BAXTER: W/E 7/26/15	433.92	
			H. SEGELKE: W/E 7/26/15	422.40	14,098.72
08/20/2015	86259	00228 STEVE DELIGHT	DELIGHT REIMB EXP FOR RW FILL STATION RE	12.98	12.98
08/20/2015	86260	06114 EID, INC.	15-A006 EID TASK ORDER #2 TO MSTR AGREEM	15,000.00	15,000.00

Check History Description Listing

Dublin San Ramon Services District

From: 8/17/2015 To: 9/6/2015

Bank code: apbank

Date	Check #	Vendor	Description	Amount Paid	Check Total
08/20/2015	86261	04691 INC. EQUIFAX INFORMATION SV	FTC RED FLAG RULES IDENTITY VERIFICATION	640.78	640.78
08/20/2015	86262	01273 SIMONE GRASHUIS	GRASHUIS COMPUTER LOAN	1,042.16	1,042.16
08/20/2015	86263	04424 GRAYBAR ELECTRIC COMPANY	DISCONNECT FOR BLDT T BRIDGE CRANE ELE PARTS FOR PORTABLE AC UNIT	171.61 109.22	280.83
08/20/2015	86264	00386 HDR ENGINEERING INC.	15-W010 TASK ORDER 8	31,655.10	31,655.10
08/20/2015	86265	00439 IUOE LOCAL 39	LOCAL 39 UNION DUES: PAYMENT	4,386.06	4,386.06
08/20/2015	86266	00473 KEMIRA WATER SOLUTIONS INC	DERWA OPS PAX-XL8 DELIVERY 07/28/15	10,864.34	10,864.34
08/20/2015	86267	01171 MCGUIRE & HESTER	15-R009 PP#1 RW TO WEST DUBLIN 15-R009 PP#5 RETENTION RELEASE PERF 7/13/15 WATER SERV LEAK @ VIA ZAPAT 7/12/15 WATERLINE REPAIR @ 10TH & CROMWE 7/2/15 12TH & DAVIS WATER MAIN LEAK PERF 7/27/15 LEAKING VALVE @ BURNHAM & C PERF 7/25/15 WATERLINE LEAK @ 9TH & CROM 15-W017 W. VOMAC & RHODA ELEC CONDUIT BA 15-W017 CONC PAD @ TANK SITE ON W. VOMA 7/2/15 REPAIR AC FAILURE @ WWTP PERF 7/28/15 ST PATRICK FIRE HYDRANT REP 15-W017 BACKFILL @ RHODA TANK SITE	144,400.00 16,891.65 14,569.21 9,189.41 8,678.43 7,656.71 6,012.14 5,986.90 5,203.36 5,134.36 4,877.42 2,141.86	230,741.45
08/20/2015	86268	00608 OFFICE TEAM	TEMP SVCS W/E 08/07/15 - MONTAGUE	713.51	713.51
08/20/2015	86269	01078 STEFANIE OLSON	OLSON REIMB EXP AT BAWCC MEETING 07/22/2	17.07	17.07
08/20/2015	86270	04973 NATERCIA SAUCEDA	CASE ID FL364781: PAYMENT	111.23	111.23
08/20/2015	86271	00762 TESTAMERICA LABORATORIES I	SAMPLE TESTING SAMPLE TESTING SAMPLE TESTING	150.00 45.00 25.00	220.00

Check History Description Listing

Dublin San Ramon Services District

From: 8/17/2015 To: 9/6/2015

Bank code: apbank

Date	Check #	Vendor	Description	Amount Paid	Check Total
08/20/2015	86272	05905 CAMNGA THACH-DALLABETTA	THACH REIMB EXP AT CWEA SYP COMMITTE DIN	40.00	40.00
08/20/2015	86273	05026 UNIVAR USA INC.	WWTP SODIUM HYPOCHLORITE DELIVERY 07/30/	2,115.54	2,115.54
08/20/2015	86274	04694 VULCAN MATERIALS CO	ASPHALT CONCRETE AGGREGATE BASE 24.52 TO	273.97	273.97
08/20/2015	86275	00937 W.W. GRAINGER, INC.	COOLING TOWELS FOR DIV. 53 & DROUGHT	78.73	78.73
08/20/2015	86276	00019 A-1 ENTERPRISES	LAWWMA: WEEKLY STREET SWEEPING JUL '15	275.00	
			WWTP RW FILL STATION: PORTA POTTY JUL '1	236.46	511.46
08/20/2015	86277	05728 ALAMEDA COUNTY SHERIFF	CASE FILE # 14-10334: PAYMENT	479.19	479.19
08/20/2015	86278	03597 ALAMEDA CTY ENVIRONMENTAL	HMBP FEE: TO #1 FACILTIY NO. FA0308575	454.00	
			HMBP FEE: TO #2 FACILTIY NO. FA0308576	454.00	
			HMBP FEE: TO #4 FACILTIY NO. FA0308577	454.00	
			HMBP FEE: TO #5 FACILTIY NO. FA0308578	454.00	1,816.00
08/20/2015	86279	05872 ALL INDUSTRIAL ELECTRIC SUP	REPLT FAN FOR DO WOMEN'S BATHROOM EXHAUS	195.30	
			FREIGHT CHARGES FOR INV #5121315: EXHAUS	23.22	
			FREIGHT CHARGES FOR INV #5121683: REPLT	14.95	233.47
08/20/2015	86280	01195 AMERICAN BATTERY CO.	PS 65-775 BATTERY FOR STOCK	78.84	
			(12QTY) BATTERY CLEANER FOR STOCK	74.50	153.34
08/20/2015	86281	06552 BILLING SOLUTIONS INC. AMERI	CUSTOMER PORTAL ENHANCEMENT PROJECTS	7,000.00	7,000.00
08/20/2015	86282	00058 ARROWHEAD MOUNTAIN SPRIN	LAB BOTTLED WATER	65.00	65.00
08/20/2015	86283	06211 ASSOCIATED SERVICES CO.	OPS DEPT HOT BEVERAGE SERVICE AUG '15	192.95	192.95
08/20/2015	86284	07084 BENTLEY SYSTEMS, INC.	09-6101 EADOC (DOCUMENT MGMT) QTE #744	5,500.00	
			09-6101 EADOC (DOCUMENT MGMT) QTE #744	2,500.00	8,000.00
08/20/2015	86285	05098 BIOVIR LABORATORIES, INC.	FECAL COLIFORM, TOTAL SOLIDS	109.00	109.00
08/20/2015	86286	07138 CALIFORNIA WATER TECHNOLO	FERROUS CHLORIDE DELIVERY 07/29/15	4,436.92	4,436.92
08/20/2015	86287	01085 CALPERS LONG-TERM CARE PR	LONG-TERM CARE: PAYMENT	68.12	68.12

Check History Description Listing

Dublin San Ramon Services District

From: 8/17/2015 To: 9/6/2015

Bank code: apbank

Date	Check #	Vendor	Description	Amount Paid	Check Total
08/20/2015	86288	00105 CAL-STEAM	DROUGHT: (2QTY) THRD COMP FLG FOR RES RW	80.44	
			PLUMBING PARTS FOR 4WATER PLANT PIPING	71.20	
			(2QTY) GALV MI BUSH & GALV STL NIP FOR F	44.98	
			FLEX WTR HOSE FOR FOD WATER HEATER	15.84	212.46
08/20/2015	86289	01167 CITY OF DUBLIN	7-27-15 0 CALLAN STREET & BURNHAM WAY EN	488.00	488.00
08/20/2015	86290	05196 COMCAST	BUSINESS TV BASIC 08/07 - 09/06/15	15.67	15.67
08/20/2015	86291	05780 CONTRACTOR COMPLIANCE INC	15-R009 LABOR COMPLIANCE WEST DUBLIN	95.00	95.00
08/20/2015	86292	03995 CORELOGIC SOLUTIONS, LLC	METROSCAN MONTH OF JULY	324.58	324.58
08/20/2015	86293	01973 CROMER EQUIPMENT	DECAL FOR E11 WWTP FORKLIFT	63.35	63.35
08/20/2015	86294	00212 CUMMINS PACIFIC LLC.	FLEET STOCK: OIL FILTER; ES COMP PG; AIR	228.69	228.69
08/20/2015	86295	05164 CWEA -SFBS	SEMINAR: BUILDING LEADERSHIP SKILLS - CO	60.00	60.00
08/20/2015	86296	06283 STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPART	PROFESSIONAL LICENSE RENEWAL: 2015-2016	115.00	115.00
08/20/2015	86297	06700 DETECTOR SERVICE CENTER, II	DETECTOR WIPRE TEST	35.00	35.00
08/20/2015	86298	06215 EAST BAY LEADERSHIP COUNCI	EAST BAY LEADERSHIP COUNCIL - 2015 MEMBE	690.00	690.00
08/20/2015	86299	02766 ELLIOTT GROUP	WOODWARD UG15 ACTUATOR (14 WEEKS OUT)	4,458.10	4,458.10
08/20/2015	86300	06737 ENVIROSERV INC.	Sulfatreat Disposal	2,109.48	
			Sulfatreat Disposal	1,967.84	4,077.32
08/20/2015	86301	02656 FASTENAL COMPANY	NITRILE GLOVES - PPE - SHOP	401.43	401.43
08/20/2015	86302	00314 FEDEX	WWTP: OVERNIGHT DELIVERY CHARGES AUG '15	53.24	53.24
08/20/2015	86303	00699 FLYERS ENERGY, LLC	(500G) REG 87 UNLEADED GASOLINE	1,614.31	1,614.31
08/20/2015	86304	07205 GLENMOUNT GLOBAL SOLUTION	09-6101 PP#1 SCADA IMPROVEMENTS	128,138.28	128,138.28
08/20/2015	86305	03149 HDS WHITE CAP CONST SUPPLY	DELINEATORS FOR RW FILL STATION DUBLIN	227.58	227.58
08/20/2015	86306	03142 HI-LINE	FLEET STOCK SUPPLIES/PARTS	64.83	64.83

Check History Description Listing

Dublin San Ramon Services District

From: 8/17/2015

To: 9/6/2015

Bank code: apbank

Date	Check #	Vendor	Description	Amount Paid	Check Total
08/20/2015	86307	06732 HIRERIGHT, INC.	EMPLOYMENT BACKGROUND SCREENING (FOD SUP	132.89	132.89
08/20/2015	86308	07167 JIM FREETHY EXCAVATING, INC	REFUND METER #63013329	516.81	516.81
08/20/2015	86309	01225 KAESER COMPRESSORS, INC	DERWA: OIL FILTER; CONDENS.DRAIN; M-460 OIL FILTER CARTRIDGE & OIL SEPARATOR CAR DERWA: CONDENS.DRAIN	2,136.07 2,010.58 390.53	4,537.18
08/20/2015	86310	00468 KAMAN INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLO	(4QTY) BALL BEARING PILLOW BLOCK (4QTY) GAT SUPER HC V-BELT SAND PAPER	331.71 59.52 29.73	420.96
08/20/2015	86311	06166 KBA	COPIERS UCC & LEASE 08/01/15 - 08/31/15	809.21	809.21
08/20/2015	86312	06166 KBA	COPIER FOD/ENGR/MAINT 07/01/15 - 07/31/1	342.46	342.46
08/20/2015	86313	07193 LAI & ASSOCIATES	FIELD DENSITY TESTING @ 8115 VIA ZAPATA	785.43	785.43
08/20/2015	86314	00536 MC MASTER-CARR SUPPLY CO.	PARTS FOR CHILLER VACUUM PUMP	130.43	130.43
08/20/2015	86315	05897 MERIT RESOURCE GROUP	A. MCCAFFERY: W/E 7/26/15	1,227.40	1,227.40
08/20/2015	86316	03978 MICROTECH SCIENTIFIC	LAB SUPPLIES	278.34	278.34
08/20/2015	86317	04231 MSC INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CO	DIV 56 & DROUGHT MISC SAFETY SUPPLIES & CO-GEN: TEST EQUIPMENT	1,597.91 305.36	1,903.27
08/20/2015	86318	04796 NAPA AUTO PARTS	(8QTY) LICENSE PLATE FRAMES FOR NEW TRUC	80.94	80.94
08/20/2015	86319	07100 ARNE OLSON	BOARD MTG ATTENDANCE - 07/15/15	50.00	50.00
08/20/2015	86320	00620 P G & E	LAVWMA PS FEEDER B AUG '15 PUMP STATION R200B ELEC - AUGUST 2015 FSL AERATORS; LAB HVAC; FLEET - JULY 201 PUMP STATION R300A ELEC - JULY 2015 PUMP STATION 10A ELEC - JULY 2015 PUMP STATION 2B ELEC - JULY 2015 PUMP STATION 300A ELEC - JULY 2015	40,930.14 5,391.72 2,046.14 1,783.89 1,309.97 1,023.09 505.88	

Check History Description Listing

Dublin San Ramon Services District

From: 8/17/2015 To: 9/6/2015

Bank code: apbank

Date	Check #	Vendor	Description	Amount Paid	Check Total
			LAWWMA PIPELINE & LIVERMORE LINE AUG '15	424.81	53,415.64
08/20/2015	86321	06023 JERRY PENTIN	BOARD MTG ATTENDANCE - 07/15/15	50.00	50.00
08/20/2015	86322	04211 PLATT ELECTRIC SUPPLY	ELE PARTS & SUPPLIES FOR RES 1A PAX SYST	408.42	408.42
08/20/2015	86323	00663 PLEASANTON GARBAGE SVC IN	TRANSPORT SOLIDS/GRIT SCREENINGS JUL '15	4,572.27	4,572.27
08/20/2015	86324	04951 PLEASANTON TRUCKING	HAULING MATERIALS	183.90	183.90
08/20/2015	86325	05475 PRESIDIO SYSTEMS, INC.	2016 CCTV INSPECTION SERVICES FOR DEVELO	4,488.75	4,488.75
08/20/2015	86326	05876 PRIEST CONSULTING, INC.	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	2,625.00	2,625.00
08/20/2015	86327	04105 R&B COMPANY	4 960 CLOW HYDRANTS	7,961.96	
			UNDERGROUND REPAIR PARTS	1,297.68	9,259.64
08/20/2015	86328	05449 SAMBA HOLDINGS, INC.	MONTHLY DMV REPORTING FOR 07-15	162.18	162.18
08/20/2015	86329	05582 ATTORNEYS AT LAW SOMACH SI	LEGAL OPINION ON DV WATER SUPPLY	1,458.00	1,458.00
08/20/2015	86330	00862 TIFCO INDUSTRIES	OPERATING SUPPLIES: HEX NUT; LOCK WASHER	1,383.12	1,383.12
08/20/2015	86331	03377 TRI VALLEY AUTO INTERIORS	TRUCK SEAT COMPLETE VINYL FOR UNIT #71	486.25	486.25
08/20/2015	86332	00896 UNDERGROUND SERVICE ALER	UNDERGROUND SERVICE ALERT (USA) - 2015 A	1,714.14	
			LAWWMA: USA ANNUAL MEMBERSHIP	162.65	1,876.79
08/20/2015	86333	00903 UNITED RENTALS, INC.	PROPANE FUEL AND MISC ITEMS	92.09	92.09
08/20/2015	86334	00556 UNITED WAY OF THE BAY AREA	UNITED WAY: PAYMENT	243.93	243.93
08/20/2015	86335	00924 VERIZON WIRELESS	CELL PHN SVC AND EQUPTMNT CHARGES 07/04	3,344.84	3,344.84
08/20/2015	86336	00928 VINCENT ELEC MOTOR CO., INC	REPLACEMENT SLUDGE PUMP MOTOR	3,621.02	3,621.02
08/20/2015	86337	00933 VWR INTERNATIONAL, INC.	LAWWMA: REAGENTS FOR FIELD CONTINUOUS PR	15.90	
			CHEMICALS AND SUPPLIES	11.51	27.41
08/20/2015	86338	02918 WALNUT CREEK FORD	SPARK PLUGS & CLOILS FOR TRUCK #20	554.83	
			BRAKE PARTS FOR TRUCK #65	282.52	837.35

Bank code: apbank

Date	Check #	Vendor	Description	Amount Paid	Check Total
08/20/2015	86339	07021 BOB WOERNER	BOARD MTG ATTENDANCE - 07/15/15	50.00	50.00
08/20/2015	86340	00987 ZONE 7 WATER AGENCY	JULY TREATED WATER SERVICE	658,863.34	658,863.34
08/20/2015	11961846	00591 NEOPOST USA INC.	POSTAGE ADVANCE 08/20/2015	1,000.00	1,000.00
08/24/2015	7992	05511 CALIFORNIA STATE	CHILD SUPPORT GARNISHMENT: PAYMENT	276.92	276.92
08/24/2015	82415	03718 HR SIMPLIFIED	IRS 125 POS, DCA, FSA	1,551.27	1,551.27
08/24/2015	607082415	01098 NATIONWIDE RETIREMENT SOLI	NATIONAL DEFERRED COMPENSATION: PAYMENT	44,463.44	44,463.44
08/24/2015	1000592916	00494 PERS	RETIREMENT: PAYMENT	85,023.08	85,023.08
08/25/2015	297533056	00559 EDD - PAYROLL	CALIFORNIA STATE TAXES: PAYMENT	21,798.40	21,798.40
08/25/2015	722322716	00558 IRS - PAYROLL TAXES	FEDERAL WITHHOLDING TAXES: PAYMENT	121,996.51	121,996.51
08/26/2015	8262015	06456 CIGNA GROUP INSURANCE	8/15 - EE LIFE & DISABILITY INSURANCE PR	9,013.65	9,013.65
08/27/2015	86341	00710 AAI TERMITE & PEST CONTROL	LAVWMA: TERMITE & PEST CONTROL AUG '15	72.00	72.00
08/27/2015	86342	03460 ACCO ENGINEERED SYSTEMS II	BLDG M AC #1 LEAK CHECK & REPAIR BLDG G: REPLACE REVERING VALVE - SC #163	1,279.19 348.00	1,627.19
08/27/2015	86343	02786 ALEXANDER & ASSOCIATES, INC	15-R009 - GLEASON DRIVE RW MAIN EXTENSIO	3,590.00	3,590.00
08/27/2015	86344	01013 BARRETT BUSINESS SERVICES	E. HEUER: W/E 08/02/15 M. ATKINSON: W/E 08/02/15 J. KAUFFMAN: W/E 8/2/15 T. AMARO: W/E 08/02/15 D. SWIFT: W/E 08/02/15 J. CRIST: W/E 8/2/15 C. HIVES: W/E 8/2/15 M. PEREZ: W/E 08/02/15 C. SIMMONS: W/E 8/2/15 N. POON: W/E 08/02/15 M. ZAVALA: W/E 8/2/15	3,446.58 1,408.28 1,239.04 1,024.00 960.00 844.80 788.48 768.00 768.00 663.68 593.28	

Check History Description Listing

Dublin San Ramon Services District

From: 8/17/2015

To: 9/6/2015

Bank code: apbank

Date	Check #	Vendor	Description	Amount Paid	Check Total
			K. GARCIA: W/E 8/2/15	585.60	
			K. HAWKINS: W/E 8/2/15	563.20	
			D. CALDEIRA:W/E 8-2-15	512.00	
			D. RODRIQUEZ: W/E 8/2/15	506.88	
			L. KUEFNER: W/E 8/2/15	491.52	
			S. JENNE: W/E 8/2/15	460.80	
			S. LONDON: W/E 8/2/15	460.80	
			H. SEGELKE: W/E 8/2/15	445.44	
			A. BAXTER: W/E 8/2/15	437.76	16,968.14
08/27/2015	86345	05359 BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN	GENERAL COUNSEL SVCS - JULY 2015	1,632.80	1,632.80
08/27/2015	86346	00319 FISHER SCIENTIFIC	FLUORIDE ELECTRODE	836.94	
			TISAB III	138.76	975.70
08/27/2015	86347	04424 GRAYBAR ELECTRIC COMPANY	HI-FLEX WIRE FOR MCC BUCKET REWIRES	451.79	
			LAWWMA: ELE MATLS FOR SLSS GENERATOR PRO	272.00	
			ELE MATLS: WIRE; LOCKING CABLE TIE	184.95	
			BAND SAW BLADES FOR CORDLESS BAND SAWS	35.07	943.81
08/27/2015	86348	00386 HDR ENGINEERING INC.	15-R009 TO NO. 9 CONSTRUCTION MGMT SERVI	57,420.07	
			12-P003 TO NO. 4	6,691.06	
			15-W010 TASK ORDER 8	3,825.00	
			15-R009 RW EXPANSION PHASE 1 - DISTRIBUT	3,653.52	71,589.65
08/27/2015	86349	01242 INFO SEND, INC	UB BILLING PRINTING/MAILING SVCS - JULY	3,442.52	3,442.52
08/27/2015	86350	01171 MCGUIRE & HESTER	CONCRETE PAD AND CONDUIT INSTALL AT 5220	29,000.00	
			15-W017 W. VOMAC RHODA FORM PCC PAD	6,406.26	
			15-W017 W. VOMAC & RHODA AC RESTORE	5,134.31	40,540.57
08/27/2015	86351	00608 OFFICE TEAM	TEMP SVCS W/E 08/14/15 - MONTAGUE	292.72	292.72
08/27/2015	86352	04553 PACHECO BROTHERS GARDENI	DISTRICT FACILITIES LANDSCAPE MTCE AUG '	3,360.00	

Bank code: apbank

Date	Check #	Vendor	Description	Amount Paid	Check Total
			ADD-ON OF WWTP FACILITIES LANDSCAPE MTCE	110.00	
			DERWA LANDSCAPE MTCE PS R200A AUG '15	75.00	3,545.00
08/27/2015	86353	01403 PRAXAIR DISTRIBUTION INC 186	LIQUID ARGON	556.57	556.57
08/27/2015	86354	00801 STATE CHEMICAL MFG. CO.	CHEMICAL AND TRUCK/CAR WASH	433.12	433.12
08/27/2015	86355	05026 UNIVAR USA INC.	DERWA SODIUM HYPOCHLORITE DELIVERY 08/02	2,023.77	2,023.77
08/27/2015	86356	06643 WEIR TECHNICAL SERVICES	MANAGEMENT SERVICES - JULY 2015	6,806.83	6,806.83
08/27/2015	86357	01719 A & M PRINTING, INC.	DROUGHT 16 - RW USER POSTCARD PRINTING &	1,981.56	1,981.56
08/27/2015	86358	03631 A-1 PARTY RENTAL	DEFEAT THE DROUGHT EXPO - EQUIPMENT RENT	692.00	692.00
08/27/2015	86359	02468 ALLIED NETWORK SOLUTIONS	ALLIED NETWORK SOLUTIONS - FUJITSU SCANN	1,500.00	1,500.00
08/27/2015	86360	00622 AT&T	TELE SVCS & USAGE THRU 08/12/2015	1,916.70	
			T1 WWTP TO PS300 DV THRU 08/12/2015	261.63	
			DO T-1 TO FOD THRU 08/12/2015	192.73	
			DO T-1 TO FOD THRU 08/12/2015	192.73	2,563.79
08/27/2015	86361	00622 AT&T	LAVWMA PS PHONE & DSL AUG '15	270.55	270.55
08/27/2015	86362	01676 BANK OF AMERICA	BANK CHARGES - JULY 2015	1,247.94	1,247.94
08/27/2015	86363	00096 BROWN & CALDWELL	14-S013 TO NO. 1 ENG SVCS DESIGN, BID PE	3,128.14	3,128.14
08/27/2015	86364	02217 BSK ASSOCIATES INC.	SAMPLE TESTING	320.00	320.00
08/27/2015	86365	07173 CALIFORNIA HEALTH & RESCUE	CONFINED SPACE RESCUE TRAINING	1,885.00	1,885.00
08/27/2015	86366	00105 CAL-STEAM	PVC GLUE FOR SHOP	88.52	
			EMERGENCY REPAIR @ 5321 SHERWOOD WY, SR	44.62	133.14
08/27/2015	86367	04574 CALTROL, INC.	LAVWMA: REPLT SOLENOID VALVE FOR SURGE T	500.69	500.69
08/27/2015	86368	00148 CHEVRON & TEXACO CARD SVC	DO GASOLINE PURCHASE 07/23/15 - 08/23/15	40.93	40.93
08/27/2015	86369	00157 CITY OF PLEASANTON	5TH SUPPL AGMT AVOIDED COSTS~	3,917.00	3,917.00

Bank code: apbank

Date	Check #	Vendor	Description	Amount Paid	Check Total
08/27/2015	86370	00014 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	FY 2016 FUELING - FOD/INSP/CFRS - JULY 2	4,687.15	4,687.15
08/27/2015	86371	01264 DE SILVA GATES	REFUND METER #65495575	201.67	201.67
08/27/2015	86372	00252 DONALD R. WHITE, TAX COLLEC	2015-2016 UNSECURED PROP TAX 941-2838-10	281.70	281.70
08/27/2015	86373	02507 EAST BAY FORD TRUCK	AIR BRAKE AIR TANK FOR UNIT #42	472.79	472.79
08/27/2015	86374	02766 ELLIOTT GROUP	FREIGHT CHARGES FOR INV #SFC9632: WOODWA	12.25	12.25
08/27/2015	86375	02656 FASTENAL COMPANY	NUTS AND BOLTS - STOCK OPERATING SUPPLIE NITRILE GLOVES - FOD	485.54 153.30	638.84
08/27/2015	86376	00313 FASTSIGNS	DROUGHT FY16 - RW GARDEN SIGNS DROUGHT FY16 - SIGNAGE FOR DUBLIN RW FIL	4,530.36 279.23	4,809.59
08/27/2015	86377	00314 FEDEX	SHIPPING CHARGES 07/20/2015, 07/27/2015,	113.66	113.66
08/27/2015	86378	00314 FEDEX	WWTP: OVERNIGHT DELIVERY CHARGES AUG '15	13.31	13.31
08/27/2015	86379	00352 GOLDEN STATE FLOW MEASURI	SENSUS METERS SENSUS METERS	907.10 707.74	1,614.84
08/27/2015	86380	07017 HYDROSCIENCE ENGINEERS, IN	15-R009 TO NO. 1 DESIGN AND CROSS CONNEC	11,350.00	11,350.00
08/27/2015	86381	05851 JENFITCH, LLC	JC 1679 CATIONIC COAGULANT - FOR DERWA T	4,872.00	4,872.00
08/27/2015	86382	00509 LIEBERT CASSIDY WHITMORE	EMPLOYMENT- RELATED LEGAL SERVICES EMPLOYMENT- RELATED LEGAL SERVICES EMPLOYMENT- RELATED LEGAL SERVICES	7,786.50 450.50 53.00	8,290.00
08/27/2015	86383	04452 LOWE'S	MISC SUPPLIES	325.43	325.43
08/27/2015	86384	03127 LUHDORFF & SCALMANINI	ANNUAL MONITORING REPORT - PROF SRVCS 7/	1,840.00	1,840.00
08/27/2015	86385	05897 MERIT RESOURCE GROUP	A. MCCAFFERY: W/E 8/2/15	1,227.40	1,227.40
08/27/2015	86386	04231 MSC INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CO	CLASS 3 TRAFFIC VESTS - PPE HEARING DISPENSERS - PPE - COGEN	202.75 126.37	329.12

Bank code: apbank

Date	Check #	Vendor	Description	Amount Paid	Check Total
08/27/2015	86387	04796 NAPA AUTO PARTS	SHOCKS FOR UNIT #29 DEF FOR TRACTOR @ DLDS	187.92 22.69	210.61
08/27/2015	86388	02109 ONE HOUR DELIVERY SERVICE,	ONE HOUR DELIVERY - DELIVERY OF DIRECTOR	120.00	120.00
08/27/2015	86389	00620 P G & E	MISC PUMP STNS; DUBLIN LIFT STN - JULY 2 PUMP STATION 20B ELEC - JULY 2015 PUMP STATION R20 ELEC - AUGUST 2015 LAWWMA PS FEEDER A AUG '15 TO NO. 4; RES 3B W/PS 4B ELEC - AUGUST 2 RESERVOIR 10B ELECTRICITY - AUGUST 2015 ALTITUDE VALVE (RES 10B) ELEC - AUGUST 2	19,363.05 9,749.42 7,310.57 1,362.48 1,275.13 72.31 9.89	39,142.85
08/27/2015	86390	04261 PAX WATER TECHNOLOGIES, IN	15-W017 CHLORAMINE RCS SYSTEM RESERVOIR	142,732.00	142,732.00
08/27/2015	86391	04211 PLATT ELECTRIC SUPPLY	ELE MATLS: TWINE SPIRAL WRAP	52.90	52.90
08/27/2015	86392	04105 R&B COMPANY	UNDERGROUND REPAIR PARTS	273.75	273.75
08/27/2015	86393	02316 RECORDS CONTROL SERVICES	RECORDS CONTROL SERVICES - INACTIVE RECO	1,938.25	1,938.25
08/27/2015	86394	00696 RED WING SHOE STORE	FY2016 SAFETY SHOES - SINZEE TRAN	142.89	142.89
08/27/2015	86395	02466 RICOH AMERICAS CORP	COPIER LEASES MAIL RMS, HR/FIN COPIER LEASES MAIL RMS, HR/FIN COPIER LEASES MAIL RMS, HR/FIN	699.28 416.24 389.24	1,504.76
08/27/2015	86396	00756 SECURITY ETC.	DO - FIRE ALARM/SECURITY SYSTEM MONITORI	252.00	252.00
08/27/2015	86397	00786 SNAP-ON INDUSTRIAL	TOOLS: PLIERS, WRENCHES, SOCKETS, ETC. TOOLS: FILE SET TOOLS: 8IN LONG NOSE PLIERS	1,255.47 96.54 28.89	1,380.90
08/27/2015	86398	00804 STATE WATER RESOURCES CO	NPDES PERMIT FOR DRINKING WATER SYSTEM -	2,062.00	2,062.00
08/27/2015	86399	00861 THYSSEN KRUPP ELEVATOR CO	JUL 2015: ELEVATOR MAINTENANCE SERVICE	1,393.36	1,393.36
08/27/2015	86400	06129 DIRECTORATE RESOURCE MGM	JUL-SEP 15 EMERGENCY SVCS (FOD)	1,613.50	1,613.50

Bank code: apbank

Date	Check #	Vendor	Description	Amount Paid	Check Total
08/27/2015	86401	07140 USA FLEET SOLUTIONS	AUG 2015: MONTHLY TRACKING FEE	1,425.60	1,425.60
08/27/2015	86402	06004 VANGUARD CLEANING SYSTEM	AUG 2015: CUSTODIAL SERVICES AT THE DO A	1,675.00	1,675.00
08/27/2015	86403	00920 VASCO ROAD LANDFILL	UNLOADING OF WWTP SOLIDS/GRIT AUG '15	594.04	594.04
08/27/2015	86404	00933 VWR INTERNATIONAL, INC.	CENTRIFUGE TUBES STARCH INDICATOR	85.21 10.17	95.38
08/31/2015	8312015	03718 HR SIMPLIFIED	IRS 125 POS	414.43	414.43
09/01/2015	608090115	01098 NATIONWIDE RETIREMENT SOLI	NATIONAL DEFERRED COMPENSATION: PAYMENT	65.00	65.00
09/02/2015	30996056	00559 EDD - PAYROLL	CALIFORNIA STATE TAXES: PAYMENT	10.00	10.00
09/02/2015	503539343	00558 IRS - PAYROLL TAXES	FEDERAL WITHHOLDING TAXES: PAYMENT	267.15	267.15
09/03/2015	86405	01013 BARRETT BUSINESS SERVICES	E. HEUER: W/E 08/09/15 M. ATKINSON: W/E 08/09/15 J. KAUFFMAN: W/E 8/9/15 J. CRIST: W/E 8/9/15 T. AMARO: W/E 08/09/15 D. SWIFT: W/E 08/09/15 C. HIVES: W/E 8/9/15 C. SIMMONS: W/E 8/9/15 D. CALDEIRA: W/E 8/9/15 D. RODRIGUEZ: W/E 8/9/15 K. GARCIA: W/E 8/9/15 K. HAWKINS: W/E 8/9/15 S. JENNE: W/E 8/9/15 M. ZAVALA: W/E 8/9/15 A. BAXTER: W/E 8/9/15 K. KOLODZIE: W/E 8/9/15 M. PEREZ: W/E 08/09/15	4,961.32 1,426.81 1,239.04 844.80 819.20 819.20 788.48 787.20 691.20 629.76 583.68 563.20 460.80 460.80 368.64 364.80 307.20	

Check History Description Listing

Dublin San Ramon Services District

From: 8/17/2015

To: 9/6/2015

Bank code: apbank

Date	Check #	Vendor	Description	Amount Paid	Check Total
			S. LONDON: W/E 8/9/15	291.84	
			L. KUEFNER: W/E 8/9/15	245.76	
			N. POON: W/E 08/09/15	130.56	16,784.29
09/03/2015	86406	00299 ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE A	PROFICIENCY TESTING AND STANDARDS HALOAC	248.74	248.74
09/03/2015	86407	00335 LEVI FULLER	FULLER REIMB EXP AT 2015 CASA CONF. 08/1	20.79	20.79
09/03/2015	86408	02749 DAN GALLAGHER	GALLAGHER REIMB EXP AT CASA 2015 08/20/1	31.30	31.30
09/03/2015	86409	04424 GRAYBAR ELECTRIC COMPANY	TIME CLOCK FOR FSL PADDLE MIXERS	1,019.29	
			ELE SHOP STOCK MATERIALS	446.54	
			(2QTY) 24 TPI CORDLESS BANDSAW BLADE	35.07	1,500.90
09/03/2015	86410	00485 KOFF & ASSOCIATES INC.	RECRUITMENT SUPPORT FOR SAFETY OFFICER,	7,750.00	7,750.00
09/03/2015	86411	01171 MCGUIRE & HESTER	VALVE REPAIR AT DUBLIN RW FILL STATION	349.02	349.02
09/03/2015	86412	07109 DANIEL MCINTYRE	MCINTYRE REIMB EXP AT 2015 CASA 08/18/15	286.82	286.82
09/03/2015	86413	00608 OFFICE TEAM	TEMP SVCS W/E 08/21/15 - MONTAGUE	804.98	804.98
09/03/2015	86414	04973 NATERCIA SAUCEDA	CASE ID FL364781: PAYMENT	111.23	111.23
09/03/2015	86415	01120 EVITA SCHNUPP	SCHNUPP REIMB EXP AT WWTP MASTER PLAN ME	13.60	13.60
09/03/2015	86416	05026 UNIVAR USA INC.	WWTP SODIUM HYPOCHLORITE DELIVERY 08/12/	2,203.69	2,203.69
09/03/2015	86417	05127 VISION SERVICE PLAN - CA (VSF	SEPTEMBER 2015 - VISION	2,551.80	2,551.80
09/03/2015	86418	06629 ADVANTAGE PROPERTY MANAG	REFUND 7426 BRIGADOON WAY	195.92	195.92
09/03/2015	86419	07244 AITCHISON III LLC	REFUND 11680 MANZANITA LN	16.24	16.24
09/03/2015	86420	05728 ALAMEDA COUNTY SHERIFF	CASE FILE # 14-10334: PAYMENT	479.19	479.19
09/03/2015	86421	01076 ALSCO INC	WWTP TOWEL SERVICE AUG '15	211.52	
			FOD TOWEL SERVICE AUG '15	141.60	353.12
09/03/2015	86422	06552 BILLING SOLUTIONS INC. AMERI	AQUA HAWK ALERTING 09/01 - 10/01/2015	1,750.00	1,750.00

Bank code: apbank

Date	Check #	Vendor	Description	Amount Paid	Check Total
09/03/2015	86423	01954 ANDERSON'S UNIFORMS	REPLACEMENT LAB COATS - DIVISION 55 LABO	186.15	186.15
09/03/2015	86424	06349 ARCSINE ENGINEERING	09-6101 TO NO. 2	14,782.90	14,782.90
09/03/2015	86425	00622 AT&T	LAVWMA PS & SANLEANDRO DECHLOR STATION A	101.63	101.63
09/03/2015	86426	07246 JAMSHID BAHRAMI	REFUND 7799 RIDGELINE DR	108.64	108.64
09/03/2015	86427	07106 BAY AREA CONCRETES	REFUND METER # 1551416	905.02	905.02
09/03/2015	86428	07248 KATE BENEDIKTSSON	REFUND 3906 JAIME PL	143.25	143.25
09/03/2015	86429	07250 JHANSI BOBBA	REFUND 7070 EMERSON LN	172.20	172.20
09/03/2015	86430	02217 BSK ASSOCIATES INC.	SAMPLE TESTING	160.00	160.00
09/03/2015	86431	07241 MARK BUCKLEY	REFUND 7779 SUNWOOD DR	62.92	62.92
09/03/2015	86432	01289 BURLINGAME ENGINEERS INC	DERWA: (6QTY) CHECK VALVE DN 15PVC/PVDF DERWA: (2QTY) DIAPHRAGM 5.230 DIA TEFLON	1,635.22 189.77	1,824.99
09/03/2015	86433	03000 CALIFORNIA-NEVADA SECTION-	KHAW - 2015 RENEWAL FOR CROSS-CONNECTION	80.00	80.00
09/03/2015	86434	01085 CALPERS LONG-TERM CARE PR	LONG-TERM CARE: PAYMENT	68.12	68.12
09/03/2015	86435	07245 NANCY A CAUTIVERIO	REFUND 7416 AMARILLO RD	116.12	116.12
09/03/2015	86436	01167 CITY OF DUBLIN	CITY OF DUBLIN FACILITY PERMIT FEE (DO)	152.00	152.00
09/03/2015	86437	07252 LORRAINE COPPA	REFUND 11179 BAY LAUREL ST	51.84	51.84
09/03/2015	86438	07131 CSDS DUBLIN	YELLOW INK CARTRIDGE FOR PLOTTER	88.22	88.22
09/03/2015	86439	01156 CWEA	TOUR & DINNER MEETING: R. GUMBER ON 9/17	50.00	50.00
09/03/2015	86440	03154 DAPPER TIRE CO	(4QTY) P235/75R15 TIRES FOR UNIT #67	409.00	409.00
09/03/2015	86441	07259 HANS-JOACHIM DEGEN	REFUND 124 LEARY CT	14.54	14.54
09/03/2015	86442	00237 DERWA	DERWA JULY 2015 DSRSD SHARE	3,378.52	3,378.52
09/03/2015	86443	06925 DUBLIN SPEAKEASIES	R. BIAGTAN SEMI-ANNUAL DUES FOR DUBLIN S	56.00	56.00

Check History Description Listing

Dublin San Ramon Services District

From: 8/17/2015 To: 9/6/2015

Bank code: apbank

Date	Check #	Vendor	Description	Amount Paid	Check Total
09/03/2015	86444	07261 NANDAKUMAR DURAIRAJ	REFUND 2141 WATERMILL RD	69.46	69.46
09/03/2015	86445	06788 EBRCSA	EMERGENCY P25 RADIOS:ANNUAL OPERATING FE	2,700.00	2,700.00
09/03/2015	86446	05659 ECOLOGICAL CONCERNS INC.	LAWWMA: WESTERN TERMINUS MITIGATION MAIN	17,940.00	17,940.00
09/03/2015	86447	07206 ENCOMPASS SUPPLY CO INC.	JANITORIAL SUPPLIES: TOILET PAPER; ROLL JANITORIAL SUPPLIES: BATHROOM TISSUE; TR	873.83 294.29	1,168.12
09/03/2015	86448	00300 ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE ASS	RESTORATION MONITORING - JUL 1 THRU JULY	2,537.89	2,537.89
09/03/2015	86449	00313 FASTSIGNS	DROUGHT FY16 - RW GARDEN SIGNS	4,530.36	4,530.36
09/03/2015	86450	00699 FLYERS ENERGY, LLC	(1150G) RED DYED DIESEL FUEL (400G) REG 87 UNLEADED GASOLINE	2,662.23 1,165.71	3,827.94
09/03/2015	86451	06689 FUSIONSTORM	EMC SAN MAINTENACE RENEWAL	13,895.42	13,895.42
09/03/2015	86452	03900 GARTON TRACTOR INC	DLD HARVESTING: TRACTOR RENTAL AUG '15	5,811.75	5,811.75
09/03/2015	86453	00352 GOLDEN STATE FLOW MEASURI	SENSUS METERS	10,043.70	10,043.70
09/03/2015	86454	07242 JACOB GOODWIN	REFUND 7749 CALLAN ST	53.60	53.60
09/03/2015	86455	03149 HDS WHITE CAP CONST SUPPLY	MISC REPAIR PARTS	24.82	24.82
09/03/2015	86456	07207 CORP. HILL BROTHERS CHEMIC.	9 X 5 GALLON CARBOYS OF AQUA AMMONIA 19%	653.93	653.93
09/03/2015	86457	07240 MICHAEL HYLES	REFUND 8372 DEERVALE RD	127.56	127.56
09/03/2015	86458	00417 IDEXX DISTRIBUTION, INC	QUANTI-TRAY	217.44	217.44
09/03/2015	86459	00464 JWC ENVIRONMENTAL	WASHER COMPACTOR: REPLT DRIVE SHAFT ASS	2,280.26	2,280.26
09/03/2015	86460	07247 ANDY KATZ	REFUND 11752 BETLEN DR	189.94	189.94
09/03/2015	86461	00486 KOFFLER ELECTRIC/MECH	DERWA: REPLT MOTOR FOR HYPO PUMP	469.00	469.00
09/03/2015	86462	07256 JASON LI	REFUND 3575 COLCHESTER LN	93.71	93.71
09/03/2015	86463	07257 CHIN CHUNG LIU	REFUND 3692 EDGEComb CT	101.27	101.27
09/03/2015	86464	00536 MC MASTER-CARR SUPPLY CO.	BREATHER VENT & PIPE FITTING FOR ABSORPT	96.16	96.16

Bank code: apbank

Date	Check #	Vendor	Description	Amount Paid	Check Total
09/03/2015	86465	05897 MERIT RESOURCE GROUP	A. MCCAFFERY: W/E 08/09/15	1,227.40	1,227.40
09/03/2015	86466	00545 BERT MICHALCZYK	MICHALCZYK REIMB TRAVEL EXP THRU 8/31/15	837.52	837.52
09/03/2015	86467	04231 MSC INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CO	ELEMENT FOR CHILLER VAC PUMP; TOOL: COMB EXHAUST FILTER FOR CHILLER VAC PUMP	257.78 210.50	468.28
09/03/2015	86468	03812 MURCAL, INC	COGEN EMISSIONS TESTING ANALYZER	13,383.20	13,383.20
09/03/2015	86469	04796 NAPA AUTO PARTS	FUEL PUMP FOR UNIT #55 DIESEL TANK DEF F (2QTY) GREASE GUN & HOSE FOR DLD'S D7 TR BATTERY FOR UNIT #70	557.71 110.40 106.71	774.82
09/03/2015	86470	00595 NEWARK ELECTRONICS	REPLT FAN FOR VFD (2QTY) POWER SUPPLY FOR CO-GEN #1 ALTRON	347.17 110.67	457.84
09/03/2015	86471	00620 P G & E	PUMP STATION R200A ELEC - AUGUST 2015 PUMP STATION 300C ELEC - AUGUST 2015 RESERVOIR R200 DERWA TANK 2 ELEC - AUGUS	6,083.18 566.81 47.31	6,697.30
09/03/2015	86472	05439 PACIFIC COAST CHEMICALS	4000 GALLONS CALCIUM THIOSULFATE	13,001.76	13,001.76
09/03/2015	86473	07260 ABEL PANGILINAN	REFUND 4901 WINTERBROOK AVE	80.92	80.92
09/03/2015	86474	05543 ADMINISTRATORS (PBIA) PREFE	SEPTEMBER 2015 - DENTAL	21,654.40	21,654.40
09/03/2015	86475	05475 PRESIDIO SYSTEMS, INC.	2016 CCTV INSPECTION SERVICES FOR DEVELO	2,388.75	2,388.75
09/03/2015	86476	07249 ALEX PUATU	REFUND 1150 HOSKINS LN	29.01	29.01
09/03/2015	86477	04444 PULTE HOMES	REFUND METER # 64833110	920.97	920.97
09/03/2015	86478	00680 QUENVOLD'S SAFETY SHOEMOI	B. SMITH: FY2016 EMPLOYEE SAFETY SHOES	180.68	180.68
09/03/2015	86479	07253 ROBERTA QUINTERO	REFUND 4309 ROSCOMMON WAY	159.83	159.83
09/03/2015	86480	04301 RESOURCE TRENDS, INC.	16-W009 TRIVALLEY WATER RELIABILITY OUTR	2,000.00	2,000.00
09/03/2015	86481	04635 SERVTECH INC.	SERVICE CONTRACT AA/FIAS 2015-2016	1,574.00	1,574.00

Check History Description Listing

Dublin San Ramon Services District

Bank code: apbank

Date	Check #	Vendor	Description	Amount Paid	Check Total
09/03/2015	86482	00798 STANDARD PACIFIC HOMES	REFUND METER # 1629808	905.05	905.05
09/03/2015	86483	07255 STERLING SOFTWARE, INC	REFUND 4454 CHANCERY LN	59.94	59.94
09/03/2015	86484	07243 SAMANTHA TRUJILLO	REFUND 7166 PIKE CT	86.25	86.25
09/03/2015	86485	01806 U.S. BANK	COPIER LEASE LAB/CUST SVC/ EXEC - AUG. 2	548.60	548.60
09/03/2015	86486	03245 UNITED SITE SERVICES OF	DUBLIN RW FILL STATION: PORTA POTTY AUG	263.82	263.82
09/03/2015	86487	00556 UNITED WAY OF THE BAY AREA	UNITED WAY: PAYMENT	243.93	243.93
09/03/2015	86488	07262 RICARDO VASALLO	REFUND 4776 FAWN WAY	185.83	185.83
09/03/2015	86489	07254 ROB WASHINGTON	REFUND 4957 PIPER GLEN TERR	76.49	76.49
09/03/2015	86490	07251 BOYD WEAVER	REFUND 7638 ARBOR CREEK CIR	24.26	24.26
09/03/2015	86491	07258 HENG ZHOU	REFUND 1114 AMARYLLIS CIR	164.30	164.30
09/04/2015	1529	01098 NATIONWIDE RETIREMENT SOLI	NATIONAL DEFERRED COMPENSATION: PAYMENT	44,463.44	44,463.44
09/04/2015	7994	05511 CALIFORNIA STATE	CHILD SUPPORT GARNISHMENT: PAYMENT	276.92	276.92
09/04/2015	1000598134	00494 PERS	RETIREMENT: PAYMENT	86,216.26	86,216.26
09/04/2015	1000598138	01111 CALPERS	SEPTEMBER 2015 - ER CODE 0740 & 7316	177,042.58	177,042.58

254 checks in this report

Total Checks: 2,442,901.68

John

Archer

Digitally signed by John Archer

DN: cn=John Archer

Date: 2015.09.08

07:43:02 -07'00 Page: 17
53 of 113

TENTATIVE BOARD ITEMS

Board Mtg	Agenda Item	Admin Affairs	Financial Affairs	Technical Affairs	Executive	TVWPR
10/6/2015	Approve the Purchase and Sale Agreement for Field Operations Corporation Yard Building and Site	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	If Needed, Appoint New BOD Member	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Decide Board Committee Assignments for Remainder of 2015	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Budget Adjustment - Board Election to Fill Vacancy (if Board Decides to Hold Election)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Consider Appeal by {name} of Staff Denial of a Waiver of Enforcement Action Related to Violation of Water Use Limitations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Adopt Water Supply, Storage, Quality, Conveyance and Conservation Policy (2nd of 2) and Rescind Resolution No. 57-06 and Approve Long Term Water Resources Master Plan	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Drought Related Customer Issues and Concerns	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Discuss Elements of Drought Management Program for Remainder of 2015	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Policy - Revise Ticket Distribution and Rescind Resolution No. 50-10	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Safety Support Services Contract Extension with EORM	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Closed Session - Direction to Negotiator regarding Field Operations Corp Yard	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	T.O. No .1 (w/Master Consulting Agreement) for RCS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Consider Appeal by {name} of Staff Denial of an Exemption to Water Use Limitations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

10/20/2015

Board Mtg

Agenda Item

**Admin
Affairs**

**Financial
Affairs**

**Technical
Affairs**

Executive

TVWPR

10/20/2015	Consider Appeal by {name} of Staff Denial of a Waiver of Enforcement Action Related to Violation of Water Use Limitations					
	Consider Appeal by {name} of Staff Denial of an Exemption to Water Use Limitations					
	Accept Water Supply and Demand and Drought Response Action Plan Status Reports and Find that the Need for the Community Drought Emergency Still Exists					
	Policy - Water Recycling					
	Policy - Use of Clean Water Revival Facilities and Demineralized Recycled Water					
	Drought Related Customer Issues and Concerns					
	Regular and Recurring Reports: September District Financial Statements, Warrant List, Board Calendar, (list),					

STAFF REPORT



Prepared by: Vicki Goldman, Customer Services Supervisor

Date: September 15, 2015

Annual Report on the Low Income Assistance Program (LIA)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

On October 5, 2010 the Board of Directors adopted Resolution 41-10 to provide rate relief to customers experiencing financial hardship in an amount equal to a percentage of the Fixed Meter Fee. The Board determined, at that time, to set the percentage at 100%. Exhibit A of that Resolution states that the "Percentage shall be annually reviewed and adjusted by the Board".

BACKGROUND

Enrollment in LIA requires that the household show proof of enrollment in PG&E's CARE program. Using PG&E's enrollment rates, it was originally estimated that up to 1,452 households might also enroll in LIA, at a maximum annual cost to the District of \$139,654. The fixed fee in Oct 2010 was \$16.03. The fixed fee is currently \$33.54.

To date, the LIA program enrollment has never exceeded 200 households. In the last two years the program credited a total of \$17,207 in FY 2014 and \$19,230 in FY 2015. The program currently has 115 enrolled households. Assuming that number remains constant, the cost of the program for FY 2016 is projected to be approximately \$23,000.

SUMMARY & RECOMMENDATION

In light of low enrollment, staff will continue to set the percentage of the covered fixed fee at 100%. This report will be reported annually as a recurring item.

UNEXPECTED ASSET REPLACEMENT REQUEST

Unexpected Asset Replacement: The budget contains funding for capital outlay items that are expected to be replaced due to age or obsolescence. Occasionally, items in the replacement program fail unexpectedly and need to be replaced. If this happens during a budget cycle, replacement funds may be used for the replacement of the item if the General Manager determines that it is advantageous to the District to replace rather than repair said item, and if the Finance Officer determines that the replacement program has sufficient funds for the item.

Instructions: This form must be completed PRIOR to the purchase of the item. Contact the Accountant to assist you with completing sections two through five. After completing sections one through eight, obtain your Department Manager's signature, then route to the Accountant. Once the Finance Officer and General Manager's approvals are obtained, the Accountant will forward you a copy of the approved form. You may then purchase your replacement item following the normal purchasing guidelines. If this is an URGENT or mission critical replacement, please note that on the form so we can expedite your request.

1. Item Description	Completely Refurbish Aeration Propeller Mixer
2. Asset Number	
3. Original Cost	310.70.53.050.5.556
4. Depreciation (funding) to date	
5. Funding Source	
6. Estimated Cost of Items	\$11,927 (including shipping and taxes)
7. Requestor's Name / Date	Dan Lopez 9/1/2015 <i>DL</i>
8. Reason for Replacement	<p>The aeration mixers provide agitation of the aeration tank. The failed mixer has been in service nearly continuously since it was installed in 2004. This refurbishment will include completely new internal components. The total cost to refurbish the mixer is \$10,942. The proposed refurbishment would restore the working life of the mixer and would include a 1-year warranty.</p> <p>District staff investigated obtaining quotes to have the mixers rebuilt by the original supplier (Kruger) or by other vendors, but learned that they would only pass the equipment along to Landia with a mark-up. Since all of the local suppliers would only send the mixer to the manufacturer, Landia is the lowest cost alternative. Landia's factory is located in Denmark.</p>
Department Manager Approval / Date	<i>[Signature]</i> 9/4/2015
Finance Officer Approval / Date	<i>[Signature]</i> 9/3/15
General Manager Approval / Date	<i>[Signature]</i> 9/3/15

For Accounting Use:

Date routed to Finance Officer	
Date information put in Finance Committee box	

UNEXPECTED ASSET REPLACEMENT REQUEST

Unexpected Asset Replacement: The budget contains funding for capital outlay items that are expected to be replaced due to age or obsolescence. Occasionally, items in the replacement program fail unexpectedly and need to be replaced. If this happens during a budget cycle, replacement funds may be used for the replacement of the item if the General Manager determines that it is advantageous to the District to replace rather than repair said item, and if the Finance Officer determines that the replacement program has sufficient funds for the item.

Instructions: This form must be completed PRIOR to the purchase of the item. Contact the Accountant to assist you with completing sections two through five. After completing sections one through eight, obtain your Department Manager's signature, then route to the Accountant. Once the Finance Officer and General Manager's approvals are obtained, the Accountant will forward you a copy of the approved form. You may then purchase your replacement item following the normal purchasing guidelines. If this is an URGENT or mission critical replacement, please note that on the form so we can expedite your request.

1. Item Description	WWTP Building G A/C for MCC Room (7.5 Ton)
2. Asset Number	
3. Original Cost	
4. Depreciation (funding) to date	
5. Funding Source	310.70.53.050.5.556
6. Estimated Cost of New Item	\$11,031.00
7. Requestor's Name / Date	Dan Lopez / 9/1/2015 <i>DL</i>
8. Reason for Replacement	<p>The MCC ROOM building G A/C compressor has failed and needs replacement. This Compressor was installed in 2004, so the compressor lasted 11 years. The air handler is mission critical because it provides cool air to the MCC components controlling 3 water process equipment. This compressor must be replaced before this coming summer in order to restore the air handler to full capacity.</p> <p>The scope of work includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Disengage unit from existing economizer. • Disengage electrical, controls and condensate piping. • DSRSD to be responsible for all rigging. • Remove and dispose of existing equipment in accordance with EPA regulations. • Install spring isolators/seismic on mounting for new unit as needed. • DSRSD to re-connect existing electrical and/or install new electrical disconnect. • Install new smoke detector as needed. • Connect existing economizer and perform start up. • Install p-traps to existing condensate line connections. • Program existing thermostat as needed. • Perform start and test procedures to insure proper system operation.
Department Manager Approval / Date	<i>[Signature]</i> 9/2/2015
Finance Officer Approval / Date	<i>[Signature]</i> 9/3/15
General Manager Approval / Date	<i>[Signature]</i> 9/3/15
For Accounting Use:	
Date routed to Finance Officer	
Date information put in Finance Committee box	



Reference General Manager	Type of Action Discuss and Provide Direction	Board Meeting of September 15, 2015
Subject Review and Discuss Drought Management Program		
<input type="checkbox"/> Motion	<input type="checkbox"/> Minute Order	<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution
<input type="checkbox"/> Ordinance	<input type="checkbox"/> Informational	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other
REPORT:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verbal	<input type="checkbox"/> Presentation
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff	B. Michalczyk
		<input type="checkbox"/> Board Member

Recommendation:

The General Manager recommends the Board of Directors receive comments from the public related to the District’s Drought Management Program, discuss those as appropriate and, by Consensus, provide appropriate direction to staff and/or Board Committees for follow-up or action at this or a future Board meeting.

Summary:

On May 19, 2015 the Board updated the District’s Drought Management Program by taking various actions that will run through the end of the State of Community Drought Emergency on February 29, 2016. The elements of the current program include the following:

- Extended the Community Drought Emergency;
- Established Water Use Curtailment Goals;
- Adopted Water Use Limitations;
- Adopted Penalties and Enforcement Provisions;
- Adopted Water Shortage Rate Stage 2;
- Approved a Wise Water User Credit for FYE 2016;
- Approved an Enhanced Rebate Program (amended on June 16, 2015);
- Endorsed the FYE 2016 Drought Response Action Plan; and
- Approved budget amendments for FYE 2016 related to Drought Management Activities.

The various aspects of the Drought Management Program affect all customers of the District in various ways. To be as open and transparent as possible, the Board wishes to allow the public an opportunity to address the Board on the various aspects of the Drought Management Program in a manner that can lead to a productive outcome. The public may always address the Board under the “Public Comment” portion of the Board agenda. However, for public comment made at that time, the Board is precluded from having substantive discussions in response to the public comment received. This agenda item allows the Board to engage in a substantive discussion of issues that may be raised by the public and also to provide staff or a Board Committee appropriate direction related to the Drought Management Program in a timely fashion. This item will be a standing item on the Board agenda throughout the duration of the Community Drought Emergency which is currently scheduled to expire on February 29, 2016.

Committee Review			Legal Review	Staff Review		
COMMITTEE ---	DATE ---	RECOMMENDATION ---	Not Required	ORIGINATOR B. Michalczyk	DEPARTMENT Executive	REVIEWED BY
ATTACHMENTS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None						
<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution	<input type="checkbox"/> Minute Order	<input type="checkbox"/> Task Order	<input type="checkbox"/> Staff Report	<input type="checkbox"/> Ordinance		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cost \$0	<input type="checkbox"/> Funding Source A. B.	Attachments to S&R 1. 2. 3.				



Reference General Manager	Type of Action Accept Reports and Make Finding	Board Meeting of September 15, 2015
Subject Accept Water Supply and Demand and Drought Response Action Plan Status Reports and Find that the Need for the Community Drought Emergency Still Exists		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Motion	<input type="checkbox"/> Minute Order	<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution
<input type="checkbox"/> Ordinance	<input type="checkbox"/> Informational	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
REPORT:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verbal	<input type="checkbox"/> Presentation
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff	B. Michalczyk
		<input type="checkbox"/> Board Member

Recommendation:

The General Manager recommends the Board of Directors, by Motion, (a) accept the Water Supply and Demand Report and the Drought Response Action Plan Status Report, and (b) find that there still exists a need for continuing the Community Drought Emergency.

Summary:

On May 19, 2015 the Board extended the State of Community Drought Emergency through February 29, 2016. The Board directed the General Manager to inform the Board, on a monthly basis while the State of Emergency remains in effect, of:

- Developments regarding the water supply available to Zone 7 and the District;
- The water demand in the District’s service area and in the Tri-Valley;
- Operational issues encountered or anticipated to be encountered related to the effect of the limited water supply on the District’s water system;
- Pertinent policy level decisions made at the local, regional and state level related to the drought; and
- Other information relevant to the continuing need for the State of Community Drought Emergency.

The Water Supply and Demand Report, included as Attachment 1, provides the requested information and is the basis for the Board’s finding of a continuing need for the State of Community Drought Emergency.

In addition, when the Board endorsed the Drought Response Action Plan, it directed that a monthly status report be prepared documenting activities undertaken on the various aspects of the Drought Response Action Plan and the results achieved; Attachment 2 provides that information.

Committee Review			Legal Review	Staff Review		
COMMITTEE ---	DATE ---	RECOMMENDATION ---	Not Required	ORIGINATOR B. Michalczyk	DEPARTMENT Executive	REVIEWED BY
ATTACHMENTS <input type="checkbox"/> None						
<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution	<input type="checkbox"/> Minute Order	<input type="checkbox"/> Task Order	<input type="checkbox"/> Staff Report	<input type="checkbox"/> Ordinance		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cost \$0	<input type="checkbox"/> Funding Source A. B.	Attachments to S&R 1. Water Supply and Demand Report 2. 2015 Drought Response Action Plan Status Report 3.				

The information in this Water Supply and Demand Report is current through August 31, 2015.

SUMMARY AND BACKGROUND

During the month of August there have been no further significant positive or negative developments (as discussed in depth below) that would be reason to repeal or modify the current State of Community Drought Emergency. As such, the continuation of the State of the Community Drought Emergency is warranted.

On May 19, 2015 the Board extended that State of Community Drought Emergency through February 29, 2016. The Board also directed the General Manager to inform the Board, on a monthly basis of each calendar month that the Declaration remains in effect, of:

1. Developments regarding the water supply available to Zone 7 and the District;
2. The water demand in the District's service area and in the Tri-Valley;
3. Operational issues encountered or anticipated to be encountered related to the effect of the limited water supply on the District's water system;
4. Pertinent policy level decisions made at the local, regional and state level related to the drought; and
5. Other information relevant to the continuing need for the State of Community Drought Emergency.

This report satisfies that direction for the month of September 2015 (report complete through August 2015) and serves as the basis for the Board's consideration of the continued need for the State of Community Drought Emergency.

1 - DEVELOPMENTS REGARDING THE WATER SUPPLY

There have been no significant changes, positive or negative, to the water supply situation during the month of August.

In terms of the supply, Water Year 2015 began on October 1, 2014 and eleven months of data is now available. The following is a summary of the most hydrological information available as of August 31, 2015. A seven year historic summary of this same information is presented in Table 1 below. Table 2 presents a month by month summary for the current water year showing how the situation evolved over the course of the winter season.

Precipitation. WY 2015 Northern Sierra precipitation for the Water Year 2015 remains well below average through August at 75% of normal for this point in the year in the Sacramento and Feather River basins where our water supply physically originates. It is very unlikely there will be any significant change in this data for the remainder of the year.

Forecast Precipitation. The National Weather Service's Climate Prediction center is forecasting an equal chance of either above or below normal precipitation through mid-November. However, it must be cautioned that precipitation during this time frame is typically minimal.

Snowpack. Snowpack (really snow water content) is non-existent at this time of the year in the Northern Sierra. As of April 1 (the traditional date of maximum snowpack, the value stood at 5% of average).

Reservoir Storage. Lake Oroville is filled to only 30% capacity and is 46% of what it would normally be at this time of the year.

Unimpaired Runoff. As of May 1, DWR's final projection of unimpaired runoff in the Sacramento River watershed is 40% of normal.

Water Year Type. DWR is currently classifying the water year as "Critical" in terms of unimpeded runoff from the Sacramento River watershed.

Drought Conditions. The United States Drought Monitor presents the drought situation in the western United States. This Drought Watch factors in not only precipitation but also includes temperature, soil moisture and evaporative potential due to wind. The Drought Monitor categorizes 71.1% of the entire State of California remains in either Exceptional Drought or Extreme Drought Conditions and 99.86% of the State in some degree of drought conditions. The District's service area is categorized as being in Extreme Drought conditions (the second worst category) meaning that there will be major crop/pasture losses; widespread water shortages or restrictions.

DWR Allocation. On March 2, 2015 DWR increased projected allocations to its contractors from 15% to 20%. An allocation of 20% would be the second lowest allocation in the project's history; the 5% allocation in 2014 being the worst.

Zone 7 Supply. The District has submitted its "normal" supply request for Calendar Year 2015 to Zone 7. Zone 7 has not yet acted on that request. However, in action taken by the Zone 7 Board on April 15, Zone 7 stated that it was lifting its Stage 2 Actions previously approved by the Board since Zone 7 can meet 100% or requested deliveries in 2015, there is no imminent threat of losing a major water supply source and the same objectives are being met through statewide mandates. However, as of the end of July, no response to the District's water delivery request for 2015 (made in August 2014) has yet been received.

On July 23, 2015 the District submitted its "normal" supply request to Zone 7 for 2016 along with "conservation based" projections. Zone 7 has not yet acted on that request.

Delta Outflow. The Sacramento River Delta has been in "balanced" conditions since March 16, 2015 which was the earliest date in history that the Delta went into balanced conditions. Under "balanced" conditions the amount of water entering the

State's river systems essentially equals the minimum amount of outflow that is needed to prevent salt water from entering the Delta. Under "balanced" conditions DWR and others may be required to discharge water from reservoirs in order to maintain a sufficient amount of outflow to the ocean. "Balanced" conditions can be used to limit or prevent water transfers.

Possible Water Transfers. On November 19, 2014 the Zone 7 Board conceptually approved a water exchange with Contra Costa Water District on 2,500 AF for 2015 and separately approved the Fifth Amendment to the Yuba Accord which has yielded an average of about 550 AF per year for the past several years. Through the end of August no actual water transfers have been completed by Zone 7.

The District, EBMUD and the Yuba County Water Agency (YCWA) approved agreements for the transfer of a net of 1,275 AF of water to the District's service area during Water Year 2015. Zone 7 initially objected to the transfer but subsequently removed their opposition to the transfer and in its place expressed their "enthusiastic support" for DSRSD's transfer. The transfer was unable to be completed in the spring of 2015 because of (a) the Delta being in "Balanced" conditions and (b) and the United States Bureau of Reclamation's refusal to process the Environmental Assessment and the associated Warren Act contract to allow the transferred water to move through the Folsom South Canal.

On September 1, 2015 the Board has endorsed and staff has opened preliminary discussions regarding possible water transfers for 2016 and beyond with various suppliers and conveyors.

2 - WATER DEMAND

There have been no significant changes, positive or negative, to the water demand situation during the month of August.

Water demand (really water furnished to District customers) is monitored on a weekly basis. The SWRCB acting on Governor Brown's 25% Statewide curtailment allocated 12% curtailment to DSRSD. The declaration of the District's Community Drought Emergency calls for system wide water use curtailment of twelve percent (12%) overall with five percent (5%) coming from indoor curtailment and twenty five (25%) from outdoor curtailment as compared to the same period in calendar year 2013.

Annual Demand. Since the beginning of 2015 the District's service area has used 4,955 AF of water as compared to 7,619 AF used during the same period in 2013; this represents an overall system wide curtailment of 35.0%.

Actual Usage vs. Zone 7 Delivery Allocation. Since Zone 7 has not approved the DSRSD delivery request for 2015 but stated that it can make 100% deliveries while at the same time supporting the Governor's call for conservation this metric is not being tracked this Water year.

Gallons per capital per day. The District monitors this metric on a monthly basis as of the end of each calendar month as part of monitoring our 20% by 2020 mandated usage. During the month of August 2013 (2013 is used because it is considered to be the “baseline” year) the District delivered water at a rate of 179 gpcpd while in August 2015 the rate was only 107 gpcpd representing a 40.2% reduction. On a “residential use alone” basis water use in July 2013 and 2015 were 93.9 and 66.6 gpcpd, respectively representing a 29.1% usage reduction.

Tri-Valley Wide Demand. The following information has been abstracted from the SWRCB website related to how the Tri-Valley water agencies are performing during the drought; the latest data that is available on that website is through the end of June, 2015. DSRSD data from the same source is shown for comparison purposes and because of the way the results are calculated by the SWRCB the results below may not exactly agree with DSRSD generated data presented elsewhere in this report.

SWRCB Data	Cal Water	Livermore	Pleasanton	DSRSD
<i>Residential per Capita Water Use (gallons per person per day)</i>				
Jan 2015	58	66	64	45
Feb 2015	53	63	62	57
Mar 2015	73	83	89	44
Apr 2015	73	92	80	59
May 2015	80	83	93	61
June 2015*	92	104	99	63
July 2015	106	104	112	59
<i>2015 vs. 2013 System Wide Water Use Reduction (Percent)</i>				
Goal	24%	20%	24%	16%
Jan 2015	12.1	0.0	-3.2	6.3
Feb 2015	23.2	6.0	13.9	17.4
Mar 2015	30.5	18.6	25.8	21.4
Apr. 2015	35.0	32.4	35.0	31.4
May 2015	50.0	44.3	46.2	42.5
June 2015*	48.8	41.5	47.6	42.4
July 2015	46.3	42.1	46.9	44.5

**Restated by SWRCB after initial publication*

3 - OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS

There were no significant long term changes, positive or negative, to operational conditions during the month of August.

Reservoir storage is the single most critical operational parameter that the District monitors during the Community Drought Emergency to ensure adequate reserves for health and safety and fire-fighting purposes. However, there are other operational challenges related to which of

the five existing turnouts Zone 7 will deliver water given various constraints that arise from operating with very limited amounts of surface water.

Water Quality Issues: When Zone 7 resumed operating the Del Vale WTP following the first SBA outage on June 20, the first batch of surface water they produced did not contain a sufficient level of chlorine and the residual chlorine concentration in Zone 7's Dougherty Reservoir plummeted. As a result, water delivered to DSRSD through Turnout No. 2 contained abnormally low levels of chlorine, which caused a number of water quality problems primarily in Western Dublin. Five positive coliform samples resulted, and staff was forced to begin adding sodium hypochlorite at several reservoirs and flushing at hydrants in an effort to draw chlorinated water into the distribution system. These activities continued until July 3, when Zone 7 was finally able to boost the chlorine concentration in the water they were sending to Dougherty Reservoir, and the chlorine concentration in the water delivered through Turnout No. 2 returned to normal. Intermittent coliform issues occurred again during late July and August due to high ambient temperatures and the difficulty of maintaining adequate chlorine residual in some of the reservoirs, particularly in Western Dublin. Staff continued working with a contractor to complete the installation of a PAX Water chloramination system at reservoir 1A on Rhoda Avenue. This system will allow staff to boost the chlorine residual of the water once it is already into DSRSD's distribution system. Additional PAX systems may be added at more locations if the Reservoir 1A system work as expected.

Reservoir Storage. The District's water system is designed to provide adequate fire suppression flows with a minimum of 6.30 MG in storage, the system-wide standard operating criteria for public health and safety. Minimum storage levels during 2015 are presented below:

Month	Date	Time	Minimum Volume	Comment
January	2	12:00 noon	6.06 MG	Below standard
February	28	10:00 am	6.84 MG	
March	2	11:00 am	7.01 MG	
April	4	12:00 noon	7.20 MG	
May	5	11:00 pm	9.64 MG	
June	15	8:00 am	10.04 MG	
July	18	1:00 pm	11.74 MG	
August	1	3:00 pm	10.93 MG	

System storage dropped below the minimum of 6.30 MG on two occasions during January 2015, both for short periods of time and were due to the difficulty of operating the system using only two turnouts (described in more detail below), coupled with staff's efforts to manage storage during the winter months while maintaining acceptable water quality. Too much water in storage can result in water that loses chlorine so water quality suffers, and too little in storage can result in low pressures and not having enough water to meet demands.

System Operation during 2015 Drought Emergency. Since early 2014 DSRSD has been receiving potable water only through turnouts No. 2 (Stagecoach Road) and No. 5 (Fallon Road). Turnouts No. 1 (Dougherty Road) and No. 4 (Arnold) remain out of service at the request of Zone 7. Turnout No. 3 (Camp Parks) is intended for emergency use only and normally is not in service. This change allowed Zone 7 to reduce the pressure in their western loop from about 90 PSI to about 70 PSI in order to increase the maximum flow Zone 7's wells can produce by about 400 GPM. In addition, Zone 7 is allowing Dougherty Reservoir to "float" off their transmission system. To date the District has been able to move sufficient amounts of water from the two turnouts to each of the District's pressure zones, although as noted above the minimum quantity in storage has dropped below the system-wide standard operating criteria for health and safety briefly on two occasions, and both occurred during January 2015. Zone 7 plans to continue operating the western loop at the lower pressure, and they are evaluating adding pumping capacity at several Retailer turnouts in order to accommodate this as a long term operating strategy.

4 - PERTINENT POLICY DECISIONS

In June, the SWRCB adjusted the District's target conservation lever downward from 16% to 12%. This is not significant enough to warrant changes to either the District's Drought Management Plan or its declaration of its State of Emergency.

2014 Policy Decisions Still in Effect. Since early 2014 there have been many policy level decisions made at the local, regional, State and Federal levels as have been identified in earlier versions of this report during Water Year 2014. Key decisions that remain in effect include:

- U.S. Dept. of Agriculture declared Contra Costa and Alameda Counties natural disaster areas due to drought;
- The Zone 7 Water Agency directed the local water supply retailers and untreated water customers to assure a 25% total reduction with 5% coming from indoor curtailment and 50-60% from outdoor curtailment, and adopting mandatory conservation measures to achieve these reductions (apparently rescinded by Zone 7 on April 15, 2015);
- The District declared a State of Community Drought Emergency and extended that through June 30, 2015;
- The City of Dublin declared a Local Drought Emergency;
- The City of Pleasanton approved an urgency ordinance amending their water conservation plan as needed to protect the immediate threat of the potentially significant drought to preserve public health and safety;
- The City of Livermore declared a Stage 3 drought emergency; and
- Governor Edmund G. Brown proclaimed a Continued State of Emergency in the State of California and ordered that California residents should refrain from wasting water, specifying many practices that waste water and directing urban water suppliers to implement drought response plans to limit outdoor irrigation and other wasteful water practices.

2015 Policy Actions. The following policy actions have been taken in 2015:

- Pleasanton took formal action to suspend enforcement of its water use curtailment restrictions on January 20, 2015;
- Zone 7 has stated that they will not approve the District’s water supply request for 2015 until at least April; and
- On April 1, 2015 Governor Brown issued an Executive Order extending the State of Emergency due to the drought through February 28, 2016 and ordering mandatory statewide water use reductions of 25%;
- On April 15, 2015 Zone 7 lifted its Stage 2 Actions previously approved by the Board since Zone 7 can meet 100% or requested deliveries in 2015, there is no imminent threat of losing a major water supply source and the same objectives are being met through statewide mandates;
- On April 21, 2015 The City of Pleasanton took the following actions:
 - Continued the local emergency drought declaration;
 - Maintained Stage 3 mandatory water reductions of 25%; and
 - Reinstated excessive water use penalties for customers who do not achieve 25% reduction of water use (2015 vs. 2013).
- On May 5, 2015 the SWRCB established water use reduction targets for Tri-Valley agencies as follows:
 - DSRSD 16% (subsequently reduced to 12% - see below)
 - Pleasanton 24%
 - Livermore 20%
 - Cal Water 24%
- On May 19, 2015, the District conformed its Drought Management Plan to the SWRCB requirements and extended the State of Community Drought Emergency through February 29, 2016.
- On June 1, 2015 the SWRCB adjusted DSRSD’s conservation target downward from 16% to 12%

Water Supply Uncertainties. There also remains a great deal of legal and regulatory uncertainty about the reliability of water supplies from the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. This uncertainty develops due to interwoven legislation, regulation, legal actions and basic hydrology of the Delta. This situation has existed in some form for several decades but has become particularly critical in recent years. It is very likely that the uncertainties will continue for at least several years into the future. *Attachment A* to this report provides specific information about what is driving the various legislative, regulatory and legal uncertainties related to the Delta water supply.

5 - OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

There is no other relevant information, positive or negative, to report at this time.

TABLE 1									
TABULAR SUMMARY OF HISTORIC HYDROLOGICAL AND WATER SUPPLY CONDITIONS¹									
	WY2007	WY2008	WY2009	WY 2010	WY 2011	WY 2012	WY 2013	WY 2014	
Precipitation ²	75%	73%	93%	107%	145%	80%	85%	62%	
Snowpack ³	52%	101%	89%	126%	165%	74%	49%	23%	
Oroville Storage (% of Normal)	101%	90%	59%	78%	135%	115%	92%	49%	
Oroville Storage (% of Capacity)	62%	55%	38%	50%	86%	99%	79%	31%	
Unimpaired Runoff									
Percent of Normal Year ⁴	53%	58%	64%	84%	138%	63%	64%	39%	
Water Supply Index	Critical	Critical	Dry	Below Normal	Wet	Below Normal	Dry	Critical	
Water Delivery Allocation									
DWR to State Water Cont.	60%	35%	40%	50%	80%	65%	35%	5%	
Statewide and Regional Conservation									
CA Short Term	----		20%		Strongly encourage conservation and minimal use			20%	
CA Long Term	---			10% per capita reduction target by 2015; 20% per capita reduction mandate by 2020					
Zone 7	----	Voluntary 10%						25% Overall 5% Inside 50-60% Outside	
DSRSD CONSERVATION SUMMARY									
Pre SB 7X7 Methodology									
Target	Voluntary 10%			Stage I- Vol. 20%					
% Achieved ⁵	2.4%	4.5%	13.8%	21.1%	21.5%	26.8%			
Post SB 7X7 Methodology									
SB 7x7 Baseline							204		
2015 Target							183		
2020 Mandate							163		
UWMP Prediction							138	143	
Actual							126	107	

¹ Unless noted, data shown is for end of the Water Year shown.

² Percent of Normal; 8 Station Northern Sierra for the water year

³ Percent of Normal; Northern Sierra Average as of April 1 which is historically peak snowpack for the year

⁴ Runoff in percent of average year for Sacramento River watershed

⁵ Expressed on a per account basis with the baseline year (July 06 to June 07 for WY 2007 through 2012).

TABLE 2 TABULAR SUMMARY OF HYDROLOGICAL AND WATER SUPPLY CONDITIONS FOR WY 2015 ⁶												
	Oct 2014	Nov 2014	Dec 2015	Jan 2015	Feb 2015	Mar 2015	Apr 2015	May 2015	June 2015	July 2015	Aug 2015	Sept 2015
Precipitation ⁷	108%	75%	129%	86%	88%	76%	74%	70%	74%	75%	75%	
Snowpack ⁸	No Data	No Data	48%	14%	17%	5%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Oroville Storage (% of Normal)	46%	42%	62%	62%	70%	66%	61%	52%	48%	45%	46%	
Oroville Storage (% of Capacity)	28%	26%	39%	41%	49%	51%	50%	44%	39%	32%	30%	
Projected Unimpaired Runoff												
Expected ⁹	No Data	74%	92%	65%	60%	51%	48%	40%	40%	40%	40%	
Chance of Normal	No Data	25%	33%	20%	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
Projected Type of Water Year												
WY Classification	No Data	Dry	Below Norm.	Critical	Critical	Critical	Critical	Critical	Critical	Critical	Critical	
Water Delivery Allocation												
DWR Allocation	No Data	No Data	10%	15%	15%	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%	
Adopted Statewide and Regional Conservation Targets												
CA Short Term	20% voluntary curtailment as compared to 2013; with specific water use prohibitions		Statewide 25% mandatory curtailment vs 2013 with DSRSD required to achieve 12%. Also, specific water use prohibitions in place									
CA Long Term	10% per capita reduction interim target by 2015 and 20% per capita reduction mandated by 2020											
Zone 7	April 16, 2014: Zone 7 declared a drought emergency within its service area and established curtailments at 25% system-wide (5% indoor curtailment and 50-60% outside curtailment) and adopted various mandatory prohibitions					April 15, 2015: Zone 7 lifted its Stage 2 water shortages actions while stating its support for the extended statewide emergency and water conservation efforts and continued its local state of emergency.						
DSRSD CONSERVATION SUMMARY ¹⁰												
DSRSD Stage	May 5, 2014: declared Stage 3 Drought Emergency and set target curtailment consistent with Zone 7 (25% overall; 5% indoors and 50-60% outside – all as 2014 as compared to 2013)		May 5, 2014: Extended Drought Emergency and set target curtailment consistent with SWRCB (12% overall; 5% indoors and 25% outside – all as 2015 as compared to 2013). Note initially was 16% and adjusted downward by SWRCB in June to 12% for DSRSD									
SENATE BILL 7X7 CONSERVATION REQUIREMENTS (gpcpd system wide)												
Baseline	204											

⁶ Data shown is current as of the last day of the month shown

⁷ Percent of Normal at this time of year; ⁸ Station Northern Sierra

⁸ Percent of Normal at this time of year; Northern Sierra Average

⁹ Projected water year runoff in percent of average year for Sacramento River watershed

¹⁰ Values shown are in gallons / person / day on a total system basis for the month shown excepting "Residential Only" which is Residential Use / No. Residents

**TABLE 2
TABULAR SUMMARY OF HYDROLOGICAL AND WATER SUPPLY CONDITIONS FOR WY 2015⁶**

	Oct 2014	Nov 2014	Dec 2015	Jan 2015	Feb 2015	Mar 2015	Apr 2015	May 2015	June 2015	July 2015	Aug 2015	Sept 2015
2015 Target	183 (10% reduction)											
2020 Mandate	163 (20% Reduction)											
UWMP Projection	143 for CY 2014			146 for CY 2015								
ACTUAL PER CAPITA WATER USAGE												
System Wide	93.0	75.8	66.8	73.6	72.7	79.1	82.6	84.0	93.2	92.4	93.9	
Res. Only	70.6	59.4	61.7	45.4	64.1	44.4	62.1	61.3	62.7	59.3	66.6	

ATTACHMENT A
LEGAL AND REGULATORY ISSUES

SIGNIFICANT CHANGES SINCE THE LAST REPORT ARE HIGHLIGHTED

DELTA PLANNING

Bay Delta Conservation Plan: The Bay Delta Conservation Plan (BDCP) was designed to be a planning process for meeting the requirements of endangered species laws and achieving the co-equal goals of (1) conservation and management of the Delta’s ecological functions and (2) improving current water supplies and the reliability of Central Valley Project (CVP) and State Water Project (SWP) water deliveries. Significant opposition to the Plan and the process has been voiced by residents and entities from Delta and Central Valley communities, and by some state and federal water contractors which question who will pay for water for wildlife refuges and for environmental uses under the BDCP, as well as who will pay for construction and operations costs of any conveyance facilities. In July 2012, the state and federal governments announced their joint commitment to a proposed BDCP that would include two gravity-fed tunnels with a diversion capacity of 9,000 cubic feet of water per second (cfs), each of which would be 40 feet in diameter and 35 miles long, plus restoration of 113,000 acres of freshwater marsh, 50,000 of which would be restored in the next 15 years. Estimates said the tunnels would take at least 10 years to build, result in excavation and the need to dispose of 7 million cubic yards of “tunnel muck,” and cost an estimated \$24.5 - 28 Billion to construct and operate the conveyance facility as well as fund the mitigation and adaptive management for the 50-year implementation period. Estimates indicated that 60 - 70% of that cost would be paid by water users (and approximately 60% of that amount would be paid by SWP contractors), with the balance coming from a variety of state and federal sources. Construction costs for the 9,000 cfs dual-bore tunnel were estimated at \$14.5 Billion, but since that estimate was based on a 10% design, the draft BDCP said that the actual construction costs could be 50% higher or 25% lower than that number. On December 19, 2014, the Department of Water Resources (DWR) announced that the tunnel plan was being revised to eliminate the 3 large intake pumps on the Sacramento River near Hood and Walnut Grove, and instead to put a new pump station near the end of the tunnels, adjacent to Clifton Court Forebay. In other words, when river conditions will not allow the water to flow under the Delta via gravity alone, the water will now be pulled across the Delta from the south, rather than pushed from the north.

The draft BDCP and draft EIR/EIS were released for public comment on December 9, 2013; the comment period was extended twice, and closed on July 29, 2014. The draft documents were more than 41,000 pages. Approximately 11,000 individual comments were received, including about 9,000 “standardized” letters from people organized by a group opposed to the BDCP. DWR’s current schedule remains vague, especially since they announced on August 27 that the document would be “partially recirculated” in early 2015. The general scope of the recirculated document was announced on December 19, but no schedule for its release was provided. Intended beneficiaries do not yet fully know what benefits they can anticipate, and federal agencies have given no indication if or when they will do a feasibility analysis that is required before federal funds for the implementation of the BDCP could be appropriated. Current estimates are that only about 25% of CVP contractors would actually receive any water supply benefits if the project is fully implemented. The principal unknown is how the new system would be operated, which will determine water supply, water quality, and fisheries impacts. Fisheries

agencies suggested that current science requires high flows through the Delta and to the sea; such flow requirements would mean that future exports would be less than what contractors currently receive. Export contractors – especially irrigation entities -- are hoping to see far lower flows for fish and water quality protection so that farmers and ranchers can avoid having to pay large amounts of money for less water. In July 2013, federal agencies submitted comments on the administrative draft EIR/EIS which raised numerous difficult issues; some commentators suggested that the federal fisheries agencies believe the proposed project was not “permissible” under the Federal Endangered Species Act (FESA). The interplay between state and federal fisheries agencies and the CVP and SWP will be critical to ultimate governmental determinations concerning the proposed project. One month after the comment period on the Draft EIR/EIS closed, the US EPA sent a 43-page letter to the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service asserting that as proposed, the BDCP would violate federal standards under the Clean Water Act, and the letter was viewed by many participants in the BDCP process to be a major setback. A recent addition to the list of interagency issues concerns the 1986 Coordinated Operations Agreement (COA), which was intended to ensure that the SWP and CVP each receives its share of available Delta water, and carries its fair share of obligations such as water releases for Delta water quality. Over time, the relative proportion of water available for CVP exports has decreased in an unanticipated amount, while the relative proportion of export water going to the SWP has increased in a similarly unanticipated amount, and the SWP has been carrying a much smaller water quality burden. Some analyses show the imbalance totaled as much as 400,000 AF per year of export water in past years, and both Reclamation and some federal export contractors (primarily in the San Joaquin Valley) want that imbalance corrected and the COA renegotiated before beginning to consider how any BDCP operations criteria will be determined, and even whether the US can be a partner in the BDCP. Renegotiation of the COA after 30 years would be very controversial, would entail CEQA and NEPA documentation and consideration under the state and federal Endangered Species Acts, and may need Congressional action.

The key question for many water agencies has been their forecasted share of the costs burdens for the proposed project. If a project is ultimately approved and implemented, the earliest construction could begin is 2017 (engineering work to date is only at the 10% level), and the earliest date for operation of the new conveyance would be 2027. Largely because there is not yet an approved project, to date there are no firm funding commitments for the costs of construction or operation of the proposed facilities. On November 14, 2014, the State Treasurer’s Office released a new report on financing of the BDCP tunnels, and estimated that SWP contractors would be expected to pay \$248 – 322/AF for the water they are entitled to receive under their SWP contract. CVP contractors which benefit from the tunnels would be expected to pay \$345 – 367/AF. The report said that these amounts are expected to be required on a “take-or-pay” basis – i.e., the annual payment would be required regardless whether any water is received. On Dec. 10, 2014, DWR held the initial negotiation session with the SWP contractors concerning amendments to their contracts to cover funding for the BDCP.

On April 30, 2015, Governor Brown announced a new approach to the BDCP, separating the upgrade of Delta water conveyance (i.e., the tunnels) from ecosystem restoration. The revised tunnel project, and related mitigation, will be called “WaterFix;” the previous co-equal habitat restoration goal will be separated into a new program called “EcoRestore,” and reduced in size and scope. The US Department of Interior expressed initial support for the new approach. A “Partially Recirculated Draft EIR/ Supplemental Draft EIS” for the tunnels project was issued on July 9. A 45-day comment period was announced, starting on July 17, when the Federal Register notice of a proposed NEPA analysis will be published, and ending on August 31. Two 4-hour public meetings were held regarding the draft, in Sacramento on July 28 and in Walnut Grove on July 29. On July 22, the comment period was extended by 60 days, to October 31. The EcoRestore effort is aimed at achieving 30,000 acres of fish and wildlife

habitat restoration in the next 3 to 4 years, at a projected cost of at least \$300 Million, \$130 Million of which is anticipated to be needed between 2015 and 2018. SWP and CVP contractors are expected to pay those costs. Other than separation of the goals, the principal difference from the BDCP is that efforts to obtain 50-year permits from fisheries agencies are being abandoned. Tunnels proponents admit that the security of 50-year permits was not achievable, so they are now pursuing a more traditional approach of seeking shorter-term regulatory approvals and permits, including one or more Biological Opinions (BiOps) related to impacts on fish species.. Some potential beneficiaries of the project believe that the shorter-term permits and approvals for the project will mean that they will get less assurance of improved water supply reliability. The operational criteria and parameters for the revised project are totally unknown, as is the potential interrelationship to Delta flow criteria from the anticipated new Water Quality Control Plan that the SWRCB is required to produce under the Clean Water Act. DWR now estimates that costs for the tunnel and related facilities will be \$17 Billion, to be paid by at least some of the SWP and CVP contractors, presumably in some form of proportion to the project's relative water supply benefits to SWP and CVP contractors. While the BDCP originally was to include export pumps located near Sacramento, the revised proposal calls for the pumps to be solely in the south Delta. A DWR land acquisition report for the project (that had to be obtained via the Public Records Act) says that up to 200 parcels of land in the Delta will have to be acquired, of which about 120 are for surface rights and about 70 for subsurface rights. Another 100 permanent and 20 temporary easements will also need to be acquired. DWR says the revised project is expected to reduce adverse impacts on Delta water quality, but critics dispute those assertions. DWR and USBR filed a water rights Petition for the proposed project on August 26, seeking changes in the points of diversion permitted for the SWP and the CVP. Processing of the Petition has not yet begun, but it is anticipated that the period during which protests may be lodged will expire in January 2016 and that the proponents will try to "fast-track" the water rights proceeding.

Delta Stewardship Council's Delta Plan and EIR: The 2009 legislative package that included the Delta Reform Act tried to address long-standing issues about Delta planning and the possibility of insuring water supply reliability and simultaneously reaching the co-equal goal of restoring/enhancing the Delta ecosystem. At the heart of this measure was establishment of the Delta Stewardship Council and a mandate that it develop a Delta Plan and the necessary environmental analysis by December 31, 2011. The goal of the Plan was to provide guidance to state and local agency actions to meet the coequal goals. (That statutory deadline was not met.) On May 16-17, 2013, the Council adopted the Delta Plan, certified the completion of the EIR, and approved the process for implementing the regulations. The adopted Plan contains 14 policies, which the Council has attempted to turn into legally enforceable state regulations. No substantial action based on the Plan will happen very quickly, and the EIR has been the subject of substantial criticism from all sides. Numerous parties, including the State Water Contractors, filed 7 lawsuits in three different Counties; the cases were all coordinated and assigned to the Sacramento Superior Court. The suits are challenges to the Plan, arguing that it is not consistent with the 2009 legislation because it does not achieve the co-equal goals of Delta ecosystem restoration and water supply reliability, and challenging the regulations. Those cases are all pending, as one coordinated proceeding; the final round of briefs was filed May 21, 2015.

The Delta Plan called for adoption of Delta flow objectives by June 2014; implementation measures to reach those objectives would then be analyzed and recommended to the SWRCB in approximately one year after that. The SWRCB started the process for setting those objectives, in conjunction with its triennial review of the Water Quality Control Plan for the Delta (WQCP), and indicated that it would seek to set flow objectives for five "primary tributaries to the Bay-Delta" by June, 2018. However, the heavy workload the SWRCB is facing due to the current and continuing drought has

slowed that schedule. This effort will inevitably be controversial, since an earlier and non-precedential SWRCB decision related to flow objectives established criteria that would dedicate between 50% and 75% of the available flows in the Delta to in-stream uses, which would result in drastic cutbacks in water available for export.

On December 31, 2012, the SWRCB released its proposed revisions to flow requirements (plus a 2000-page environmental analysis) for the San Joaquin River and 3 tributaries (Merced, Stanislaus, and Tuolumne Rivers), which featured establishment of a threshold of 35% of the unimpaired flow of the tributaries to be set aside for Delta protection. Historically about 20% of unimpaired flow in those rivers reached the Delta. Water users and water rights holders on those rivers are vigorously resisting implementation of that threshold, arguing that it would result in a supply cut of 15% in average water years, and up to 50% in dry years. The SWRCB began a hearing on San Joaquin flows on March 20, 2013. A “final” version of the WQCP objectives and environmental impact analysis was issued in May, but the SWRCB has now postponed any action on this still-controversial subject until an as-yet unknown date. Some Central Valley legislators have introduced legislation to require the State to study the potential adverse impact on groundwater if diversions from the three rivers have to be reduced in spring months.

In the 2014 drought, the SWRCB curtailed diversion of water under many water rights (including some very senior rights to divert from the Delta and some of its tributaries), and began to analyze the diversion of water by farmers and agricultural water districts in the Delta region. Renewed curtailments have begun, and to date curtailments of at least 9,300 water rights have been ordered in 2015, including some unprecedented curtailments of diversions under very senior water rights dating back as far as 1858 in at least one watershed. On July 9, Sacramento Superior Court Judge issued a temporary restraining order intended to keep the SWRCB from enforcing curtailment orders for several entities that directly divert water from the Delta. A hearing on a preliminary injunction was held on July 30, and the Judge dissolved the restraining order and refused to issue an injunction because of revisions the SWRCB had made to its notices to holder of water rights that are subject to curtailment. Cease and desist orders have been issued regarding two Delta area diverters (Byron Bethany Irrigation District (BBID), and West Side ID), which continued to pump after receiving curtailment notices, and SWRCB enforcement proceedings have been scheduled. The newly appointed Delta Watermaster has led an effort to achieve an open dialog among the many parties regarding in-Delta water diversions and use, in an effort to quiet the previously high degree of animosity between in-Delta water users and the export community, but the curtailment orders have made that difficult. Each of those subjects is essentially unprecedented in California, and further illustrates the difficult challenges to water management in general, but in particular in the Delta.

LEGISLATION

2014 Water Bond: The November 2009 water legislation package passed on to the voters the question of whether to authorize issuance of \$11.14 billion in General Obligation bonds, for which debt service payments of about \$700 million per year would have to come from the State’s General Fund. The bond issue was to be on the November 2010 ballot, but the Legislature subsequently passed a bill delaying the election to 2012, largely because of the state’s precarious financial situation. On August 13, 2014, the Legislature adopted AB 1471, the Water Quality, Supply, and Infrastructure Improvement Act of 2014; the vote was 77 – 2 in the Assembly and 37 – 0 in the Senate. 33 senators were either authors or co-authors of the bill, which was signed by the Governor. It replaced the 2009 bond measure, and called for voter approval of \$7.545 Billion in new general obligation bonds. It appeared on the November ballot as Proposition 1, and had elements calling for new surface and groundwater storage, regional

reliability, sustainable groundwater management and cleanup, water recycling and conservation, watershed protection, and safe drinking water, especially for disadvantaged communities. Prop. 1 was supposed to be “tunnel-neutral,” and called for spending up to \$2.7 Billion on new surface or groundwater storage; surface storage projects that could meet the criteria in the measure include the proposed new Sites and Temperance Flat Reservoirs, and a further expansion of Los Vaqueros Reservoir. 67% of the voters voted for the bond, in a resounding victory. Significant efforts are now underway to develop the procedures and schedule for funding of projects based on passage of the new bond measure, and it is already obvious that there will be intense competition for the available money. In March 2015, the Legislature approved and the Governor signed a bill appropriating \$1.060.5 Billion for “local drought relief and infrastructure projects to make the state’s infrastructure more resilient to extreme weather events.” About 26% of the total is expected to be available for drinking water quality, water recycling, and desalination projects.

Groundwater Legislation: Governor Brown signed a package of bills in 2014 which will for the first time require a degree of oversight of groundwater production in California – all other western states have some form of such legislation already. The major thrust of the bills is to require that local entities in each of the several hundred groundwater basins begin now to draft, approve, and implement a plan for achieving sustainability of the local groundwater resource (i.e., essentially, an end of over-drafting) by 2040. The legislation is not expected to have significant impact in the Tri-Valley area, but may be of considerable significance in the Central and Salinas Valleys over time.

Federal Drought Relief Legislation: Throughout 2014, efforts were made in both houses of Congress to pass drought relief legislation, but the efforts stalled. Since the mid-term election, renewed efforts in the Senate suggested that Senator Feinstein’s bill might move forward in the waning days of the current Congress, but in November she decided to await the commencement of the new Congress and to try again. Closed-door meetings on the legislation were called by Sen. Feinstein in late January, but only with a few Democratic members of Congress. The major proponents of the legislation have been irrigation interests in the San Joaquin Valley, led by Westlands Water District. Senators Feinstein and Boxer introduced the California Emergency Relief Act of 2015 on July 29; it includes over \$1 Billion for immediate assistance to drought-stricken communities, plus provisions intended to promote, facilitate, and help finance storage, desalination, recycling and conservation. The bill has so far had a good reception, but it is dramatically different from legislation being considered in the House. A much more aggressive bill was passed in the House, and Pres. Obama’s administration indicated that if a bill in that form reached him, it would be vetoed. The House of Representatives is now considering HR 2898, introduced on June 25 by Congressman David Valadao with co-sponsorship by all Republican California members of the House, calling for more water and relaxation of regulatory limits on exports. On July 8, Congressman Jared Huffman and over 30 California Democratic co-sponsors introduced the Drought Relief and Resilience Act as the Democratic response to the Valadao bill. The deep partisan divide between Republican and Democratic members of the California Congressional delegation, and the differences in thinking between legislators from the Central Valley as opposed to those from coastal areas, are significant factors affecting water-related legislative efforts.

State Drought Relief Legislation: In addition to taking the unprecedented action of ordering mandatory water conservation by urban users, on June 24 Gov. Brown signed legislation to allow water retailers to fine customers who violate locally-imposed drought rules up to \$10,000, in lieu of the current statutory limit of \$500. The bill also streamlines environmental review and permitting processes for some local water supply projects, especially those with minimal adverse environmental impacts. In contrast, a bill to streamline the review process for two proposed large new reservoirs (Sites and Temperance Flat) was

rejected by a key Assembly Committee. Another provision of the signed bill would allow the SWRCB to force the consolidation of public water systems if a system fails to provide an adequate supply of safe drinking water. This provision was opposed by a broad range of associations representing utilities, cities, counties, and LAFCOs.

DELTA ECOSYSTEM ISSUES

Delta Smelt and Salmonid Species: Federal litigation concerning the interaction of the Federal Endangered Species Act (FESA) and NEPA with the operations of the CVP and SWP has dominated all considerations of Delta water export operations in the last few years. Most of that litigation has concerned the balance between water exports and the need to restrict or limit exports in an effort to protect Delta smelt and a variety of salmonid species. For salmonids, litigation challenging the Biological Opinion was decided by the 9th Circuit US Court of Appeal in December, 2014, and the Court upheld the BiOp as having been based on sound science. For Delta smelt, a trial court decision overturning the BiOp was reversed by the 9th Circuit in a 2 – 1 decision on March 13, but the Court held that Reclamation must complete additional NEPA documentation on certain actions before accepting the smelt BiOp. There is still some uncertainty as to the practical effect of that aspect of the rulings, but in the meantime, Delta operations are being managed in accordance with both BiOps, while the federal fisheries agencies are working on new ones under court-established deadlines (12/1/15 for smelt and 4/30/16 for salmon). On January 12, petitions by San Joaquin Valley growers, the State Water Contractors, and Westlands Water District asking the US Supreme Court to take the smelt case and invalidate the smelt BiOp were denied. In a second smelt case, on December 22 the 9th Circuit unanimously reversed another trial court decision which had found the BiOp invalid. In still another smelt-related case, the 9th Circuit ruled that environmental groups could challenge federal renewals of 41 long-term water service contracts for contractors in the Sacramento and San Joaquin Valleys, and the U. S. Supreme Court refused to take that case; the litigation will proceed, and the contracts remain in jeopardy.

The 2014 fall mid-water trawl, conducted for the 46th year in 2014 and one of the key scientific indicators of the abundance of critical fish species, showed that the four species of greatest concern were at near-record lows; in particular, Delta smelt were at the lowest year on record. Since the decline of pelagic organisms (i.e., aquatic species that feed in the middle of the water column) such as Delta smelt, began in the Delta in 2002, the smelt index has ranged from a high of 151 to a low of 4 (it was 7 in 2008 and 2013), as compared to values that were occasionally greater than 1000 in prior years). The population indices used to track 4 key fish species have declined by 95.6% to 99.8% since the trawl began in 1967. The combination of record low precipitation and fish-related operations restrictions made export operations particularly difficult for 2014, and limited the use of cross-Delta water transfers and recovery of water in groundwater banks that might otherwise have been available to assist in areas dependent on Delta export pumping. 2015 to date has involved similar and even greater operational difficulties. As of January 7, export pumps had “taken” (i.e., killed) 72% of the Delta smelt they were originally allowed to take during the water years; on January 9 the US F&WS increased the take limit by more than 150%. Mid-water trawls only found 6, 1, and 8 Delta smelt in March, April and May, respectively; some biologists assert that the species is “near-extinction,” but the State’s fisheries experts are not yet ready to reach that inference. In June, 2015, trawl-based the index for Delta smelt was 0.018 (which rounds to 0). July results were essentially the same. California has 129 native freshwater fish species, of which 100 are now listed as threatened or endangered. Of the 129, 18 species, all of which are native to or travel through the Delta, are thought to be near or past the point of extinction.

An equipment malfunction led to incorrect information being relied upon concerning water temperatures in Shasta Reservoir this spring, resulting in premature release of up to 120,000 AF of water. The CVP and SWP are trying to conserve as much cold water as possible in Shasta now, in an effort to prevent further damage to or possible extinction of at least one Sacramento River salmon species. To do so, they are releasing more water than would otherwise be the case from Oroville and Folsom reservoirs. The SWRCB has now relaxed some streamflow requirements to allow the CVP and SWP to conserve more cold water in storage rather than release it for water quality and fisheries protection; in 2014, 95% of the winter run, 98% of fall run, and virtually all of the spring run of Chinook salmon were determined to have died due to elevated water temperatures. The SWRCB has adopted a revised water temperature management plan to try to avoid or limit the potentially disastrous impacts on salmonids. There is concern among the project operators about their ability to maintain good Delta water quality; e.g., there may be difficulty in avoiding violation of the 250 mg/l standard at Rock Slough; the last time that standard was threatened was in 1977, when DWR installed a special pumping plant on Woodward Island and used EBMUD's No. 1 Aqueduct to convey Middle River water across two Delta islands to improve water quality in Rock Slough, from which water is pumped into the Contra Costa Canal. The temperature control efforts at Shasta are putting considerable strain on Folsom Reservoir, which is down to about 19% of capacity and releasing about 1,600 AF/day. Projections are that the Reservoir will level off at about 12% of capacity, or 120,000 AF. However, "worst case scenario" modeling projects a late 2015 capacity of 4% (40,000 AF). The City of Folsom, Folsom Prison, Roseville, and some American River communities can only receive water if the Reservoir is above 80,000 AF, so a floating pump station is now being built in the Reservoir by Reclamation.

Construction of three temporary stream barriers has been authorized in the Delta to try to improve water operations and quality in spite of the drought conditions. Construction of the West False River Barrier, located about 5 miles northeast of the town of Oakley and intended to reduce the adverse impacts of salinity intrusion into the central Delta, began on May 6 and was complete by May 29. The barrier is scheduled to be removed in October. The barrier reduced salinity levels experienced at the SWP, CVP, and CCWD intakes, but caused difficult water level and navigation problems in some Delta channels, and contributed to poor water quality in parts of the south Delta. Once the barrier is removed, Delta salinity will rise, particularly at the CCWD intakes.

LOCAL WATER SUPPLY CONTRACTS

State Water Project Contract: On May 1, 2013 DWR began what was originally planned to be three months of public negotiations with the SWC on contract amendments to the contract term and on certain financial provisions of the current basic water supply contract between DWR and each member of the SWC. DWR wants to issue 30-year bonds for its debt financing, but there are only 20 years left on the present contract. DWR urged a 40-year extension, but some of the SWC argued that it should be 75 years. DWR uses revenue bond financing for capital improvements and upgrades of existing systems; in recent years it has sold as much as \$200 Million in such bonds per year, and it estimates that it needs \$2.5 Billion to repair, restore, and strengthen existing infrastructure. DWR also estimates that the BDCP improvements would require the SWC to pay another \$10 Billion, and the current contract negotiations would put the necessary financial accounting and oversight mechanisms in place for that as well. DWR will conduct an environmental review process for an amendment to the SWP Contract, which is now anticipated to take from September 2014 through an indefinite date in 2016. The Notice of Preparation for the anticipated EIR was issued on Sep. 12, two scoping meetings were held on Sep. 23, and the scoping period for receipt of public comments ended on Oct. 13. Numerous environmental and fisheries groups filed critical comments during the scoping period. Once the EIR is certified, public contract

negotiations are expected to commence in late 2016 or early 2017. The proposed amendment needs to be reviewed in the Legislature, but does not need legislative approval. If adopted as the parties have tentatively agreed, the amendments would extend the current SWP contracts by 50 years, to 2085. Three Sacramento Valley SWP contractors (Butte and Plumas Counties, and Yuba City) want the new contract to expressly provide for them to opt out of the costs associated with the BDCP's conveyance facilities, but that issue has been set aside now pending separate negotiations on BDCP cost allocations among the SWP contractors. SWP North Bay Aqueduct (NBA) contractors (in Napa and Solano Counties) are also concerned about those facilities because the Draft EIR/EIS for the BDCP indicated that it would have an unavoidable significant adverse impact on water quality in the NBA, which may require relocation of the NBA intake at a preliminarily estimated cost of \$510 Million.

BBID transfer to Zone 7: Since 1995, an important part of Zone 7's water supply portfolio has been an annual transfer of up to 5,000 AF of Delta water to Zone 7 from BBID. On December 14, 2012, DWR told BBID that the transfer was being made without DWR's consent, and that the water had to be "repaid" to DWR. Both BBID and Zone 7 vigorously objected to DWR's position and resisted the demand that Zone 7 "repay" any previously transferred water. Zone 7 received no water from BBID in 2014 and will receive none in 2015. Zone 7's 2015 Annual Review of Sustainable Water Supply projects that no water will be received from BBID in the foreseeable future. In 2014, BBID received about 1,700 AF of supplemental water via a pilot transfer agreement with CCWD (between August 28 and the end of October, resulting in a reduction in storage in Los Vaqueros of approximately 60 AF/day). The SWRCB-approved transfer was made possible because CCWD could rely on water stored in Los Vaqueros rather than diverting from the Delta, and BBID was allowed to divert what would otherwise be CCWD's water from its diversion point on the intake channel to the SWP's Banks Pumping Plant. Without this transfer, much or perhaps all of the Byron area in eastern Contra Costa County would have been out of water entirely this fall due to curtailment of its diversion rights. 500 AF is currently being transferred under a one-year extension of the pilot agreement; the necessary water rights petitions were filed on May 22, 2015; initial diversions took place in the week of August 3.

WATER SUPPLY RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENT EFFORTS

Regional Activities: Numerous discussions of a variety of water transfers, interties, and cooperative arrangements are underway, involving water agencies throughout the Bay Area region and in the Central Valley; e.g., Zone 7, CCWD, and EBMUD are discussing a possible link between CCWD facilities (which have a 100 million gallon per day (mgd) intertie with EBMUD's Mokelumne Aqueduct) and Bethany Reservoir, the forebay for the South Bay Aqueduct (SBA). Numerous 2015 transfer arrangements are being implemented among irrigation agencies and individual farmers, with purchase prices ranging as high as the 2014 peak published price of \$3,000 per AF. Metropolitan Water District's Board has authorized spending up to \$71 Million to purchase up to 100,000 AF of north-of-Delta transfer water in 2015, but it is unlikely that much water will be available. In some San Joaquin Valley agricultural areas, a fairly robust private water transfer market exists, with farmers and orchardists paying prices between \$1,600 and \$2,600 per AF; the high market prices that tree and vine crops now sell for makes this short-term spot market viable.

CCWD's Los Vaqueros Expansion Project (LVE) was completed in 2012, and the Reservoir currently holds about 94,000 AF. Due to the reduced allocation of CVP water, CCWD is now withdrawing about 100 AF/day from LV storage. It filled at a rate of about 300 AF/day during a hydrologic window of opportunity which lasted about 10 days in March. The ability to quickly react to such opportunities has become increasingly important in the protracted drought. Federal and state agencies are leading a

study effort to consider a further expansion of the Reservoir, and numerous water agencies have signed an updated Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) continuing those studies through 2016, including Zone 7, the other South Bay Aqueduct agencies (ACWD and SCVWD), EBMUD, and the San Luis and Delta Mendota Water Authority. Other entities, including BBID and East Contra Costa ID, may also consider joining. A cost-sharing MOU is being negotiated now between CCWD and USBR concerning the environmental documentation for the project. Federal legislation was introduced in 2014 by Congressmen Costa and Miller which would have expedited expansion of the Reservoir; it called for a phased approach, including near-term agreements to lease storage space in the existing 160,000 AF Reservoir, construction of a proposed \$200 Million pipeline to Bethany Reservoir to provide water directly to the South Bay Aqueduct (water that would not have to go through the SWP's Banks Pumping Plant, and that would be diverted through existing state-of-the art fish screens), and further expansion of the storage capacity to 275,000 AF. State funds for the studies were also provided for in Prop. 1. Congress did not act on the legislation before the end of the previous session. Environmental documentation and endangered species coverage has already been completed for each of these proposed projects.

In January, 2013 the Boards of Directors of EBMUD and CCWD accepted principles of agreement for a new partnership arrangement concerning LVE, and a demonstration project under which 5,000 AF of EBMUD water would be stored in the reservoir for up to 5 years took place. (Under a separate agreement, EBMUD also wheeled about 1,600 AF of CCWD's CVP water to CCWD between July 17 and 24, 2014, and a similar arrangement could be made for the fall of 2015.) CCWD reached a similar understanding with ACWD on April 3, 2013 for a 1,000 AF pilot project, which was expanded to 5,000 AF in 2014 due to the drought; that water was delivered to ACWD via the SBA between mid-July and September 1, 2014. This transfer was approved by the SWRCB and required the cooperation and coordination of Reclamation and DWR. CCWD was able to forego diversion of a similar amount from the Delta because it is able to rely on storage in Los Vaqueros. The Zone 7 Board approved a similar one-year demonstration project on November 19, 2014, and both ACWD and Zone 7 will each receive up to 2,500 AF in 2015; the transfer was approved by the SWRCB on Jan. 20, 2015. On February 25, 2014, the EBMUD Board agreed to exercise an option to buy up to 20,000 AF of water from the Placer County Water Agency (PCWA) in 2014, and diverted the first 5,000 AF in April, at a price of \$75/AF. EBMUD chose to not exercise the option to take another 15,000 AF of PCWA water, and the water was purchased instead by Westlands Water District, which bought a total of 35,000 AF from Placer for \$325/AF; none of the SBA contractors apparently expressed interest in buying that water. In 2014, EBMUD also purchased 16,800 AF of CVP water under its 1970 water service contract, and diverted that water via its Freeport facilities – the initial use of that contract and facilities in that manner. About 230 to 250 AF/day were delivered to EBMUD's San Pablo Reservoir and Upper San Leandro Reservoir. Those operations were necessary because the treatment plants that draw water from those reservoirs are "full treatment" plants that can adequately handle Sacramento River water; EBMUD's other principal treatment plants (Orinda, Lafayette, and Walnut Creek) are in-line filter plants which can only treat EBMUD's higher quality Mokelumne River water. On April 15, 2015, EBMUD began pumping about 270 AF/day at Freeport, and that water is now being used to fill USL reservoir, as happened in 2014. The rate of diversion was slowed to about 180 AF/day in July and August, response to requests from DWR and USBR related to their efforts to maintain Delta water quality at a very difficult time, especially with Shasta Reservoir releases being throttled back to conserve cold water. Pumping at about 270 AF/day resumed September 1. Importation of CVP water allows EBMUD to slow the rate at which it used its Mokelumne River supply; as a result Pardee Reservoir is currently 82% full and their key local reservoirs are 75% full, but there is no snowpack, runoff is predicted to be very low (among the 5 lowest years on record), and EBMUD's rights to divert water from the Mokelumne River have now been curtailed by the

SWRCB. Overall, EBMUD imported almost 23,400 AF of supplemental water from non-Mokelumne River sources between April and July, 2014. In 2015, in addition to its purchase and importation of 33,250 AF of CVP water, EBMUD is buying about 11,000 AF from two Sacramento Valley CVP contractors, about 12,000 AF of additional transfer water from PCWA, and about 3,000 AF from a rice farming company in the Colusa area. EBMUD's announced goal was to buy 65,000 AF of supplemental water (i.e., CVP and transfer water) in 2015, so that it can keep its Freeport pumps in operation through mid-December. Actual pumping is now expected to be about 58,000 AF. EBMUD's Freeport facilities can be used to convey CVP water or water made available by Yuba County Water Agency (YCWA), PCWA, or other Sacramento Valley sources, but which cannot be delivered south of the Delta due to export restrictions at the DWR pumps; arrangements of this nature, especially if implemented jointly with CCWD, could provide supply and reliability benefits to numerous Bay Area water agencies. EBMUD has also renewed consideration of a conjunctive use idea with a number of entities in San Joaquin County.

Many similar transactions happened in 2014 and will happen again this year as a result of the drought, and regulatory agencies (especially the SWRCB) have been very helpful due to the drought crisis. Another such transaction in 2014 involved a SWRCB-approved transfer of 10,000 AF from South Sutter Water District across the Delta to five SWP entities, four of which will receive their share of the transfer water through the Banks Pumping Plant. When water can be found north of the Delta, similar arrangements could conceivably be made to provide additional water that could then be pumped via the South Bay Aqueduct for the benefit of Zone 7 or the other SWP contractors on that Aqueduct, but a considerable amount of planning and negotiation is needed. Such efforts were quite successful for ACWD in 2014.

In 2014, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California increased subsidies it will pay to water retailers for their efforts to develop local water resources, including recycled water, desalination, and recovered groundwater. Previous subsidies were a maximum of \$250/AF; on October 14, the MWD Board increased the maximum to \$340/AF. In 2015, MWD also expanded its funding of turf removal by over \$300 Million.

In anticipation of 2015 remaining dry, and Zone 7 again receiving a small proportion of its SWP water supply contract entitlement, DSRSD negotiated a water transfer with YCWA of up to 1,500 AF, to be delivered via EBMUD's Freeport, Aqueduct, and local facilities, and via the federal Folsom-South Canal. The water was to be transferred in mid- to late April, stored by EBMUD, and made available for distribution to District customers in June through September. The many permits and approvals required for the transaction were pursued vigorously, with a great deal of work by DSRSD, EBMUD, and YCWA staff members. The transaction was also contingent on hydrologic conditions in the Delta being conducive to such a transfer in the latter half of April, but that did not happen. In addition, Reclamation refused to agree to the Warren Act contract that was needed for the water to be wheeled via the Folsom South Canal. District staff continues to work closely with YCWA and EBMUD in an effort to make it possible for a transfer of this nature to occur in the right circumstances in the future.

Prior to the current drought, about 33% of California's water supply came from groundwater, but in 2014 it was up to 75%. A University of California study estimates that over 6 Million Af of groundwater will be pumped in 2015 to replace surface water, on top of about 5 Million AF in 2014, despite fallowing of what has been estimated to be as much as 900,000 acres of farmland. Record volumes of groundwater are now being pumped in the San Joaquin Valley, where farmers in Westlands Water District pumped over 650,000 AF in 2014. In the Tulare Lake Basin, current estimates are that the groundwater basin is being over drafted by 1-2 million acre-feet per year. As dry conditions persist,

hundreds of new deep wells are being installed in the Central Valley, and the pumping of old and new wells is resulting in declining aquifers (by as much as 200 feet in one year in some areas) and land subsidence of up to 3 feet has been measured since 2010 (up to 10 inches in just 7 months between November 2014 and June 2015) in an area that may be as large as 1,200 square miles. Many of these new wells are needed to irrigate hundreds of thousands of acres of permanent tree and vine crops that have been planted in recent years (in lieu of previous field crops like tomatoes and cotton) despite the lack of reliable and consistent imported water supplies. California now has over 860,000 acres of almond trees, as compared to about 400,000 acres in 1995, and since such trees need an average of 3 to 4 acre-feet of water per acre to survive, this increase in almond production has “hardened” annual demand for water in areas which used to be annual field/row crops or pasture (all of which could be fallowed in dry years; trees cannot). Despite the drought, California almond production was about 2.1 billion pounds in 2014, of which 80% was exported from the US, and almond orchards use 60% of the nation’s entire managed honeybee population for pollination. 2015 production is estimated at 1.85 to 1.9 billion pounds. In 1993 fruits and nuts represented about 29% of the State’s \$19.9 billion agricultural economy; in 2013 the agricultural economy was \$44 billion, of which 47.3 % was fruits and nuts. Statewide, dairy production is about 17% of the total agricultural economy, but Central Valley dairy production averages use of 5 to 6 AF/acre of land in dairy use. The acreage now in pistachio trees (approximately 300,000 acres) has probably doubled in the past 20 years, and is still expanding, but pistachio production is expected to be significantly reduce in 2015 due to drought conditions and limited available water. On July 15, 2014, a trial court judge in Sacramento issued an unprecedented decision holding that the “public trust doctrine,” which had previously only been applied to certain surface water resources, is also applicable to groundwater resources. If that decision stands up on appeal, it could have significant impact on utilization of previously unregulated and unmanaged groundwater resources in California.

Five local water entities (Zone 7, ACWD, CCWD, EBMUD and the SFPUC) and the WaterReuse Foundation are participating in projects being funded by the Water Research Foundation to study the potential for Direct Potable Reuse (DPR). The projects began early in 2014 and support research needs of the California Department of Public Health for compliance with the statutory mandates of SB 918 (2010) to investigate the feasibility of developing regulatory criteria for protection of public health by 2016; as a result of this work, DPR could ultimately be permitted for groundwater recharge and/or for surface water augmentation.

San Diego Desalination: Construction of the plant and pipeline are now more than 80% complete, and the project is on schedule and under budget, on a 54 mgd desalination plant in San Diego County; the San Diego County Water Authority (SDCWA) views it as a new long-term reliable source of drinking water, and will be paying an estimated \$1900 to \$2200/AF to achieve that reliability and the concurrent reduction in demand for imported water. The key conveyance pipeline was finished in June, and “wet-testing” began in February. Operations are expected to begin in 2016, but first water deliveries will be in November 2015. A second such plant, with a production capacity of about 56,000 AFA, is nearing the end of the planning and permitting phase; it will be located in Huntington Beach if the planning and permitting processes can be successfully completed, and construction is projected for a possible 2018 completion date. Both of these desalination plants are sponsored by Poseidon Water and utilize engineering and technology techniques that were developed in Israel; the Huntington Beach proposal is being done in cooperation with the Orange County Water District. After adopting a report on the success of the City’s 2-year 1 mgd demonstration project, San Diego’s City Council acted in April 2013 to pursue implementation plans for a “water purification” project to augment City drinking water supplies with up to 15 mgd of purified wastewater that would be conveyed to San Vicente Reservoir to blend

with stored Colorado River water. A 2013 public opinion poll indicated that 73% of the San Diego residents who were surveyed favored the project. Initial estimates are that the project would cost about \$370 Million, and could eventually be expanded to 88 mgd. On November 18, 2014, the San Diego City Council approved the water recycling/purification project that will provide supplemental drinking water supplies of up to one-third of the City's needs by 2035, for an anticipated cost of \$3.5 Billion. The SDCWA has also recently completed a 152,000 AF storage addition to the City of San Diego's 70-year old 90,000 AF San Vicente Reservoir, to increase reliability and emergency storage for that region.

Central Coast Desalination: On Sep. 23, 2014 the Santa Barbara City Council unanimously approved taking the first steps to reactivate the desalination plant that the City completed in 1992, but mothballed (and some parts sold) beginning in 1994. The work necessary to restore the plant to its original 5,000 AF per year capacity is estimated to cost \$32 Million and be completed in 2017. Expanding the capacity by 50% would add another \$28 Million. The two efforts together are estimated to result in a \$14 to \$20 per month increase in local water bills to City accounts.

OTHER WATER SUPPLY RELIABILITY AND UNCERTAINTY FACTORS:

Colorado River: Although it does not directly impact the District or Zone 7, a number of factors suggest that continuing uncertainty about southern California's reliance on the Colorado River will increase. This spring the Colorado River system (as managed by Reclamation) has only about half the water that would be considered "normal." The original 1922 allocation of Colorado River water (among 7 western states) was based on a short period of hydrologic history which was wetter than any period since then. The assumption then was that the River would yield 15 MAFA; the U.S. now believes that the actual yield is closer to 12 MAFA. Upper Colorado River Basin runoff was 94% of average for 2014, but the impacts of the last 14 years of dry conditions means that storage in Lakes Powell and Mead is still at a point where water deliveries to California are curtailed. Lake Powell is at 52% of capacity; Lake Mead is at 37% of its 26 MAF capacity and on June 23 reached the lowest surface elevation since the enormous Lake began filling in 1935 (but has risen by several inches since then). -- the lake level is over 135 feet below a full reservoir pool. The total combined storage in the two lakes at the end of October was the lowest since 1968, when Lake Powell was first being filled. As a result, southern California's ability to rely on transfers from Imperial Irrigation District (IID) to San Diego (which gets 33% of its water from these transfers), or on full deliveries from the Colorado to the MWD is now less certain. As Colorado River supplies drop, Arizona and Nevada will experience cutbacks before California, and the first such cutbacks are expected to occur with regard to water delivered under the Central Arizona Project in 2016 or 2017. The complex set of agreements which resulted in transfers of Colorado River water from IID to San Diego requires IID to meet certain water conservation goals; this has proved to be difficult for IID, and the conservation programs are very controversial among its agricultural water users. MWD put over 2.7 MAF in storage in southern California in recent years, but approximately half of that has been used during the persistent drought. In the long run a reduction in Colorado River water tends to put added emphasis (i.e., water demand) on exports from the Delta to southern California. 2013 marked the worst 14 years of hydrologic history on the River since records have been kept; in contrast, in 2000, the combined storage in Lakes Mead and Powell was 95% of capacity. It should also be noted that in contrast to the extremely high prices being paid for water in the San Joaquin Valley, some farmers in the Coachella Valley and in Imperial County are paying as little as \$20 - 40/AF for Colorado River water. In anticipation of further decline in the reliability of Colorado River supplies, Arizona adopted and refined its comprehensive groundwater management statutes in the 1980's and 1990's, and these laws are the basis for an extensive groundwater banking program. A new potentially complicating factor arose in

August when approximately 3 million gallons of wastewater from a defunct gold mine in Colorado was accidentally released into the Animas River, which flows into the San Juan River in New Mexico and thence into to the Colorado upstream of Lake Powell. The contaminated water is heavily laden with several heavy metals, and the spill discolored the river for several hundred miles. It is not yet known what effect this incident might have on Colorado River water quality for the more than 35 million people who rely on it for drinking water.

H:\Board\2015\09-15-15\9B Drought Response Action Plan\Attachment 1 - Water Supply Demand Report.docx

As of August 31, 2015

DROUGHT EXPENSE BUDGET (Fiscal Year 2016)		
No.	Description	Activities / Status
1	FYE 2016	Budget FYE 2016: \$145,000 Actual FYE 2016: <u>\$ 49,715</u> Remaining Drought Budget: \$ 95,285
EXEMPTIONS FROM WATER USE LIMITATIONS (Calendar Year 2015)		
No.	Description	Activities / Status
1	No. Requested	49
2	No. Approved by Drought Coordinator	37
3	No. Denied by Drought Coordinator	10
4	No. Pending with Drought Coordinator	1
5	No. Appealed to Board	1
6	No. Approved by Board	0
7	No. Denied by Board	0
	No. Pending with Board	1
ENFORCEMENT OF WATER USE LIMITATIONS (Calendar Year 2015)		
No.	Description	Activities / Status
1	No. Written Warnings Issued	89
2	No. \$250 Violations Issued	31
3	No. \$500 Violations Issued	9
4	No. \$1,000 Violations Issued	5
5	No. Flow Restrictors Installed	0
6	No. Service Shutoffs	2
7	No. Waivers Requested	11
8	No. Waivers Approved by Drought Coordinator	9
9	No. Waivers Denied by Drought Coordinator	1
10	No. Waivers Pending with Drought Coordinator	1
11	No. Waivers Appealed to Board	0
12	No. Waivers Approved by Board	0
13	No. Waivers Denied by Board	0
14	No. Waivers Pending with Board	0
"DISTRICT AS AN ORGANIZATION" WATER USE CURTAILMENTS (Calendar Year 2015)		
No.	Description	Activities / Status
1	Comply with District-wide water use limitations at all District facilities	Potable irrigation at remote sites has been adjusted to be in compliance with District mandatory restrictions.
2	Clean sewers with recycled water only (except for SSO's and emergencies)	All sewer cleaning is being done with recycled water, except when responding to plug ups and other emergencies.

3	Cease all hydrant flushing (except for critical areas with identified water quality problems)	All routine hydrant flushing has been suspended, except for problem areas with water quality concerns.
MEDIA BASED PUBLIC OUTREACH (Calendar Year 2015)		
No.	Description	Activities / Status
1	Run appropriate on-air drought awareness messages in coordination to the greatest extent possible with other Tri-Valley water agencies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • KKIQ Live reporting at the Defeat the Drought event 8/1/15, inviting people and talking with Congressman Swalwell regarding tips to defeat the drought • Regional meeting of Tri-Valley water agencies 4/20/2015 to determine messages and schedules for radio and TV ads regarding the drought. Pleasanton and DSRSD began running ads on KKIQ, July-Aug, 6-7am during the <i>Power Shower Hour</i>
2	Produce appropriate print media drought awareness messages in coordination to the greatest extent possible with other Tri-Valley water agencies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 8/26/15 DSRSD Invites Comments on Draft Water Supply and Conservation Policy, generated 3 stories • 8/19/15 Recycled Water Fill Stations Open to Everyone, generated 7 news stories, including KTVU news • 8/13/15 Officials Celebrate Recycled Water Extension in Western Dublin, generated one news story • 8/10/15 Recycled Water Extension Enhances Water Supply • 7/28/15 Media Advisory, Meet Congressman Swalwell and Defeat the Drought, generated 1 TV news story on NBC Bay Area and two pre-event news announcements. • 6/29/15 Dublin Recycled Water Fill Station Opens, generated 7 news stories • 6/18/15 Water District Websites, Champions for Change in Drought, generated 1 trade journal article • 6/3/15 Award \$4.28M to Extend RW Pipes west of I-680, generated 4 news stories • 5/14/15 Board to Decide on 2015 Drought Management Program, generated 4 news stories; • 4/2/15 Governor Orders Statewide Mandatory Water Reductions, Tri-Valley Already There, generated 4 news stories; • 3/10/15 NR "DSRSD Securing Supplemental Water Supplies" generated print news (Tri-Valley Times (2), Independent (2), Pleasanton Weekly, Marysville Appeal-Democrat (2), ACWA News), and online news in the Dublin, San Ramon and Danville Patches. • 2/13/15 NR, "Yes it rained, but the drought is still on! Keep your sprinklers off!" generated news in Tri-Valley Times and Dublin, San Ramon, Pleasanton and Danville Patches.

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1/12/15: NR, “DSRSD Cuts Water Use 24 Percent in 2014” generated news on three TV stations (KPIX/CBS, KRON, and ABC7), five print media (Tri-Valley Times (2), Independent, Pleasanton Weekly, and ACWA News), and online news in Dublin, San Ramon, and Danville Patches. • 1/1/2015 to 6/30/2015 responded to 87 media inquiries regarding the drought which resulted in 102 news stories: <i>San Francisco Chronicle, Contra Costa Times, San Jose Mercury News, Los Angeles Times, Tri Valley Times, Pleasanton Weekly, Independent, Fresno Bee, Associated Press, Castro Valley Forum, local Patches (Dublin, San Ramon, Pleasanton), KCBS radio , KTVU Channel 2, CBS evening national TV news-</i>
3	Run appropriate on-line drought awareness messages in coordination to the greatest extent possible with other Tri-Valley water agencies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Partnering with Pleasanton for the 6:00 a.m. to 7:00 a.m. Power Shower Hour on KKIQ wherein they play song sets of 5 minutes and challenge listeners to finish their showers before the end of the second song. • DSRSD’s “Drought Watch” web page received 1,607 page views in July, 841 in August.
NON-MEDIA BASED PUBLIC OUTREACH (Calendar Year 2015)		
No.	Description	Activities / Status
1	Appropriate and timely direct mailers to District customers on drought related matters	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Early June, one page sent to water customers explaining limitations, Stage 2 water rates (less than Stage 3), violations and penalties. Late June, Wise Water User Credit letter sent to 1,103 water customers

2	<p>Appropriate and timely bill stuffers to District customers on drought related matters</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1/1--2/15 Insert in Bills, “The Drought is still on, Turn off your sprinklers —it’s mandatory” • 2/15-3/15 Notice on bill, “Automatic sprinklers off thru 3/31/15 • 4/1-5/15 Notice on bill, “Free AquaHawk Portal allows customers to track water use” • “Can use sprinklers once a week April & May” and “Free AquaHawk Portal allows customers to track water use” • 6/1/15 Notice on bill, “Water shortage rates decreased on 5/19/15 from Stage 3 to Stage 2” • 7/1/15 Notice on bill, Water Shortage Rates decreased on 5/19/15 from Stage 3 to Stage 2. The Water Consumption charges on your bill are prorated accordingly, AND, The DSRSD Board adopted minor changes to mandatory water use limitations. Please read the brief summary on the back of this bill. Detailed information was mailed to all customers and is available online: www.dsrdsd.com/drought • 8/1/15-9/1/15 Notice on bill, Thank you for continuing to help defeat the drought. See the brief summary of mandatory rules on water use on the back of this bill. Detailed information is available online: www.dsrdsd.com/drought AND Are your trees dying of thirst? You can save water and save your trees! Learn how at www.saveourwater.com/trees • 10/1/15 Tour WWTP and RWTP, see how we turn wastewater into irrigation water
3	<p>Appropriate and timely social media messages on sites such as Facebook and Twitter</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1/7/15: KGO Radio tweeted their story, <i>Dublin San Ramon Services District recycles its billionth gallon of waste water, faces extra demand in the drought.</i> • DSRSD Tweet: NR 1/12/15, <i>DSRSD Cuts Water Use 24 Percent in 2014</i>; 1 retweet • DSRSD Tweet: 2/13/15 NR, <i>Drought still on, keep sprinklers off!</i> • DSRSD Tweet: 3/10/15 NR, <i>DSRSD securing supplemental water</i>, Tri-Valley Times tweeted related story, 4 retweets • DSRSD Tweet: 3/17/15 NR, <i>See how we recycled wastewater on a tour of the plant</i>, 1 retweet • DSRSD Tweet: 4/2/15 NR, <i>Governor Orders Statewide Reductions, We’re Already There</i> • 4/10/15: Tri-Valley Times tweeted their story, <i>Free water for lawns</i>, 2 retweets • 5/10/15: Bay Keeper hydrologist Ian Wren tweeted, “Public going bonkers for @DSRSDnews recycled H2O” • DSRSD Tweet: 5/14/15 NR, <i>Board to decide drought issues</i> • DSRSD Tweet: 6/13/15 NR, <i>Recycled water pipes coming to west Dublin</i>, 3 retweets • DSRSD Tweet 6/16/15: <i>Hiring fill station attendants</i>, 1 retweet

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DSRSD Tweet 6/22/15: <i>Be mindful of weight in transporting recycled water</i> • 6/26/15: DanvilleSanRamon.com tweeted their story and photo, <i>Dougherty Valley excelling at water conservation per DSRSD report to SR Council</i> • DSRSD Tweet 6/26/15: <i>Second fill station opens in Dublin</i>, 6/30/15, Pleasanton Weekly and DanvilleSanRamon.com tweeted stories with photos • 7 tweets regarding fill station hours • 5 Tweets regarding construction of purple pipes west of I680 • 85 Twitter followers thru July • 6/9-6/29/15: Recycled H2O blog mentioned and retweeted us 8 times • 7/6/15 – Guess Public Radio Audiograph (Residential RW Fill Station) • 7/8/15 – Hiring part-time Recycled Water Fill Station Attendants • 7/9/15 – Only accepting new users from inside our service area • 7/17/15 – Fill Station hours • 7/22/15 – 2,500 Users/12 million gallons of irrigation water distributed • 7/28/15 – NR – Meet Congressman Swalwell Defeat the Drought Expo • 7/29/15 – Defeat the Drought Expo graphic • August 2015 Twitter: 15 tweets on West Dublin construction , fill station best practices, hours and community posts; recycled water celebration, and other drought-related news releases. 90 followers, including 6 new in August. Activity growing: 6 mentions, 10 retweets, 5 media tweeting articles about DSRSD, 17 tweets favorited, and @DSRSDnews added to two lists. • Facebook, www.facebook.com/FreeRecycledWater: Daily Reach: 0-2623 people; Daily Impressions: 0-687; Daily Engaged: 0-100 people; Lifetime Likes: 397
4	Appropriate and timely presence at Community Events such as Farmer Markets etc.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 8/1/15 Co-sponsored “Defeat the Drought Expo” with Congressman Swalwell, 9 vendors, 30 new RW fill station users signed up • 6/8/15 S. Stephenson spoke at American Water Works Association conference on “The Drought is a Terrible Thing to Waste: Totes, Apps & Fill Stations” • 3/14/15, “Oscar the Otter Says you Otter Save Water” and TV truck with drought message on side panel participated in St. Patrick’s Day Parade
5	Appropriate and timely presentations to local City Councils	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • City of Dublin June 16, 2015 • City of San Ramon June 23, 2015

6	Appropriate and timely meetings with major public customers (School District, Camp Parks, Alameda County, FCI etc)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stephenson met with 3 Dublin High School youth forming a new water conservation club, providing them informational materials and brainstorming ideas for fundraising and promoting wise water use. Renee Olsen spoke to City of Dublin Block Captain Academy (3/28/15) regarding emergency preparedness, especially in a drought Renee and Sue demonstrated the watershed diorama at Live Oak Elementary School's Community Services Day (4/22/15)
7	Appropriate and timely speaking engagements with local community groups Rotary, Lions, SIRS, HOAs, etc	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stephenson spoke to PEO Philanthropic Education Organization (5/14/15) and Coldwell Banker's Customer Appreciation Day (5.31.15) 7/1/15 S. Stephenson spoke at Eden Housing regarding what they can do to reduce water use; also handed out LIA applications (26 attended) 3/11/15 S. Stephenson spoke at the Villa Paseo Rose Garden HOA Board meeting (12 attended) 4/29/15 Stephenson spoke to FIIVA HOA 8/10/15 Stephenson spoke to Dublin Ranch HOA
8	Appropriate and timely meetings with major public customers (City staff, school districts, camp parks, alameda County, FCI, etc.	PI staff worked with Congressman Eric Swalwell's Chief of Staff, Tim Sbranti on three events: 1) Tour Geoffrey G. Hansen Water Recycling Plant; 2) Meeting with representatives from ten water agencies in District 15; 3) Defeat the Drought Water Expo. All successful.
9	Appropriate and timely direct mailing to targeted user groups on drought related matters	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sent a postcard to residential recycled water haulers to ensure they are hauling water safely, within the rules.
10	Continue to make appropriate yard signs available to customers; and	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Free "golden lawn" signs are available to residential customers, availability added to website in June Many signs given away during 2014 61 currently on hand
11	Coordinate with local businesses to jointly promote water conservation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2/18/15 co-sponsored Water Wise Gardening Workshop with Armstrong Garden Center (12 participants) 3/7/15 Tri-Valley Water Agencies sponsored Water Conservation event at Home Depot in Livermore 4/11/15 Tri-Valley Water Agencies sponsored Water Conservation event at Home Depot in Pleasanton

DIRECT CONSERVATION ASSISTANCE (Calendar Year 2015)

No.	Description	Activities / Status
1	Continue small device give-away programs	<p>The following water conserving devices were given to customers in FY16 (the majority were distributed at the Swalwell/Defeat the Drought event):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 20 kitchen faucet aerators 31 bathroom faucet aerators 51 showerheads

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 6 toilet flappers (discontinued, best to buy flappers designed for specific toilet) • 56 toilet leak detection kits • 63 hose nozzles • 28 shower/faucet flow measurement bags 						
2	Conduct landscape water audits	3 audit for 2015 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total paid out in FYE 2016 = \$610.48 						
3	Make home water audit kits available	Distributed 1,525 Water Hero packets (which contain a do-it-yourself home water audit kit) to 61 classes of third graders in 12 schools in our service area 4/2015						
ENHANCED REBATE PROGRAMS (Calendar Year 2015 except financial info which is FYE 2016)								
No.	Description	Activities / Status						
1	High Efficiency Toilet (HET)	42 rebates processed						
2	Waterless Urinals	None so far in 2015						
3	High Efficiency Clothes Washer (HEW)	323 rebates processed						
4	Pool and Spa covers (to reduce evaporation)	7 rebates processed						
5	Weather Based Irrigation Controller ("Smart Controller) - Single Family Homes	4 rebates processed						
6	Weather Based Irrigation Controller ("Smart Controller) – Multi-Family Dwelling	None so far in 2015						
7	Lawn Replacement Program - Single Family Homes	2512 rebates processed						
8	Lawn Replacement Program - Multi-Family or Businesses	None for 2015						
9	Amount of District Rebates Issued (Fiscal Year)	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 60%;">Budget FYE 2016:</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$20,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Actual FYE 2016:</td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>\$ 3,260</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Remaining Rebate Budget:</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$16,740</td> </tr> </table>	Budget FYE 2016:	\$20,000	Actual FYE 2016:	<u>\$ 3,260</u>	Remaining Rebate Budget:	\$16,740
Budget FYE 2016:	\$20,000							
Actual FYE 2016:	<u>\$ 3,260</u>							
Remaining Rebate Budget:	\$16,740							
EXPANDED RECYCLED WATER USE (Calendar Year 2015)								
No.	Description	Activities / Status						
1	Construct and place into operation extensions to Alameda County properties in eastern Dublin	The pipeline to Santa Rita Jail and other County facilities is complete and the site has been converted to use recycled water. Potable demand reduction is included under Item 6 below.						
2	Construct and place into operation extensions to District irrigation customers on the west side of 680	Construction began June 29, as of September 1 approximately 10,000 feet of pipe have been installed. NTP for construction contract for onsite conversion of 35 sites sent to Valley Crest 8/31/15. Kickoff meetings have begun with individual customers that will be converted.						

No.	Description	Activities / Status
1	Upgrade the existing non-Dougherty Valley DSRSD-EMBUD interties to “semi-permanent” status	A quote was obtained from a contractor to fabricate rigid piping for the Alcosta-Davona and Southwick interties so that they can be installed when needed and used to accept flows on a continuous non-emergency basis. However, the cost far exceeded the estimate so the work has not yet been awarded. Rigid piping would be an improvement from the original piping that relied on flexible hose for the connections. Radio telemetry has been purchased for the two interties so that the flow of transfer water could be continuously monitored. Meanwhile DSRSD is working with EBMUD to design improvements to the Southwick intertie including moving the piping into a buried vault, which would eliminate the need to close the sidewalk when the intertie is used.
2	Continue to encourage and support Zone 7’s efforts for water transfers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to support Zone 7 efforts for CCWD Water Exchange • Continue to support Zone 7 efforts for Yuba Accord water • No transfers approved by Zone 7 through August 31
3	Explore retail-level water transfers utilizing existing interties as a source of additional supply directly to the District.	The District, EBMUD and the Yuba County Water Agency approved agreements for the transfer of a net of 1,275 AF of water to the District’s service area. Zone 7 removed their opposition to the transfer. However, the US Bureau of Reclamation ultimately refused to circulate the Environmental Assessment (EA) document and prepare a Warrant Act Contract to allow the water to pass through the Folsom South Canal, which effectively blocked the proposed water transfer. Discussions continue in an effort to understand why USBR took this position and address this issue for future transfers. Per agreements signed with the two agencies for the intended water transfer, DSRSD paid YCWA \$20,000 and EBMUD \$132,982 for their costs associated with attempting to implement the 2015 transfer. On August 24 DSRSD and EBMUD met to discuss planning for a potential 2016 water transfer.

H:\Board\2015\09-15-15\9B Drought Response Action Plan\Attachment 2 - Drought Response Action Plan Status Report.docx



Reference Operations Manager/Drought Coordinator	Type of Action Consider Appeal	Board Meeting of September 15, 2015
Subject Consider Appeal by Sinfronio & Abigail Balderama of Staff Denial of an Exemption to Water Use Limitations		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Motion	<input type="checkbox"/> Minute Order	<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution
<input type="checkbox"/> Ordinance	<input type="checkbox"/> Informational	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
REPORT:	<input type="checkbox"/> Verbal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Presentation
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff	J. Yee
		<input type="checkbox"/> Board Member

Recommendation:

The Operations Manager recommends the Board of Directors, by Motion, either uphold or deny Sinfronio & Abigail Balderama’s appeal of a staff level denial of a Water Use Limitation exemption request.

Summary:

On May 19, 2015, the Board of Directors adopted Ordinance No. 336 establishing water use limitations due to the ongoing Community Drought Emergency. The Ordinance establishes a procedure whereby customers can submit a request for an exemption of a water use limitation, which must be either approved or denied by the Drought Coordinator or his/her designee.

On August 19, 2015 District customer Abigail Balderama of Dublin submitted a request for an exemption from one or more of the water use limitations described in Ordinance No. 336. On August 25, 2015, District staff denied the customer’s request for an exemption and notified the customer. A summary of exemption and appeal details are in Attachment 1. A copy of the completed exemption request form is included as Attachment 2, which includes staff’s stated reason for the denial shown at the bottom. Section 3(a)(7)(i) from Ordinance No. 336 is included as Attachment 3.

On September 2, 2015, the customer submitted an appeal of District staff’s decision. A copy of the customer’s appeal form is included as Attachment 4.

The Board should consider this appeal using the following procedure:

- Accept a presentation from staff, including an explanation of why the customer’s request for an exemption was denied;
- Accept testimony from the affected customer;
- Accept any comments from the general public;
- Deliberate; and
- By Motion, decide to uphold the appeal (i.e. grant the exemption) or to deny the appeal.

Mr. Balderama has consented to the release of his name and city of residence. A copy of the signed waiver is included in Attachment 4.

Committee Review			Legal Review	Staff Review		
COMMITTEE ---	DATE ---	RECOMMENDATION ---	Not Required	ORIGINATOR S. Delight	DEPARTMENT Operations	REVIEWED BY Dan Gallagher
ATTACHMENTS <input type="checkbox"/> None						
<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution	<input type="checkbox"/> Minute Order	<input type="checkbox"/> Task Order	<input type="checkbox"/> Staff Report	<input type="checkbox"/> Ordinance		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cost \$0	<input type="checkbox"/> Funding Source A. B.	Attachments to S&R 1. Exemption and Appeal Details 2. Application for Exemption including staff's denial 3. Section 3 (a)(7)(i) of Ordinance No. 336 4. Appeal form and waiver				
<small>H:\Board\2015\09-15-15\9C Appeal of Denied Exemption Balderama\SR Appeal of a Denied Exemption - Balderama.docx</small>						93 of 113

EXEMPTION AND APPEAL DETAILS	
Customer Name	Sinfronio & Abigail Balderama
Date of Exemption Request	August 19, 2015
Staff Action	Denied
Date of Appeal Request	September 2, 2015
Water Use Limitation Applied (cite Ord. and desc.)	Ordinance 336 – Section 3(a)(7) i. Swimming Pools, Spas, and Water Themed Play Areas. Prohibited – Any and all use of potable water for filling new pools or spas.
Original Justification	Customer built new swim spa, and took the initiative to conserve more water than the District’s water use limitations requires. Customer feels their efforts to conserve water offset the one-time additional of water that would be required to fill the new swim spa.
Staff Rational	Ordinance 336 does not provide an exemption for filling new pools and spas. Staff denied the customer’s exemption to fill their new swim spa based on the prohibition described in the Ordinance, but offered the customer the option of filling the new swim spa with water from an outside source per existing practice.
Appeal Justification	Would like to fill the new swim spa, noting this would result in a one-time weekly water use of only 2,514 gallons, much less than the limitation of 4,480 gallons per week. The customer did not mention whether the new swim spa would be covered once it is filled.
Actions To Offset Use	Customer has decreased their water use through conservation to an average of 714 gallons per week.



WATER USE LIMITATIONS APPLICATION FOR EXEMPTION

Please do the following:

1. Section 1 – Fill in your information.
2. Section 2 – Check the box which applies to the exemption you are seeking approval for. (Please note applicants must submit one form per exemption request.)
3. Section 3 – Description, reason, justification & actions proposed for water curtailment.
4. Section 4 – Person applying must sign and date.
5. Include photos if possible.
6. Submit to DSRSD Drought Coordinator – Dan Gallagher

Email:
Gallagher@dsrsd.com

Fax:
925-462-0658

Mail: 7399 Johnson Drive
Pleasanton, CA 94588

Office:
925-875-2345

CUSTOMER INFORMATION – SECTION 1

Name: Abigail Balderama
 Address: 7542 Frederiksen Ln City: Dublin
 Account Number: 01-12-190780-003 Zip Code: 94568
 Phone Number: (925) 241-4243
 Email address: abbybal@icloud.com

EXEMPTION APPLYING FOR – SECTION 2

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Irrigation Limitations | <input type="checkbox"/> Soil Compaction and/or Dust Control |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cleaning Exterior of Buildings or Homes | <input type="checkbox"/> Hosing Down/Pressure Washing Impervious Surfaces |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Filling of New Swimming Pools or Spas | <input type="checkbox"/> Refilling of Existing Swimming Pools or Spas |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Escape of Potable Water from Pipe Breaks or Leaks | <input type="checkbox"/> Storm Drain Cleaning and/or Maintenance of |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Vehicle Washing | <input type="checkbox"/> Street Sweeping |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Please describe): _____ | |

DESCRIPTION, REASON, JUSTIFICATION & ACTIONS PROPOSED – SECTION 3

Description of limitation that is the subject of request: Ordinance prohibiting filling of new swimming pools and spas

Reason(s) for requesting an exemption: During this drought, we took the initiative to conserve even more water than has been recommended. For the three billing periods, from Jan. 1 to May 31, 2015, our average daily water usage was: 87 gals/day, 87 gals/day and 112 gals/day, consecutively. The total savings over the recommended usage of 4,480 gals/week or 640 gals/day was 98,040

(Continued on back)

Justification for requesting an exemption: gallons. The swim spa we would like to fill does not have a large capacity. The internal volume is approximately 1,800 gallons. Once filled this water will not need to be replaced for 12-18 months.

Specific actions proposed to achieve equivalent level of water curtailment: _____

ACCOUNT OWNER – SECTION 4

The exclusive procedure for consideration of written applications from customers for exemptions from these Water Use Limitations described herein will be as follows:

- (a) A customer may submit a written application for an exemption from a Water Use Limitation to the District's Drought Coordinator. The application must be on the District's form and must include the customer name, account number(s), a description of the limitation from which the customer is seeking an exemption, the reason(s) why the exemption is requested, the justification for the exemption, and the specific actions the customer proposes to take to achieve a functionally equivalent level of water curtailment. If a Notice of Violation has been issued to the customer, the customer must first resolve the violation including the payment of any and all penalties and/or costs before the Drought Coordinator will consider an application for an exemption from a Water Use Limitation;
- (b) The District Drought Coordinator will consider each application for a waiver of a Water Use Limitation based on the information provided by the customer in the application. The Drought Coordinator may grant an exemption of a particular Water Use Limitation if the application is deemed reasonable. An exemption shall not be granted if, in the opinion of the Drought Coordinator, doing so would endanger the public health and safety;
- (c) A customer may appeal the Drought Coordinator's denial of an application for an exemption from a Water Use Limitation within ten (10) calendar days by submitting a written appeal to the Board of Directors on the District's form and specify the reasons why the customer disagrees with the Drought Coordinator's denial;
- (d) If a previous application for an exemption of a Water Use Limitation has been denied, a new application for exemption of the same Water Use Limitation is not permitted and will not be considered.

I have read, understand, and agree to the terms and conditions of this application.

Signature of applicant: Abigail Balderama Date: 8/19/2015

OFFICE USE ONLY

Date Received: 08/19/15 Received by: Jackie Yee In good standing: Yes

Approved: _____ Effective Date: _____ Expiration Date: _____ Denied: X

According to Ordinance No. 336 any and all use of potable water for filling of new swimming pools or spas is prohibited. As an option you may want to look into having your swim spa filled with water from an outside source. Some developers have companies haul water in for this type of use. Your pool contractor may know of these companies. There is one company that I know of that is hauling water for filling pools. I have not personally worked with them so I do not know how reliable they are or anything of that nature. The company is called Riviera Pools they have access to alternative water sources. If the water is being supplied by another water source we can reconsider the exemption request.

Please note that if you disagree with this denial that you have the right to appeal to the District Board according to Ordinance 337.4.c, "A customer may appeal a denial of an application for a Waiver of Violation within ten (10) calendar days by submitting a written appeal to the Board of Directors on the District's form and specify the reasons why the customer disagrees with the denial." The form can be found at the following website and must be submitted to the Board within 10 calendar days after receipt of the denied exemption form: <http://38.106.5.172/home/showdocument?id=522>

Ord. No. 336

- (iii) Any and all vehicle washing, including autos, trucks, boats, trailers, recreational vehicles, etc., except to those allowed by exemptions described herein;
 - (iv) Any and all use of potable water for cleaning the exteriors of buildings or homes including fences that surround those buildings and homes.
- (7) Swimming Pools; Spas, and Water Themed Play Areas:
- (i) Any and all use of potable water for filling of new swimming pools or spas;
 - (ii) Any and all use of potable water for refilling existing swimming pools or spas due to leaks, splash-out, and evaporative losses (i.e. "Topping Off");
 - (iii) Any and all uses of potable water as replacement water for existing water themed publicly owned "play areas" to recharge the play area with water due to leaks, splash-out, and evaporative losses (i.e. "Topping Off");
 - (iv) Any and all draining and subsequent refilling of existing swimming pools or spas, except where required for the protection of public health and safety, and upon the prior written approval of the District, which approval shall specify allowable refill times and rates.
- (8) Any and all escape of potable water from pipe breaks or leaks after the customer has been notified of the probable existence of the break or leak by the District, or after the customer had or should have had reasonable knowledge of the pipe break or leak.
- (9) Any and all use of potable water in any decorative fountain and/or other decorative water feature that is visible from areas accessible by the public.
- (b) Restrictions on Water Use. During the Community Drought Emergency, and to preserve the water supply for the greatest public benefit with particular regard to domestic use, sanitation, and fire protection the following water uses are restricted:

WATER USE LIMITATIONS APPLICATION TO APPEAL A DETERMINATION

Please do the following:

1. Section 1 – Fill in your information.
2. Section 2 – Check the applicable box and attach a copy of your original completed application, showing that the application was denied along with the reasons given by the Drought Coordinator. (Please note applicants seeking to appeal a decision must submit one form per request to appeal.)
3. Section 3 – Reason or reasons why the customer disagrees with the Drought Coordinator’s denial.
4. Section 4 – Person applying must sign and date.
5. Section 5 – Consent to waive privacy protection for water account records. Person applying must sign and date.
6. Include new photos if applicable (do not duplicate photos that were submitted with the original application).
7. Submit to DSRSD Board of Directors

Email:
Board@dsrsd.com

Fax:
925-829-1180

Mail: 7051 Dublin Blvd
Dublin, CA 94568

Office:
925-828-0515

CUSTOMER INFORMATION – SECTION 1

Name: Sinfronio & Abigail Balderama

Address: 7542 Frederiksen Lane City: Dublin

Account Number: 01-12-190780-003 Zip Code: 94568

Phone Number: (925) 241-4243

Email address: abbybal@icloud.com

EXEMPTION APPLICATION THAT WAS DENIED – SECTION 2

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Irrigation Limitations | <input type="checkbox"/> Soil Compaction and/or Dust Control |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cleaning Exterior of Buildings or Homes | <input type="checkbox"/> Hosing Down/Pressure Washing Impervious Surfaces |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Filling of New Swimming Pools or Spas | <input type="checkbox"/> Refilling of Existing Swimming Pools or Spas |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Escape of Potable Water from Pipe Breaks or Leaks | <input type="checkbox"/> Storm Drain Cleaning and/or Maintenance of |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Vehicle Washing | <input type="checkbox"/> Street Sweeping |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Please describe): _____ | |

REASON WHY THE CUSTOMER DISAGREES WITH THE DROUGHT COORDINATOR’S DENIAL – SECTION 3

Describe the reason for disagreeing with the denial: Prohibition imposed by Ordinance No. 336 was the only reason given by reviewer. Our average daily water consumption for 2015 per billing period are: 150 gals/day (1050 gals/week) from 6/1 to 7/31; 112 gals/day (784 gals/week) 4/1 to 5/31; 87 gals/day (609 gals/week) 2/1 to 3/31; 87 gals/day (609/week) 12/1/2014

(Continued on back)

1/31. Our usage cumulatively is below 55 gal/day DSRSD consider as reasonable consumption per person. There are 2 persons in our household and our average daily consumption per person from the start of the year to the end of the last billing period is 51 gals/day. Our average of usage of 714 gals per week is much lower than the mandated maximum usage of 4,480 gals/week. The pool/spa we bought is self contained and no water is wasted during its use, unlike ordinary pools where water is removed while people use them. The volume of water needed to fill up the pool is approximately 1800 gals. This one time water usage in addition to our 714 gals personal use per week sums up to 2,514 gals and this is still lower than the 4,480 gals that should not be exceeded per week. This 1800 gals of water need to be replaced only after 12 to 18 months.

ACCOUNT OWNER – SECTION 4

The exclusive procedure for consideration of written applications from customers for exemptions from these Water Use Limitations described herein will be as follows:

- (a) A customer may submit a written application for an exemption from a Water Use Limitation to the District’s Drought Coordinator. The application must be on the District’s form and must include the customer name, account number(s), a description of the limitation from which the customer is seeking an exemption, the reason(s) why the exemption is requested, the justification for the exemption, and the specific actions the customer proposes to take to achieve a functionally equivalent level of water curtailment. If a Notice of Violation has been issued to the customer, the customer must first resolve the violation including the payment of any and all penalties and/or costs before the Drought Coordinator will consider an application for an exemption from a Water Use Limitation;
- (b) The District Drought Coordinator will consider each application for a waiver of a Water Use Limitation based on the information provided by the customer in the application. The Drought Coordinator may grant an exemption of a particular Water Use Limitation if the application is deemed reasonable. An exemption shall not be granted if, in the opinion of the Drought Coordinator, doing so would endanger the public health and safety;
- (c) A customer may appeal the Drought Coordinator’s denial of an application for an exemption from a Water Use Limitation within ten (10) calendar days by submitting a written appeal to the Board of Directors on the District’s form and specify the reasons why the customer disagrees with the Drought Coordinator’s denial;
- (d) If a previous application for an exemption of a Water Use Limitation has been denied, a new application for exemption of the same Water Use Limitation is not permitted and will not be considered.

I have read, understand, and agree to the terms and conditions of this appeal.

Signature of applicant:  Date: **SEPT. 2, 2015**

BOARD OF DIRECTORS USE ONLY

Date Received: _____ Received by: _____
 Approved: _____ Denied: _____
 Effective Date: _____ Expiration Date: _____

Reason for approval/denial: _____



CONSENT TO WAIVE PRIVACY PROTECTION FOR WATER ACCOUNT RECORDS – SECTION 5

I agree that I am a DSRSD customer and I am seeking an exemption from water use limitations. I further acknowledge that the Drought Coordinator previously denied my request for an exemption, and therefore I am appealing the decision of the Drought Coordinator to the DSRSD Board of Directors. I understand that the DSRSD Board of Directors must consider my appeal in an open public meeting.

I understand that the Board of Directors is required by the Ralph M. Brown Act to conduct its deliberations in public, with certain exceptions specifically set forth in the law. The Public Records Act normally prevents most customer records, including water usage data, from being disclosed to the public at large. I also understand that in order for the Board of Directors to be able to discuss and take action on my appeal, it is necessary for the Board to openly discuss my water account information and my water use records. I understand that to allow this I must provide written consent to waive the protections normally afforded to me by the Public Records Act.

My signature below acknowledges my consent to waive the protections afforded to me by the Public Records Act so that the DSRSD Board of Directors can consider my appeal. I understand that once I sign and return this consent form, this matter will be placed on the agenda for the next reasonably available meeting of the Board of Directors for consideration. I further understand that if I do not sign and return this consent form within ten (10) calendar days of submitting my appeal, then the District will make the determination that I do not wish to pursue my appeal and I will be subject to all of the water use limitations described in Ordinance 333.

The portion of the Public Records Act most pertinent to my request is Government Code Section 6254.16. The most pertinent language of Section 6254.16 provides that the name, utility usage data, and the home address of utility customers is normally protected information and can only be made available to the public under special circumstances, unless the customer agrees to waive this protection. DSRSD urges customers to carefully review Government Code Section 6254.16 before signing this letter if the customer is concerned about waiving this protection. I understand that once I waive this protection my appeal will be agendized for discussion by the DSRSD Board of Directors and thereafter my water usage data will be available to anyone and everyone upon request. I further understand that my signing this consent form does not in any way promise that my appeal will be approved in whole or in part, only that my appeal will be duly considered by the DSRSD Board of Directors.

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM DULY AUTHORIZED TO ACT FOR AND ON BEHALF OF DSRSD WATER SERVICE ACCOUNT NO. _____, AND THAT BY SIGNING BELOW, I KNOWINGLY AND VOLUNTARILY WAIVE THE PROTECTIONS AFFORDED BY LAW AS TO WATER USAGE DATA FOR THAT ACCOUNT, WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT THE WATER USAGE DATA SHALL BE PUBLICLY AVAILABLE TO ALL.

SEPT. 2, 2015

SIGNATURE

DATE

SINFRONIO BALDERAMA
PRINT NAME



Reference Administrative Services Manager	Type of Action Receive Report and Provide Direction	Board Meeting of September 15, 2015
Subject Discuss Report on Zone 7 Rate Development		
<input type="checkbox"/> Motion	<input type="checkbox"/> Minute Order	<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution
<input type="checkbox"/> Ordinance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Informational	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
REPORT:	<input type="checkbox"/> Verbal	<input type="checkbox"/> Presentation
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff	J. Archer
		<input type="checkbox"/> Board Member

Recommendation:

The Administrative Services Manager recommends the Board receive a staff report and, by Consensus, provide direction on the status of the development of the Zone 7 water rate.

Summary:

Zone 7 Water Agency is currently in the process of developing new water rates. A 3% increase is already scheduled for January 1, 2016. The Zone has hired Raftelus Financial Consultants to assist with the rate development process. Two meetings have been held with the retailers to solicit feedback and a full Board presentation and discussion was held on August 12. Public feedback is being solicited via post card mailing to all residents.

Major topics currently being discussed:

- Current status of Operating fund reserves;
- Need to recover from 35% drop in consumption revenue;
- Need to fund replacement programs;
- Potential debt financing of capital; and
- Development of a fixed rate component for revenue stability.

Discussions are ongoing at this time, future workshops and Board meetings are planned. The next scheduled full Zone 7 Board discussions are to be held September 16 and October 21, both at 7:00 p.m.

Staff will continue to update the Board as further development warrants.

Committee Review			Legal Review	Staff Review		
COMMITTEE ---	DATE ---	RECOMMENDATION ---	Not Required	ORIGINATOR J Archer	DEPARTMENT Admin Services	REVIEWED BY
ATTACHMENTS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None						
<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution	<input type="checkbox"/> Minute Order	<input type="checkbox"/> Task Order	<input type="checkbox"/> Staff Report	<input type="checkbox"/> Ordinance		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cost \$0	<input type="checkbox"/> Funding Source A. B.	Attachments to S&R 1. 2. 3.				



Reference General Manager	Type of Action Decide How to Fill Board Vacancy	Board Meeting of September 15, 2015
Subject Decide to Fill Board Vacancy Either by Making an Appointment or Calling an Election and Rescind Resolution No. 76-15		
<input type="checkbox"/> Motion	<input type="checkbox"/> Minute Order	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Resolution
<input type="checkbox"/> Ordinance	<input type="checkbox"/> Informational	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
REPORT:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verbal	<input type="checkbox"/> Presentation
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff	B. Michalczyk
		<input type="checkbox"/> Board Member

Recommendation:

The General Manager recommends the Board decide, by Resolution (alternative resolutions for appointment and election attached), whether it wishes to fill the current Board vacancy by appointment or by having the voters fill the vacant position at the April 2016 election. Under either course of action, Resolution No. 76-15 approved on September 1, 2015 should be rescinded. If the Board chooses to make an appointment, the Board will, under the next item on the agenda, consider how any such appointment process would be conducted.

Summary:

The August 19, 2015 resignation of Director Dawn L. Benson created a vacancy on the Board of Directors. On September 1, 2015 the Board considered whether to fill the vacancy by appointment or by calling for an election. On that date the Board decided to fill the vacancy by election based on the understanding that the next election would be June 7, 2016. The Election Departments of each county subsequently informed the District that the election date that must legally be used to fill the vacancy is April 12, 2016. Therefore, if the Board still desires to fill the vacancy by election it must adopt a new resolution (and rescind Resolution No. 76-15) specifying the correct election date. If elected, the new Director would serve the remainder of Director Benson’s full term in office (i.e., through December 7, 2018).

The Board could also decide to forego the election and appoint an individual to fill the vacancy. If that is done the vacancy must be filled within 60 days of the effective date of the vacancy or on or before October 18, 2015. If appointed, the new Director would serve only the remainder of the first half of Director Benson’s term in office (i.e., through December 2, 2016) prior to which an election would be held in November 2016 to fill the remainder of the “short term”.

No action on the part of the Board tonight means that no decision has been made (that is because the resolution adopted on September 1, 2015 is effectively inoperative because it wrongly specifies the date for the election). The Board has up to 60 days to make this decision; if no affirmative decision is made within that timeframe, the law operates to give the Alameda County Board of Supervisors the prerogative to decide to appoint or hold an election. If Alameda County does not decide to appoint or hold an election within 90 days from the date of the vacancy, the law then deems that an election be held.

The estimated cost of an election that would have been held in June 2016 was \$135,000. The estimated cost of an election to be held in April 2016 would be \$324,000 to \$390,000. The significant difference arises because the District would be the only agency with a matter on the April 12, 2016 ballot and thus would bear the full (rather than a prorated share) of the counties’ costs for conducting the election.

Committee Review			Legal Review	Staff Review		
COMMITTEE ---	DATE --	RECOMMENDATION ---	Yes	ORIGINATOR B. Michalczyk	DEPARTMENT Executive	REVIEWED BY
ATTACHMENTS <input type="checkbox"/> None						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Resolution	<input type="checkbox"/> Minute Order	<input type="checkbox"/> Task Order	<input type="checkbox"/> Staff Report	<input type="checkbox"/> Ordinance		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cost TBD - If by election Est. \$324,000 to \$390,000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Funding Source A. 900 B.		Attachments to S&R 1. Detailed Information about Processes and Timing 2. Excerpts from Relevant Government Code Sections			

Appointment Resolution

RESOLUTION NO. _____

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF DUBLIN SAN RAMON SERVICES DISTRICT TO APPOINT AN INDIVIDUAL TO FILL THE VACANCY CREATED BY THE RESIGNATION OF DIRECTOR DAWN L. BENSON AND RESCIND RESOLUTION NO. 76-15

WHEREAS, on August 19, 2015 the Board President received notification that elected Director Dawn L. Benson resigned from her position effective immediately on that date thereby creating a vacancy on the District Board of Directors; and

WHEREAS, the Board has deliberated on the matter of how best to fill the vacancy on the Board of Directors in accordance with subdivision (c) of Government Code section 1780; and

WHEREAS, the Board has concluded that it is in the best interest of the District to appoint an individual to complete the term of this vacant seat.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF DUBLIN SAN RAMON SERVICES DISTRICT, a public agency in the Counties of Alameda and Contra Costa, California, as follows:

1. The vacant seat on the Board of Directors of Dublin San Ramon Services District shall be filled by appointment in accordance with subdivision (d) of Government Code section 1780.
2. The District Secretary is hereby directed to notify the Boards of Supervisors and the elections officials of the Counties of Alameda and Contra Costa that the Dublin San Ramon Services District Board of Directors has decided to appoint an individual to the District Board to fill the vacancy created by the resignation of former District Director Dawn L. Benson until the next general district

Res. No. _____

election in November 2016, and thereafter until the person who is elected at that election to fill the vacancy has been qualified.

3. Resolution No. 76-15 adopted by the Board of Directors on September 1, 2015 is hereby rescinded.

ADOPTED by the Board of Directors of Dublin San Ramon Services District, a public agency in the State of California, Counties of Alameda and Contra Costa, at its regular meeting held on the 15th day of September 2015, and passed by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

Edward R. Duarte, President

ATTEST:

Nicole Genzale, District Secretary

RESOLUTION NO. _____

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF DUBLIN SAN RAMON SERVICES DISTRICT CALLING AN ELECTION TO FILL THE VACANCY CREATED BY THE RESIGNATION OF DIRECTOR DAWN L. BENSON AND RESCIND RESOLUTION NO. 76-15

WHEREAS, on August 19, 2015 the Board President received notification that elected Director Dawn L. Benson resigned from her position effective immediately on that date thereby creating a vacancy on the District Board of Directors; and

WHEREAS, the Board has deliberated on the matter of how best to fill the vacancy on the Board of Directors in accordance with subdivision (c) of Government Code section 1780; and

WHEREAS, the Board has concluded that it is in the best interest of the District to allow the voters of the District to fill the vacant seat by election.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF DUBLIN SAN RAMON SERVICES DISTRICT, a public agency in the Counties of Alameda and Contra Costa, California, as follows:

1. The vacant seat on the Board of Directors of Dublin San Ramon Services District shall be filled by election in accordance with subdivision (e) of Government Code section 1780.
2. The District Secretary is hereby directed to notify the Boards of Supervisors and the elections officials of the Counties of Alameda and Contra Costa that the Dublin San Ramon Services District Board of Directors has decided to allow the District voters to select an individual to fill the vacancy created by the resignation of former District Director Dawn L. Benson at the next established

Res. No. _____

election date that is 130 or more days after the date of this resolution calling the election, which date is April 12, 2016.

3. Resolution No. 76-15 adopted by the Board of Directors on September 1, 2015 is hereby rescinded.

ADOPTED by the Board of Directors of Dublin San Ramon Services District, a public agency in the State of California, Counties of Alameda and Contra Costa, at its regular meeting held on the 15th day of September 2015, and passed by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

Edward R. Duarte, President

ATTEST:

Nicole Genzale, District Secretary

ATTACHMENT 1

Detailed Information about Processes and Timing

Notification Within 15-days: notify the County elections official (Registrar of Voters, Alameda and Contra Costa County) of the vacancy.

Appointment Process If the Board chooses to follow the appointment route, the Government Code Section 1780 provides that the Board shall make the appointment pursuant to subdivision (d) within 60 days after either the date on which the District board is notified of the vacancy or the effective date of the vacancy, whichever is later. In accordance with advice from District Counsel, the official notification to the Board occurred with Director Benson's email transmittal of her resignation letter on August 19, 2015. Thus, the appointment must be made on or before October 18, 2015. Any appointment is effective immediately and the appointee may be given the oath of office and participate as a Director immediately following that action. Any candidate so appointed would serve only the remainder of the first half of Director Benson's term in office (i.e., through December 2, 2016) prior to which an election would be held in November 2016 to fill the remainder of the "short term".

Any potential appointee must otherwise be qualified to assume office as if they were elected (residency and being a registered voter). The only legally mandated process requirements are that the District shall post a notice of the vacancy in three or more conspicuous places in the District at least 15 days before the District board makes the appointment and that the District notify the county elections officials (as above) of the appointment no later than 15 days after the appointment.

Elections Process If the Board chooses to follow the elections route, Government Code Section 1780, subdivision (e) provides that the Board shall call for such an election within 60 days after either the date on which the District Board is notified of the vacancy or the effective date of the vacancy, whichever is later. As above, operatively, this by itself would mean on or before October 18, 2015. Any such election would then be held on the next established election date (as defined in Elections Code § 1000) that is held 130 or more days after the date the District Board calls the election. This operatively means that any such election would be held on April 12, 2016 (the next established election date that would satisfy the 130 day criteria). Any candidate so elected would assume office thereafter and serve through the end of the full term (December 7, 2018).

The "No Action" Process If the Board takes neither step within the 60 day period (or if the Board would find itself in a 2-2 deadlock), the Government Code provides that the Board of Supervisors of the county representing the larger portion of the District area (Alameda County in our case) may appoint a person to fill the vacancy within 90-days of the date the District Board is notified of the vacancy or may order the District to call an election to fill the vacancy. It should be noted that the Board of Supervisors need not make such an appointment or order as this language is advisory and not directory. However, should neither the District nor the county Board of Supervisors act after 90-days, the Government Code then mandates that the District Board call an election to fill the vacancy.

ATTACHMENT 2
Excerpts from Relevant Government Code Sections

- Section 61042(c) Any vacancy in the office of a member elected to a board of directors shall be filled pursuant to Section 1780.
- Section 1770 An office becomes vacant on the happening of any of the following events before the expiration of the term:
(c) His or her (The incumbent's) resignation.
- Section 1780(a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a vacancy in any elective office on the governing board of a special district, other than those specified in Section 1781, shall be filled pursuant to this section.
- Section 1780(b) The district shall notify the county elections official of the vacancy no later than 15 days after either the date on which the district board is notified of the vacancy or the effective date of the vacancy, whichever is later.
- Section 1780(c) The remaining members of the district board may fill the vacancy either by appointment pursuant to subdivision (d) or by calling an election pursuant to subdivision (e).
- Section 1780(d)(1) The remaining members of the district board shall make the appointment pursuant to this subdivision within 60 days after either the date on which the district board is notified of the vacancy or the effective date of the vacancy, whichever is later. The district shall post a notice of the vacancy in three or more conspicuous places in the district at least 15 days before the district board makes the appointment. The district shall notify the county elections official of the appointment no later than 15 days after the appointment.
- Section 1780(d)(2) If the vacancy occurs in the first half of a term of office and at least 130 days prior to the next general district election, the person appointed to fill the vacancy shall hold office until the next general district election that is scheduled 130 or more days after the date the district board is notified of the vacancy, and thereafter until the person who is elected at that election to fill the vacancy has been qualified. The person elected to fill the vacancy shall hold office for the unexpired balance of the term of office.
- Section 1780(d)(3) If the vacancy occurs in the first half of a term of office, but less than 130 days prior to the next general district election, or if the vacancy occurs in the second half of a term of office, the person appointed to fill the vacancy shall fill the balance of the unexpired term of office.
- Section 1780(e)(1) In lieu of making an appointment the remaining members of the board may within 60 days of the date the district board is notified of the vacancy or the effective date of the vacancy, whichever is later, call an election to fill the vacancy.
- Section 1780(e)(2) The election called pursuant to this subdivision shall be held on the next established election date provided in Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 1000) of Division 1 of the Elections Code that is 130 or more days after the date the district board calls the election.
- Section 1780(f) If the vacancy is not filled by the district board by appointment, or if the district board has not called for an election within 60 days of the date the district board is notified of the vacancy or the effective date of the vacancy, whichever is later, then the city council of the city in which the district is wholly located, or if the district is not wholly located within a city, the board of supervisors of the county representing the larger portion of the district area¹ in which the election to fill the vacancy will be held, may appoint a person to fill the vacancy within 90 days of the date the district board is notified of the vacancy or the effective date of the vacancy, whichever is later, or the city council or board of supervisors may order the district to call an election to fill the vacancy.
- Section 1780(g) If within 90 days of the date the district board is notified of the vacancy or the effective date of the vacancy, whichever is later, the remaining members of the district board or the appropriate board of supervisors or city council have not filled the vacancy and no election has been called for, then the district board shall call an election to fill the vacancy.

¹ The District area in Alameda County is 9,754 acres; the District area in Contra Costa County is 7,966 acres.



Reference General Manager	Type of Action Appointment Process	Board Meeting of September 15, 2015
Subject If Needed, Approve a Process, Schedule and Application Form to be Used for the Appointment to Fill the Board Position Formerly Held by Dawn L. Benson		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Motion	<input type="checkbox"/> Minute Order	<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution
<input type="checkbox"/> Ordinance	<input type="checkbox"/> Informational	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
REPORT:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verbal	<input type="checkbox"/> Presentation
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff	B. Michalczyk
		<input type="checkbox"/> Board Member

Recommendation:

If, in the previous agenda item, the Board decides to fill the vacancy created by Director Benson’s resignation by appointment, the General Manager recommends the Board, by Motion, decide on the process, schedule and application form to be used for that appointment or give direction to a selected Committee to develop an alternative process, schedule or application form.

Summary:

If the Board chooses to appoint a person to fill the vacant seat held by Director Benson, a process needs to be established for doing so. The law provides minimal standards for any process:

- Must be completed within sixty days of vacancy (by October 18, 2015);
- Appointee must be a District resident and a registered voter;
- Fifteen days notice must be given prior to any appointment decision;
- A simple majority vote of the Board in open session is needed to make the appointment; and
- The appointment is effective immediately upon any such affirmative vote.

Thus, the administrative process leading up to the Board’s appointment decision can be as simple or as detailed as the Board decides.

At the simplest end of the spectrum the Board may, upon proper motion duly seconded, select a qualified person of their choosing if the process meets the criteria described above. A more detailed process was used in the summer of 2009 after Director Thomas W. Ford passed away. At that time, the Board successfully used an application/interview process that was developed by the then External Affairs Committee. A version of that process with dates adjusted to fit the current calendar constraints is attached.

The Board could also choose to refer the matter for further development to Committee (the Charters of the Administrative Affairs Committee as well as the Executive Committee could accommodate this effort if needed) to refine the attached process or develop an alternative process. That effort would need to be expedited per the attached schedule if the appointment process is to be completed within the sixty day period (alternatively special Committee or Board meetings could be called).

Staying on the schedule presented is crucial to a successful appointment within the sixty day period. Ideally, if the decision was made to appoint to fill the vacancy, the Board would be able to make a decision as to process at this meeting.

Committee Review			Legal Review	Staff Review		
COMMITTEE ---	DATE ---	RECOMMENDATION ---	Yes	ORIGINATOR B. Michalczyk	DEPARTMENT Executive	REVIEWED BY
ATTACHMENTS <input type="checkbox"/> None						
<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution	<input type="checkbox"/> Minute Order	<input type="checkbox"/> Task Order	<input type="checkbox"/> Staff Report	<input type="checkbox"/> Ordinance		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cost Staff time Minimal costs for advertising	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Funding Source A. 900 B.	Attachments to S&R 1. Possible Process and Schedule 2. Suggested Application Form 3.				

ATTACHMENT 1
POSSIBLE PROCESS AND SCHEDULE
(Board and Committee Meetings are noted in bold font)

No.	Action or Event	Schedule if Board approves Process etc. on September 15	Schedule if Board refers Process etc. to Committee
1	Board decides to fill vacancy by Appointment.	9/15/2015	
2	Board refers appointment process, schedule and application form to a Special Committee appointed for the purpose (recommend Halket and Duarte due to other Board member travel schedules)	NA	9/15/2015
3	The special committee meets to develop a recommendation for the appointment process, schedule and application form.	NA	9/17/2015
4	Board endorses the process, schedule and application form.	9/15/2015 (This Meeting)	Fri. 9/18/2015 (Spec. Meeting)¹
5	Target date to launch public outreach and post vacancy notices as legally required.	9/16/2015	9/18/2015
6	Last day to post 15 Day notice of pending appointment	9/21/2015	
7	Applications will be available through the District Secretary and on the website. Information about the District can be found at the District's website, www.dsrsd.com .	9/17/2015	9/21/2015
8	FILING DEADLINE. - All applications received before the 5:00 p.m. deadline on this date will be accepted. The District Secretary will verify voter registration and residency as applications are received.	9/28/2015 <i>(10 day application period)</i>	9/28/2015 <i>(7 day application period)</i>
9	District Secretary will notify applicants if they met minimum qualifications and confirm the exact date and time of their interview.	9/29/2015	
10	Staff will provide the Board with copies of all application packet		
11	<i>IF NEEDED</i> - The appropriate committee meets (Special Meeting) to develop a recommendation on the decision making process (If a process different than that used to fill Director Ford's vacancy is desired). s.	9/29/2015	
12	The Board will hold interviews with the applicants and potentially make the appointment or possibly "short list" candidates for further consideration.	10/6/2015	
13	<i>IF NEEDED</i> - Special Board meeting to make appointment.	10/13/2015	
14	Last date to appoint Director, prior to the legal deadline.	10/18/2015 ²	

¹ First possible date at which a quorum of the Board will be present; other possible dates would be Sept. 25 or during the week of September 28 – either of the latter times would tremendously compress the application schedule

² Note that 10/18/2015 is a Sunday

DUBLIN SAN RAMON SERVICES DISTRICT

APPLICATION - BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Applications (including all supporting material) must be received no later than 5:00 p.m. Monday, September 28, 2015. Applications must be sent to the District and to the attention of Nicole Genzale, District Secretary, at 7051 Dublin Blvd. Dublin CA 94568. Applications may also be submitted via email sent to board@dsrsd.com

Interviews will be conducted by the Board of Directors on Tuesday, October 6, 2015 at a regular Meeting of the Board which starts at 6:00 p.m. in the District Board Room at the above address; the interviews will be open to the public including other applicants. The order of the interviews will be determined by lot by the District Secretary.

APPLICATION MATERIAL SHALL BE LIMITED TO:

- 1. This fully completed application (Mandatory);
- 2. A resume consisting of no more than two (2) pages single spaced, with no smaller than 11 point font (Optional); and
- 3. A one page cover letter transmitting the above materials (Optional)

LEGALLY MANDATED QUALIFICATIONS:

- 1. Must be a Registered Voter; and
- 2. Must legally reside within the boundaries of the District

Candidate Information

Name: _____

Home Address: _____

Home Phone: _____

Alternate Phone: _____

Email Address: _____

Employer: _____

Type of Business: _____

Qualifications

- 1. Current Resident of District _____ Yes _____ No
If "Yes" How Many years? _____
- 2. Registered Voter:¹ _____ Yes _____ No _____ / _____ City/County where Registered

¹ Will be verified with the Registrar of Voters

